YESIM NAZLAR: Sure. Let's please start the recording and I'll go ahead with roll call. Good morning, good afternoon, and good evening to everyone. Welcome to the EURALO monthly call taking place on Tuesday, 22nd of November, 2016 at 15:00 UTC.

On the call today, we have Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Wolf Ludwig, Sébastien Bachollet, Oksana Prykhodko, Yrjö Lansipuro, Jean-Jeacques Subrenat, Veronica Cretu, Glenn McKnight, Andrei Kolesnikov, Lianna Galstyan, Jordi Iparragirre, Sandra Hoferichter.

We have received apologies from Roberto Gaetano, Matthieu Camus, Bastiaan Goslings, Olawale Bakare, Pedro Veiga, and Tatiana Tropina.

From staff, we have Ullrich, Silvia Vivanco, Gabriella Schittek, and myself, Yesim Nazlar.

Finally, I would like to remind anyone to state their names before speaking for the transcript purposes. Over to you, Olivier. Thank you very much.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Yesim. I can hear myself. Okay, it all works. Welcome, everyone. Maybe we can have the agenda unsynchronized so that – yes, that's good. Welcome, everybody. Today is the first call after our meeting, the big meeting in Hyderabad,

We have an agenda today with the usual public consultations, and then an update on the Taskforce on At-Large Structure Engagement and an

Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

update on the EURALO Individual Users Association meeting of the 27th of October. These are the two things that have been taking place.

I note under AOB that Jean-Jacques Subrenat suggested to find out if the EURALO Board members have been assigned specific tasks: membership outreach, etc. We will be able to discuss this in AOB. Are there any other additions or amendments to the agenda? I don't see any hands up, so the agenda is okay.

Let's move on with number two, the review of our last action items. This is the action items from the last call on the 18th of October. We really do need to have some checkboxes here to find out if these things have been done or not, so please take note for future action items.

The EURALO Bylaws Taskforce, At-Large to work with Michael Yakushev to update on legal support requested for drafting of the Bylaws. Heidi, has there been any update on this, please?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Hi, Olivier, hi everyone. I did follow up with Alan about this item, and he did note that for the purpose of the Bylaws, we don't need to do this quite yet. In terms of the travel, would you like me to talk a little bit about that, Olivier?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Sorry, in terms of the Bylaws?

HEIDI ULLRICH: The travel related to that.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: There's no travel related to that, Heidi. We're dealing with the EURALO Bylaws.

HEIDI ULLRICH: I'm sorry. I think it might be another one.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: EURALO is rewriting its Bylaws, and Michael Yakushev –

HEIDI ULLRICH: I'm aware. Yes, thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: [inaudible] with several people in Helsinki. Hard to readjust. Welcome back, Heidi.

HEIDI ULLRICH: No, sorry, I thought you were talking about the other item on the Bylaws, the other Bylaws. Anyway, no, I have not heard back from Michael after the comment that you've mentioned about his conversation with you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, right, so let's then move it until the next call. Let's move on. Tatiana Tropina volunteered to be part of the team on the Competition and Consumer Trust public comment. I don't know whether there's been any movement on this. We will be checking this out when we look at the policy review.

The deadline to reply the letter sent by the ALS Engagement Taskforce to be then extended for one more week. I believe that was done, and we have received a lot more input from more people, more At-Large Structures, and Yrjö Lansipuro will be taking us through some of the results in a few moments.

Heidi, to follow up on the question, is the GAC liaison included in the amended ICANN Bylaws? That's the answer that Heidi was just providing us with just now, with the GAC liaison currently not being included in the amended Bylaws, but with the travel slot being somehow allocated via a spare travel slot for the time being. Is that correct?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Correct.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you. That, I believe, means that Yrjö Lansipuro, who is the GAC liaison that has been selected by the At-Large community is going to be able to travel in the next meeting as well.

And then finally, Wolf Ludwig to follow up with Dev Anand on the timeframes of the CROPP filing to ensure EURALO projects are filed timely. Do we have any feedback on this?

WOLF LUDWIG: Have a look in the chat.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Oh, okay, sorry. Wolf, thank you, yes. So, in the chat regarding – "According to my talks with Dev in Hyderabad, the timing depends on the time when our first events and requests would take place from the beginning of the year."

Okay, so the timing depends on the time where the first event too. Could you elaborate on this, please, Wolf?

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes. Well, depending what events we will choose the coming year for the CROPP program, if we'll choose an event early year or if we will choose an event, let's say, like the Republica which normally takes place in May, so if we have an event in May, we should submit in September to have around eight weeks for the submission and for the verification by the Review Team.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thanks for this, Wolf. I think that's what we wanted, so about eight weeks. Are there any comments or questions on the action items?

Seeing no hands up, let's move on then. Let's go to the brief overview of the meeting that took place – actually two meetings that took place in Hyderabad.

There was one public meeting talking about the public interest. It was a high interest session, and Wolf was one of the panelists on there. We had several other panelists who were present, and it was a very well attended session actually, with a lot of people in the room. Much interest in this topic across ICANN. The takeaways from this meeting were that the group would continue its work. It's not really a working group, a Cross-Community Working Group, it's more like a mailing list.

