## NCPH INTERSESSIONAL PLANNING CALL 12 JANUARY 2017

## AC Chat:

Ozan Sahin: Welcome to NCPH Intersessional Planning Call held on 12 January 2017!

Joan Kerr:Hello Everyone

Klaus Stoll: Why am I on the phone bridge? as I am not.

Ozan Sahin:Sorry for that Klaus, apologies

Poncelet Ileleji:Hello all

Chris Wilson: Apologies from Barbara Wanner. She can't make today's call.

Tapani Tarvainen: Apologies from Matthew Shears as well.

Tatiana Tropina: Apologies from Avri as well

Greg Shatan:Hello all.

Greg Shatan: Vicky Shecklier will be joining a few minutes late as well.

Tatiana Tropina: Please note apologies from Avri Doria as well, she was informed only yesterday about being a replacement and can't join the call

Vicky Sheckler:ii'm on now

Vicky Sheckler:still can't spell :-)

Farzaneh Badii:Hi, I made it

Greg Shatan:Neither can I, Ms. Shecklier....

Tatiana Tropina:Hellloooooo Farz

Tapani Tarvainen: I'm definitely interested in meeting Hedlund

Chris Wilson: Agree re meeting Jamie.

Greg Shatan:Drowning, not waving....

Chris Wilson: Welcome Board members "dropping by" even if informally.

Tony Holmes:Board members welcome

Vicky Sheckler: i would welcome board members too

Farzaneh Badii: Why do they want to attend?

Tony Holmes: I would be more concerned if they weren't interested

Farzaneh Badii: I want to know why they are interested

Tony Holmes:we arent the community? thought we were part of that?

Farzaneh Badii:we want to comfortably talk about ICANN , decision making and NCPH issues

Farzaneh Badii:Does Rinalia want to attend? I guess she won't be a Board member for a long time, is that not correct?

Lori Schulman:Hello. My apologies for the late log in

Farzaneh Badii:support Kathy and Vicky

Tapani Tarvainen: From Sadowsky: "I would participate actively as much or aslittle as the group would prefer. Several Board members participated in the GDD meeting of registries and registrars last Mayin Amsterdam, and Board members are being encouraged to involve themselves in various ways in constituency activities."

Klaus Stoll:That is our board representing us, why do we need to create barriers?

Farzaneh Badii:Board doesnt represent us Klaus. They protect the interest of corporation

Edward Morris:Frankly, I'd rather invite the GNSO Council Chair, a community member, than extra Board members

Chris Wilson:Considering the meeting is available for all to observe remotely, I see nothing wrong with Board members observing in-person.

Farzaneh Badii: I would like Markus there. but not sure about others

Lori Schulman: As of Oct 1, the community is part of hte corporation.

Lori Schulman: I don't like the divides.

Lori Schulman:we need to bridge divides

Tapani Tarvainen:+1 Lori. I think it'd be useful to lower barriers between us and the Board, having them would be useful in that.

Farzaneh Badii: yes through our rep

Farzaneh Badii:who is GNSO Board member? Markus

Joan Kerr:It's always a good idea to build relationship and work together to address them

Poncelet Ileleji:Based on what @Tapani wrote on @Sadowsky comments I see no reason why a board member cannot attend in person

Klaus Stoll:Exectly my point, we need to be open and able to work together

Joan Kerr: It's a public meetting anyway, sinc it's been filmed

Chris Wilson: Agree Joan

Tapani Tarvainen: The more personal contacts we have with Board members the easier it should be for us to work with the Board in general

Klaus Stoll:We can not just pick the baord members that represent us or we like Chris Wilson: Agree Tapani. Farzaneh Badii:cant we Klaus? Edward Morris: Agree with KLaus. If we allow 1 we must allow all. Farzaneh Badii: which board member wants to attend? Klaus Stoll: If we do, we act stupid in the long run. Edward Morris: In addition to Markus, that is. Tapani Tarvainen: Farzaneh: I know of George and Rinalia Wolf-Ulrich Knoben:Hi all Farzaneh Badii: Rinalia won't be a board member for long! Tatiana Tropina: actually yes. Once we allow board members (and this is an open meeting) then we have to allow others Greg Shatan:Isn't Rinalia on until November? Kathy Kleiman:Rob: do we need a decision today? Kathy Kleiman: This is a fairly new issue. Tatiana Tropina: other board members Kathy Kleiman: Would it make sense to talk about the topics first? Farzaneh Badii:until November??? wow the announcement went early Greg Shatan: Agenda wise Tatiana Tropina: yes it would, Kathy Greg Shatan:No, I thought it was the end of Rinalia's term. Greg Shatan:I' Greg Shatan: I don't have any inside knowledge. Farzaneh Badii: No she announced she can't continue I dont know when she will stop serving Chris Wilson: I think generally agreeing to have Board members attend in-person is not contingent on the agenda. The agenda, however, may dictate whether Board members attend in-person. Tapani Tarvainen: Given that one thing we'll be talking about is NCPH Board member selection, it would seem useful to be able to talk with Board members, including outgoing or past ones

Greg Shatan:Farzi, thanks. I had not heard that. I checked the ICANN website and her term is up at this year's AGM (in November).