I think that I have heard some objections from some parts of ICANN saying we don't need another Cross-Community Working Group or some formal structure, but we certainly might need a platform to be able to continue discussion, so that mailing list is currently in play. Of course, the ALAC also has a Public Interest Working Group. For this, I'll ask Wolf for a quick update on this.

WOLF LUDWIG: Thanks, Olivier. We had another short meeting at this At-Large after the high interest meeting, and we made a summary and an assessment of the high interest session. And as you said, Olivier, it was very well attended.

There were some very interesting inputs. Besides it, a lot of additional people showed interest in the topics, therefore we can say, "Okay, there is an increasing attention in the community regarding the topic," and we'll try to have regular monthly calls from now for this working group.

We will try to prepare the next step, what will be a similar meeting at the next ICANN meeting in Copenhagen, and we will try to push the discussion forward.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thanks for this update, Wolf. Are there any comments or questions on this topic, the public interest? This started out as a EURALO thing and is now an At-Large-wide movement and working group. Wolf, I don't know, you didn't mention any calls until Copenhagen. Are you looking at having calls until then?

WOLF LUDWIG: Well, we will try to check to find out. I have to check with At-Large staff, whether we send another Doodle to find a favorite day and time every month. I think it would be much better to have more of this kind of regular meeting with a fixed date and timing that would be easier to memorize, etc.

It would be my preference. I don't know whether it's possible, whether we can find or agree on a convenient timing for such a monthly call. Otherwise, we have to do it on a monthly, case-to-case basis. But there should be preferably one call of the working group per month until Copenhagen.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thanks for this, Wolf. Next is Jean-Jacques Subrenat in the queue.

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Thank you. Can you hear me?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, we can.

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Hello. Good, thank you. Good evening, all. Thank you, Wolf, for the indications you gave about possible meetings between now and Copenhagen. May I suggest though that in preparation for our next meeting – whenever that is – that perhaps you lay out in written form via e-mail the main topics you would like to discuss, and the sequence in which you would like to do that?

Starting with what, and then leading on to what kind of result or semi-result just before Copenhagen. I think it would be very useful for us, the members of your group, to know what is planned. And also, we could think about it and perhaps prepare inputs so that our virtual meetings be the most effective possible. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Wolf?

WOLF LUDWIG: Okay, thanks. It's noted.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thanks for this, Wolf. I don't see anyone else in the queue, so let's then move on, and we can continue with the review of the public consultations. Actually, no, sorry. I'm a little confused here. It's a brief overview of the meeting including the public interest.

So, the rest of the meeting that took place in Hyderabad was just an enormous amount of work. Basically, the added number of days provided the ALAC with more time to meet face-to-face and discuss many different points on all sorts of topics. The recordings of all the calls are now up on the ICANN website.

The transcripts are also up on the ICANN website, I think. I've certainly seen many transcripts being there. I don't know how complete the transcripts are for the ALAC and At-Large and RALO sessions, but it was altogether a very good and productive meeting.

On the EURALO front, we didn't have a face-to-face meeting in EURALO. We had a breakfast of those who were present that we organized. Just an ad hoc, informal breakfast to do a little bit of a team building, and I note the presence of not only the leadership team that was there.

So we're looking at Wolf Ludwig, Sanda Hoferichter, Erich Schweighofer... Who did we have? I'm getting a total loss of the names at the moment around the table. Niels Ten Oever was there, and of course, we had Andrei Kolesnikov as well. Who else do I have in there? I think that's the overall crew of the people that were present.

We managed to discuss a little bit of strategy, where we want to go, and various ideas how we could work better together. I'm really pleased to see the commitment of the people who were there. As a group, I think

you've got a good team. And certainly, some of the new At-Large structures have brought some people who are interested in doing things, so I hope that we'll be able fill most of the At-Large working groups that require some rejuvenation for many of them. And certainly, with the help now of the info that we'll have from Yrjö who also was present in Hyderabad, we will be able to also focus on specific topics that EURALO members and EURALO can excel in.

Review of the public consultations. There are quite a few public consultations, as usual. The first one in the list is creating a consumer agenda at ICANN. This one appears to be – I'm not quite sure. It's been around for a while. I haven't seen it move much. I wonder whether there is a chance for members from EURALO to get involved in this and push this thing forward, or whether at this moment it's not recommended that we be involved. I noticed that the assignee is Garth Bruen, and I don't know if anybody has any update on this or any knowledge of this.

I don't see Alan Greenberg on the call. He might have been able to help us out on that. Let's just put an action item –

HEIDI ULLRICH: Olivier?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, Heidi, please enlighten us.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Sorry, I didn't raise my hand. Basically, I'm just reminding everyone what was said in Hyderabad from Alan, and that was that basically, we have not heard from Garth who is the lead on this. And hence, there's not been much progress. I believe Alan was going to try to get in touch with Garth and see if we could move this forward. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Heidi. I was about to put an action item that I was to get in touch with Alan on this. So, I can get in touch with Alan, and Alan can get in touch with Garth.

And we'll try to see if there is a need, because certainly from the initial results that I've seen on the EURALO survey and interests, there is an interest in consumer issues in EURALO. So, we might be interested in pushing on this.

Next, Phase 2 Assessment of the Competitive Effect Associated with the New gTLD Program. Here arrives the answer of the question whether Tatiana Tropina was going to be involved in this. I know that Holly Raiche and Tatiana are both working to draft a statement, and of course, you're very welcome to help them out.

Hopefully, the first draft will be out soon. I certainly haven't seen the first draft yet. The [statements] seem to be stalled at the moment, if you have an idea that you wish to push forward. Input requested for the Support Organization, Advisory Committee, Stakeholder Group and Constituency Outreach.

The new Generic Top Level Domain Subsequent procedures. Alan Greenberg is currently finalizing a statement on this.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: [inaudible]

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: And we can hear somebody in the background who might need to mute. There is currently no statement, no first draft on the wiki, and this apparently closes on the 1st of August, so I'm not quite sure where we are on that.

Middle East and adjoining countries 2016 and 2019 strategy. I don't know why this statement is noted as being stalled. I thought that this was under discussion still. I've certainly seen some movement going on on the wiki page itself, with some feedback from Harold Arcos and Alan Greenberg, etc.

So, I'm not quite sure why it's marked as being stalled. Perhaps, could staff be able to let me know on this, or is there anything that I'm not aware of? Hello?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Hello, Olivier. Please repeat your question.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, Thank you, Silvia. I'm asking when it's a statement that seems to be stalled under the Middle East and adjoining countries 2016-2019

EN

strategy and there's movement on this page, why this is currently being stalled?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Okay, we can take that as an action item and follow-up. I don't know if [Ariel] would be the right person to provide the answer, but I can [inaudible] that question.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thanks for this, Silvia. This is baffling. Public comments requests to which the ALAC decided not to submit statements. They require a few. One on the amendments to the .xxx registry agreement, and in general, the ALAC has not commented on specific registry agreements.

One on revised proposed recommendation of GNSO Thick WHOIS consensus policy requiring consistent labeling and display of RDDS being the registration data services, WHOIS output for all gTLDs. It looks as though this was not deemed to be of interest to the ALAC. And the third one being the proposed implementation of the GNSO Thick RDDS transition policy for .com, .net and .jobs.

It looks like there's nothing there to comment on. If you think otherwise, please step forward and let us know.

There are new public comments that have come up. The first one is the draft post-transition IANA fiscal year '18 operating plan and budget. Mohamed El Bashir who was on the IANA Coordination Group has been contacted to advise on this public comment and whether something would be needed on this.

Continuous data-driven analysis of root service system stability draft report. Both Seun Ojedeji and John Laprise have been contacted to advise on this public comment.

And thirdly, the proposed ICANN community anti-harassment policy, which is a result of the work that was undertaken under the Marrakech meeting.

These are the three. If you feel like you wish to comment on this or hold the pen on this and step forward, then you're very welcome to do so. I open the floor for comments and questions on any of these public consultations. I see Sébastien Bachollet has put his hand up. Sébastien, you have the floor.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Thank you, Olivier. I wanted to give you one short feedback about the Middle East and adjoining countries. We just received today in our mailbox for the ALAC members to vote on the proposal about this topic. It will end on the 26th of November. It was just opened – I don't know, five, six, two hours ago, or two and a half hours ago. That's the first point.

My second point is that I would like to encourage people to read the anti-harassment policy. My feeling is that it's going too far, but maybe not the best place to say that. But it seems that next time with such a policy, we will not be able to give a hug to anybody, and I think it's going too far.

But I would like very much if some other people read it, and maybe we can have some exchange either on mail or to have a specific call on that. I am a little bit worried about the way it is [taking]. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you very much, Sébastien. Spoken like a true Frenchman. I would say I had a quick browse on the anti-harassment policy. It also looked quite serious. I'm not sure. It's pretty tough. These things are not easy to work on because of, of course, the cultural differences, and I guess we certainly welcome an anti-harassment policy, but harassment really needs to be defined a little bit.

The way that it's written here seems to be quite open to interpretation that might lead to something when it shouldn't. Wolf Ludwig.

WOLF LUDWIG: Yes, thanks, Olivier. I just want to support Sébastien's concern, because when I had a look on it after it was circulated, I had similar feelings and I smelled the cultural distinctions. We may have here – especially in the French or Swiss culture – when we meet friends or something even colleagues, we always touch each other or we have our [inaudible], etc. which is so normal and which is not understood or almost the opposite of harassment. Of course, you do this first hand with people you know very well or you know, and I think such cultural distinctions should be taken into consideration. So, I fully support Sébastien's motion here.

It's cultural diversity and it has a lot of cultural aspects, and the line between a strict anti-harassment policy where you cannot even get

closer than a meter to a person anymore, I think this would really go into the wrong direction. Thanks.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this, Wolf. I think that we might have something to say from this region. Perhaps when the wiki page is open for this, can you put your comments in writing on the wiki? In fact, there is a wiki page that's open for this, so that can be done. Jean-Jacques Subrenat.

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Thank you, Olivier. I would like to follow up on what Wolf and Sébastien have indicated. Yes, Wolf is quite right that it is a cultural element, and I wonder whether EURALO – perhaps even ALAC on a broader, worldwide – should not point out that the word harassment is perhaps excessive. Because it seems to indicate that anything which might be of cultural origin, such as shaking hands even with a Muslim woman or giving a kiss on the cheeks and receiving a kiss on the cheeks from a woman in the case of a man is not only okay, it's a sign of warmth and recognition of the kind of trust and relationship that exists.

What I'm pointing at is that the word harassment should be put in question. There are cases of real harassment, and we should reserve the word harassment to those cases. And we should not accept that the word harassment become a blanket definition for everything which is perhaps not well received in one civilization or another.

This puts a wider question to all of us, in that shall ICANN develop a policy based on the lowest common denominator of all regions of

ICANN, such as there should be no hand shaking, no physical contact and nothing less than one meter between a man and a woman in any ICANN meeting? You see what I mean. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Jean-Jacques, and I think there's an understanding here. Please all put your comments on the wiki page that deals with this harassment policy. I certainly am seeing a few things in there which don't quite work out, or seem to be certainly overreaching.

Let's then move on, please, and we now have –

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Olivier, just a second, please.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, Sébastien, go ahead.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Yes, thank you, Olivier. Just to – even if I push the subject, and I think it's important, I would like very much that – not in the call, if you don't want – but so women take a stand on that. They may have different opinion, and so that is no problem.

I don't want that just because four men push for that, it must be the point of view of everybody. I really request you say something if you wish, of course, but it's important that we have the input of everybody,

or at least a good balance between men and women in that case. Thank you very much.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, thank you, Sébastien. That's why I suggest that the comments could be made on the wiki page. That usually is a lot easier to just type in, so I appeal to everyone to comment on this. And of course, there will be different points of view, and that's entirely fine. We need to find out the just middle.

I don't see any other hands up in our queue for any of the other comments. Perhaps Jean-Jacques Subrenat, you still have your hand up. Is that a new hand?

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: No, sorry, that's an old hand.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thank you for this, Jean-Jacques. Then let's move to Agenda Item #5, and that's the update on the EURALO Taskforce on ALS Engagement. Yrjö Lansipuro chairs this taskforce. Just to give you a quick update first, we sent an e-mail to all of our At-Large structures, and a large number of them responded with details of what their At-Large Structure skillsets were, and also, some gave us details of the people in their At-Large Structure who have these skillsets. Without any further ado, there is a PowerPoint presentation on the screen at the moment, and I hand over the floor to Yrjö Lansipuro.

YRJÖ LANSIPURO: Thank you. Yes, first of all, I would like to thank staff for compiling the Google Document, and of course, Olivier for your enormous persistence in chasing those people who did not respond at the first instance. I think you had to send many e-mails.

Anyway, I think that the result is quite good. Of the 27, and of course, two of those 27 are actually non-active right now, one is in the process of decertification, and one has been suspended. So, of them, 29 ALSes finally replied, and in those replies, 13 ALSes actually named experts on various areas by name.

Of course, the exercise was based on the recommendation 29, I guess, of the ATLAS II, where ALAC was asked to use the resources. They explored the resources and used the resources at ALSes [inaudible] its work to providing advice to ICANN.

Can I have the next slide, please? The ALSes indicated what kind of expertise they have in the various areas that are in ICANN in the scope of ICANN's mission. Privacy, security, stability, public interest, Internet governance were the areas where there seemed to be most or the strongest expertise in EURALO.

Next, please.

Also, data protection, copyright, IP issues, net neutrality and [inaudible]

Next.

Also, interesting areas like consumer protection. There were ALSes that indicated that. We have one ALS that is catering to the needs of senior citizens. I would say that this is something that is very interesting, because more and more of the societal functions are going online, and we still have a population that is embarrassed and confused by all this. So, these [inaudible] senior ALSes could perhaps be of help.

Development cooperation and legal informatics. We have quite an array of areas of expertise.

Next slide, please.

There were also a couple of interesting suggestions in this, that policy recommendations with a wider angle should be made by ALAC, by At-Large, instead of comments to just specific proposals. There was also a wish that stronger emphasis on geographic and development aspects and general gender balance.

Next, please.

Next step: we should have a meeting of this working group on ALS engagement, where I hope that all members of the working group will read the Google Document. Perhaps the staff can post – oh, they did post it already. The link is posted in the chat. So, I hope that everybody will read it. Also, those who are not members of the taskforce, next step would be that we make a list of topics and [experts.]

[To say that] when we come across a request for comments on some areas, we can see whether on that topic [on] the list, we can relay the request also to them.

And then finally, we should start engaging these ALS experts who have been identified in drafting ALAC advice. Thank you.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Hello. Olivier?

YESIM NAZLAR: Olivier?

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Olivier?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: I was on mute. Okay, thank you for this. Can you hear me now?

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Yes.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thanks very much for this, Yrjö. Apologies, I was on mute, yes. Great work, certainly in this first pass that you've done at looking at the results that have come in. The link to the wiki page of the working group is in the chat, and there is a link to the overall Google Docs document that is very interesting reading, actually, and that will take I guess quite a while to analyze further. I open the floor for comments, and I note that Jean-Jacques Subrenat has his hand up.

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Thank you, Olivier. Two comments. Thank you very much, Yrjö, for this interesting presentation. My two comments are as follows. First of all, I would very much appreciate – and I think it would be useful for all of the ALSes – to have from the Chair of the taskforce – meaning you – an indication of what you think would be the areas of most significance as input from EURALO to the ALAC general contribution on this. Because I think that the contribution from EURALO can be a bit different, or perhaps significantly different from contributions from, let's say, Latin America or Asia Pacific, or elsewhere. So, I think that that would be an indication for us, which would be very useful.

The second thing is that as the Chair of the EURALO Individual Users Association, I will be reporting at the end of the meeting more generally, but I just wanted to take this opportunity to say two things. One is that I did send an e-mail to all our members requesting them to indicate whether they would accept my giving actual names of experts on the areas of expertise which I identified.

I have not had sufficient response of yet, so I'm going to send a new e-mail to request that authorization. The second indication is that even without specific names of individuals, I think that Yrjö might find it interesting to work already on the areas of expertise, as he has done in fact, because I recognize that some of the areas of expertise he mentioned were in fact proposed by our association. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Jean-Jacques. Yrjö, any response? I'm not muted now, am I? No. Yrjö?

YRJÖ LANSIPURO: Okay. I hope you can hear me now.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Now we can hear you, yes.

YRJÖ LANSIPURO: Okay, I'm sorry, I was muted. Thank you very much, Jean-Jacques, for these comments. What kind of inputs would be needed from EURALO? Well, we are one of the RALOs, of course, and there are some specifics. I think that, of course, like from any RALO, we should look at things from the perspective of our region. In many ways, this is an interesting region, so it's hard to give any better answer to that.

The other thing is that I understand that people may be reluctant to give names and so on and so forth, so there's no hurry. We can also, of course, relay these requests via you or via the context person of ALSes. That's not a problem, but on the other hand, I was actually quite glad and we all agree that from 13 ALSes we got names and contact information, which, of course, makes things easier when we have to relay a request for a specific comment. Thanks.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you for this, Yjrö. Next in the queue is Sébastien Bachollet.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Thank you, Olivier. Yes, thank you very much, Yrjö. It's a very interesting feedback, and I think that it's something that will be very useful. I don't know if the other RALOs are doing the same, but if not, it's maybe something that the Chair and the Secretariat can share during the secretariat meeting with the other RALOs.

If they have done such, it may be interesting to share also that. Because, for example, when you talk about the [Eseniors] organization, it could be, of course, interesting for Europe, but it could be also interesting for other parts of the world.

By the way, I just want to underline that representative from [Eseniors] she's on the call, then we may in the future use her knowledge about that. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you for this, Sébastien. And just to let you know, the last RALO Secretariat meeting has had a large amount of time spent on the specific topic of At-Large Structure Engagement. In fact, I think even the Outreach and Engagement Working Group has also worked on this.

So, all the RALOs are actually working one way or another in similar paths. Some are using surveys, some are contacting At-Large Structures directly. There are various levels of discussions going on in each one of the RALOs. So, the information is being shared across from one RALO to another, and obviously, there is interest from other RALOs to find out what we are doing and the kind of response that we're getting.

There was a big page, a tool that Dev Anand Teelucksingh had put together that was a bit similar to the EURALO-4 document that Oksana Prykhodko had put together, but that goes actually further than this.

I'm afraid I haven't put a copy of it onto our EURALO Taskforce on ALS Engagements page. I wonder if staff could find that amazing tool and add it to our wiki page for this, because I found that this is really a great – thank you, Silvia. That would be a great step also to help in the work of this working group.

I know that Glenn McKnight is on the call from NARALO, and there has been a significant amount of work that's been done in NARALO also to engage ALSes, and to learn more about the At-Large structures. Glenn, I don't know whether you have the ability to speak. Would you be able to share a couple of points of what you're doing in NARALO?

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Hi, Olivier. Can you hear me?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, we can.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: A number of things we're doing, as you mentioned. We deliberately trying to call anyone that's not [grouped] and trying to encourage them to get into working groups. We had a few people who couldn't come are two ALAC members, Tim Denton and also Garth Bruen, as you know, was a big advocate on the consumer issue and consumer trust.

Neither of them came to India, so that's a bit of a disappointment, but we have a new NomCom appointed ALAC person, and I'm very active in engaging him and getting him involved. In fact, he'll be joining us at our general assembly in April.

We also did a survey engaging. We also do an [inaudible] which we do in Constant Contact. It's full of pictures, video and updates from various [inaudible] members. We also do a follow-up on our CROPP trip to make sure the outreach actually happens [inaudible] contact, so we are very proactive in our engagement as well.

Also, we are active now in creating almost like a baseball card idea where we get some staff on that sort of [inaudible] each of the ALS members who'll be attending our GA, there will be trading cards. Sort of a little gimmick idea that we'll have. We'll be creating it, and in fact, Xavier, our new ALAC member is actually working on that with me. Those are a few things we're doing.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this, Glenn. It's always good to hear what's happening in other regions and how other regions are tackling this concern of engaging ALS, At-Large Structure members in all sorts of involvement in the work of not only the RALO but in the work of the ALAC.

I note in the action item that Silvia has mentioned to get the tool developed by Dev Anand for engagement of ALSes and post the tool on the ALS Engagement Working Group page. That tool has a set of links which goes further than just the RALO and the Regional Internet Registry and all that.

It actually looks at all of the fellows who have been going through the fellowship. It looks at all of the people who have been funded over the years to engage with ICANN and who then – well, when you look at the different regions, it then shows which ones have actually ended up where, who has ended up where, and whether we actually benefited as a RALO from this fellowship.

In Europe, I think we haven't had much luck with some of the fellowship in that part of our region does not qualify for the fellowship. So, there certainly has been part of the region that's totally qualified and has really benefited very well, which is great, and another part hasn't.

But there are certainly some very interesting statistics that have come out of that tool. I note two people in the queue. Narine Khachatryan, you have the floor. Narine? We cannot hear you at the moment. I believe you are on the Adobe Connect.

It really isn't working well, the Adobe Connect recently. Staff, can you check this? No, we can't hear you, Narine, I'm afraid. Okay, in the meantime, let's go to Glenn McKnight, and we'll see if staff can work out with Narine why we can't hear her. Glenn McKngiht.

GLENN MCKNIGHT: Okay, so [inaudible] document. This was started over a year ago when we started to put all of the fellows into a database. It's up to over 1000 now, and what we were curious on is we wanted to document where everybody was coming from.

As you know, Western Europe and Australia, New Zealand and North America have never been part of the fellowship program. That's all changed now with the revisions on the fellowship program, so absolutely, you need to stress this to your ALS members about the changes on the fellowship.

What we did, Dev and I actually expanded it, and that's something we brought up in the Outreach and Engagement. So, if staff can take this over and carry on, it's really something that Dev and I really shouldn't be doing.

It is above and beyond any of our work, but it's a great tool. You can search and you can see how people have gone from Fellows, multiple fellowships, mentoring, and many of the people have become staff people with ISOC or ICANN. So, there's a clear thread to whether involvement, leadership or [if hiring] through the process.

But it wasn't meant to do that, it was just to show a profile so that we can actually identify those in civil society, technical community so that we can do outreach to those people to get them involved in the At-Large activities.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this, Glenn. Next is Sébastien Bachollet. Sébastien, we cannot hear you.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Thank you, Olivier. Yes, I know it's just the time – sorry, Olivier, it was the time to unmute my phone. Yes, I wanted to just explain that, yes,

definitely the tool developed both by Dev Anand Teelucksingh and Glenn McKnight is very interesting and very useful.

I don't know if they [take] as input what Oksana has done, but it's part of the same idea to have information share. On Oksana, what was very interesting is to follow where there is an ALS, where there is a ccNSO manager who participates in ICANN, and when you have a GAC representative.

I guess it's including in the tool developed by Dev, but it was something that could be useful for us. And to follow in which country we don't have yet an ALS, but where we have a ccNSO or/and a GAC representative could be very useful for our outreach in the future. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you for this. Absolutely agreed. Let's try again now with Narine Khachatryan.

NARINE KHACHATRYAN: Can you hear me? [inaudible]

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Now we can hear you. Welcome. Excellent.

NARINE KHACHATRYAN: I wanted to mention that many of the members of At-Large community have participated in the recent At-Large review which had a set of

questions regarding the expertise of the members of the respective ALSes. If that data from the review could be derived from that review, collected and shared, it would be very useful to have comparison by regions and to see which exercises some ALSes have and so forth.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, thanks for this suggestion, Narine. I think – and this is just from memory – there was such a suggestion made, and there is a problem that comes down from the confidentiality of the responses. If I recall correctly, the survey and the question asked in the At-Large review are actually to be only for the use of the At-Large review and going over to this company that is performing the review.

I don't think any of us – or any At-Large person or even staff – has had a chance to get the raw data, or to have the ability to review the raw data. Could I perhaps ask Heidi to find out whether such a thing would be possible? Heidi Ullrich?

HEIDI ULLRICH: Olivier, hi. As I've just written to the chat, I think that this type of analytical tool that Dev and Glenn have developed would indeed be useful. And Siranush, who is now the new Fellows coordinator would be the best person to approach for this kind of activity.

However, just to let you also know that senior management, we've talked about this need for a better handoff between the Fellows and the NextGen if appropriate to the relevant AC/SO either community team or staff team to – once they're there to bring them in more effectively.

So, one side is that there should be some onboarding groups between each of the ACs and SOs to help bring them in and then start them on their journey in that particular AC/SO.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thanks for this, Heidi. The question that Narine had suggested, which was to look at the results of the At-Large review survey and utilize the At-Large review survey information, raw information that provides the details of each person's qualities and so on and competencies. I thought that this is a problem because of the fact that this was property of the At-Large review itself, the company, and not At-Large.

HEIDI ULLRICH: I didn't quite hear Narine's answer or comment, that's why I didn't reply to that. But certainly, the information in the At-Large review survey is private. That's clearly stated, and I'm not sure what you're hoping to get from that versus the Fellows. It seems like I'm a little unclear on the connection.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Heidi, the question was whether the responses from the At-Large review survey could be shared so that – because –

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: No, it can't.

EN

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Because in there, [inaudible] what is your competence, etc. I don't believe that it can't be, so that's all.

HEIDI ULLRICH: Yes, no. That is not possible. That's confidential. Wolf Ludwig, you're next.

WOLF LUDWIG: This is just to underline or to end this speculation. This information gathered by interviews, etc. from the company conducting the At-Large survey is treated as confidential, and there are no names, etc., or links that should be made public.

Therefore, the suggestion from Narine that the findings of the interviews, etc. from the At-Large survey could be used in the details for the broader community, this is not realistic. Thanks.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Wolf. Sébastien Bachollet next. You might be muted still, Sébastien.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Okay, thank you, Olivier. Sorry for that. Yes, I was still muted. I agree with Wolf and what was said before, but may I suggest that somebody approach ICANN or the team who is working on this review, and ask them if they will be able to give an overview of the specific competency that we can find in each RALO?

Not saying that I am very good at that or León is good at that or Olivier is good at that, but just to have information about, "Yes, collectively, we are very good at Internet governance, Internet of Things, consumer trust, senior citizen activities and so on."

It could be interesting, because in the future, if we think that we are missing a competency, we can focus on them knowing that the other way have already within At-Large and ICANN. Thank you.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you, Sébastien. Let's close this agenda item. Yrjö, I hope that you have taken notes of all the discussions that we've had on the call today. Your work is certainly cut out, and if anybody is interested in following up on this taskforce, you can just contact Yrjö Lansipuro.

I noticed that the membership of the taskforce at the moment is quite slow, and I'm sure Yrjö would be delighted to have more people to help out with the analysis and with the next steps.

With this, let's go to our last agenda item before Any Other Business, an update from the EURALO Individual Users Association. Jean-Jacques Subrenat, you have the floor.

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Thank you, Olivier. Can you hear me?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Yes, I can.

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Hello?

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Go ahead. Yes, we can.

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Thank you. Two small corrections: the first is that we had a meeting between the leadership of EURALO and the Board of the EURALO Individual Users Association, whom I represented. And also, another correction is that the online meeting occurred on the 26th of October, not the 27th.

I'm pleased to report the following: the meeting was an opportunity to examine mainly four topics. The first was EURALO and ICANN possible support to an ALS such as ours. It was clear that for travel support, if and ever we requested that, it would have to go through EURALO as part of their overall effort.

Also, we brought up the subject of possible staff support from EURALO and ICANN or other ICANN support for surveys which an ALS may conduct. In fact, a few months ago I launched a survey, but it was quite difficult to be run not accustomed to some of the tools.

And just for your guidance, I would indicate that now survey tools such as Monkey Survey are no longer used by ICANN. We all have to use Clicktools, so we'll try to get adapted to that.

The second point I want to mention is the involvement or the possible involvement of our members in EURALO and ALAC work, for instance in working groups. I was told by Yrjö and by Olivier that our association was the first to respond for Yrjö's taskforce, and we're pleased about that.

We were asked to provide a list of members willing to provide expertise, but for various reasons, it was considered more appropriate for us to send in a list of expertise possessed by our members, and that's what I did.

After that, on the urgings of both Olivier and Yrjö, I contacted out membership to ask if they would agree to my giving specific names and specific areas of expertise. I've not received replies to that, maybe because most of the people went to Hyderabad and were very busy.

I promised to send this week a new e-mail to our membership to request the authorization – or otherwise – to provide names with the areas of expertise.

Another area we talked about was the website of our Individual Users Association, and I'm thankful to the EURALO leadership for indicating that in their opinion, our ALS was one of those which had a decent website which provided some visibility for our association, but indirectly also for EURALO and for ALAC.

We were encouraged by the EURALO leadership to provide clearer and more useful links to EURALO and ALAC, and we'll be doing that. Also, we are expecting more detailed feedback from the EURALO leadership.

Anyone on this call could contact me after having consulted our website. I'd be very grateful.

The last area we talked about was future activities of our association, and I put forward the idea that perhaps in 2017, our association could organize and could host a joint event with EURALO, and Olivier remarked that there could be two approaches.

One would be an online event without cost. Obviously, that is just asking staff support for the organization for the recording, for the report, etc. Otherwise, another one would entail costs, and that would be, of course, through a request to be put in to ICANN through EURALO.

Now, if and when we do organize a joint event between our association and EURALO in 2017, obviously, three items would be very important. First of all, the choice of the team, and we're working on that, but your input would be very much appreciated.

Second of all, the speakers – in other words, the people we would invite to be on the panel for the discussion – and the third thing which was a suggestion by Olivier and others was that we should not rule out holding such a joint event on an occasion which is already programmed elsewhere, such as the next ICANN meeting in Copenhagen, or perhaps the EuroDIG meeting.

With that, I have ended my report, and I'm prepared to answer any questions. Thanks.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you very much, Jean-Jacques. Are there any comments or questions? I was going to add these are the current state of affairs, and that, of course, leaves us to the next steps, which would be to start planning any of the work that the Individual Users Association would need to do.

Jean-Jacques, you did mention the allocation of resources. Obviously, this is not something that is a given. It's something which needs to be discussed with At-Large staff, and specifically with Heidi as we all ramp up our business "rapporteur activities."

That obviously is something we need to work out, and I think that's the right time to do it now, or at least start now, because if we're looking at doing something in Copenhagen, as small as it may be, just a meeting, it will require resources to be allocated to it.

Any comments or questions from anyone? I don't see anybody putting their hand up. I note that we are ten minutes late on our call. We did start with a few minutes late. Thank you for this update, Jean-Jacques, and I look forward to seeing more individual users being involved in the At-Large working groups and the EURALO working groups.

Now, on Any Other Business, I note that Sébastien has said he's got one Any Other Business. The other one was from Jean-Jacques Subrenat who asked whether any of the EURALO Board members have been given any specific tasks, as in one person to deal with outreach, one person to deal with Bylaws, one person to deal with inreach, one with policy, etc.

The EURALO Board hasn't met recently. They weren't funded to go to Hyderabad, so we haven't had any meeting of the Board. I would imagine that there should be a meeting of the Board in the next few weeks so that we can start discussing this and start seeing how we can allocate responsibilities, or at least share responsibilities or have topic leaders on these things. Jean-Jacques Subrenat.

JEAN-JACQUES SUBRENAT: Thank you, Olivier. Yes, thank you for these indications, Olivier. The reason I asked this item to be added under Any Other Business is that at the time when EURALO quite rightly is encouraging the ALSes to organize and to come forward to get more engaged in community work on behalf of EURALO and ALAC, I think it would be very important also apart from the ALSes that for the EURALO Board to show that itself, its members of its Board are also as committed and that they have clearly assigned responsibilities with an obligation to deliver. Thanks very much.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you for this, Jean-Jacques. There are several Board members on the call here, so I would imagine that they are currently going, "Yes, we'll discuss this during our next call." Let's have it an action item, please, to arrange for the next EURALO call. I'll follow-up with staff after this.

Second Any Other Business, Sébastien Bachollet.

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Thank you, Olivier Crépin-Leblond. I wanted just to inform you that both AFNIC and Internet Society France is organizing an event on the 8th of December about IANA Transition and after, and just to let you know what ISOC France is doing with AFNIC.

In fact, I have a second Any Other Business. During the Hyderabad meeting, I had a lot of feedback about Internet of Things and it starts to be something – seems to be quite relevant.

As we have people with very good knowledge within EURALO, may I suggest that either an item in our next call or a specific call be organized to discuss what could be the involvement of EURALO, ALSes from Europe in this type of discussion, and tool and technology.

I have the impression it's something that will become more important, and I think it could be useful. And I don't want to target too much people, but I think that Andrei Kolesnikov is very knowledgeable on that and he can maybe help with that in the future. Thank you very much.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Thank you for this, Sébastien. The action item here is – could you just let us know in two words what the action item is, please?

SÉBASTIEN BACHOLLET: Open a discussion about Internet of Things.

OLIVIER CRÉPIN-LEBLOND: Okay, thanks. So EURALO to open a discussion about Internet of Things (IoT) and Andrei Kolesnikov is now in charge. One of his new responsibilities outside of ICANN is in charge of some program that is related to the Internet of things (IoT.)

Finally, I look at the action items and one of the action items has been dropped here, which is that "Staff to organize the new EURALO Board call." Okay, fantastic. I don't see any other hands up. With apologies for being 15 minutes late on the closing on this call, it's been great to see you all here.

I hope it's been helpful to you. We have working groups, a lot of policy work going on at the same time, so please, engage until our next call, and please help out with this EURALO At-Large Structure Engagement Taskforce. As you can see, there is a lot to be done there.

Hopefully, we'll get even more people to come on our monthly call. We are currently discussing ways to improve our monthly calls. We could have maybe part of the call taken up by a little webinar or specific topics.

If you have suggestions to improve it, please drop me a note or drop a note to the staff, and we'll see what we can do to make that call even more interesting for you than it currently is.

That's all. Thanks, everyone, and have a very good month. This call is now adjourned. Goodbye.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Thank you, bye.

YESIM NAZLAR: This meeting is now adjourned. You will now be disconnected. Thanks very much for your participation, and have a lovely rest of the day. Bye.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: Bye.

[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]