Tony Holmes: We elct members to the Board but we do not dictate their views at Board level.

Farzaneh Badii:at least we have to talk to them Tony to raise the issues at least

Tony Holmes:sure ....and other Board members as well

Kathy Kleiman: I agee completely with what Greg and Farzi just said - albeit not nearly as poetic and eloquent as Greg.

Greg Shatan: Thanks, Kathy! I will check the transcript and set my intervention to music....:-)

Tatiana Tropina: the group therapy reference made me conviced, so agree with Greg

Farzaneh Badii: Greg should combiune his poems with my violin

Tapani Tarvainen: Rob, that sounds reasonable.

Lori Schulman: Yes, agree with Tapani, Rob's suggestion is reasonable

Kathy Kleiman:music sounds great!

Greg Shatan:Agree.

Kathy Kleiman: Agree with Rob too.

Greg Shatan: Agree with Rob. Also with Kathy. I'll add my sax.

Edward Morris: As more Councillors drop off the attendance list (Amr decided not to come today, and others are wavering) I withdraw my suggestion for number 8.

Lori Schulman:Ed, maybe that slot is where we have a Chatham House discuss re: RPM review or discuss the issues proposed by Wolfgang?

Edward Morris:Love the RPM idea...we really need a frank discussion in this area.

Chris Wilson:Considering Jamie's new role includes "consumer safeguards,", RPM discussion dovetails with a chat with him, too.

Anna Loup: I think that a discussion of the current work being done on the consumer trust, choice, and competition of the new gTLDs needs to be before we can talk about the future. possibly a hybrid discussion? I have a background with the CCT Review Team

Tatiana Tropina:we ha

Tatiana Tropina:sorry pressed enter too early

Tony Holmes: I expressed my opinion by email to the listbut support the idea of starting discussion sooner rather than later

Tatiana Tropina:we have pressing issues in WS2 and we are going to discuss WS3 now? I fully support Ed's email about rejecting this idea

Kathy Kleiman: Rob, Ozan, can we control the slides?

Kathy Kleiman:Tx you!

Benedetta Rossi:@Kathy you can now, I've synched the presentation so you may all scroll now

Chris Wilson:No objection from the BC re Wolf-Ulrich's suggestion.

Lori Schulman:Isn't that what the Subsequent Procedures group is working on?

Lori Schulman: Does this supplement the work?

Tony Holmes:Support Greg's question - would be useful to discuss that point

Kathy Kleiman:+1 Greg, Tony, Ed

Poncelet Ileleji:@Greg I agree to put the pertinent point you raised as the session it will reduce the broadness

Greg Shatan: Fleas hang on, flies drop off....

Farzaneh Badii:why only Councillors Ed? we could have it as NCPH discussion

Lori Schulman: Until WG's and reviewsd are done, I don't if we have enough info for a position

Vicky Sheckler:+1 w/ Ed and Wolfgang re: wolfgang's suggestion

Tapani Tarvainen: How could Chatham House rules work if there's unlimited remote participation?

Lori Schulman: We could open some sessions and close others

Lori Schulman: The ones under Chatham are closed

Greg Shatan: I don't understand how Wolf-Ulrich's suggetion interacts with the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures WG? Is this an NCPH "caucus" on the WG's work? Or is this a suggestion for a round before the WG finishes its work?

Lori Schulman: Wolfgang's work doesn't

Benedetta Rossi:@all: Any additional change in delegates/travellers needs to be notified to staff asap to ensure travel arrangements can be met. Othwerwise we cannot guarantee that arrangements will be made on time for the meeting.

Lori Schulman: I think that they have become conflated

Klaus Stoll:It's great that the meeting seems to have started online already.

Greg Shatan:Support an IP/RPM discussion. Also budget discussion (which I think I passed on from one of IPC's members).

Greg Shatan: I think a conference call of the NCPH reps to the Subsequent Procedures WG would be a better venue for W-U's suggested topic.

Edward Morris: Agree with Kathy: NCPH discussion best at end, dovetailed with future of interesessional

Tapani Tarvainen:+1 Kathy

Anna Loup: Everything has been said

Greg Shatan:NCPH discussion needs to be followed by drinks.

Tapani Tarvainen:+1 Greg :-)

Anna Loup:Nope everything has been said

Anna Loup: My connection keeps dropping out

Kathy Kleiman: Agreed, every NCPH discussion needs to be followed by drinks.

Joan Kerr: I agree that a summary and go forward plan is a great way to end the meeting

Greg Shatan:Brennivín A distilled brand of schnapps that is considered Iceland's signature liquor. It is sometimes called Svarti dauði, meaning Black Death. It is made from fermented potato mash and is flavored with caraway seeds.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoben: There are numerous ISPCP reps capable to co-chair

Farzaneh Badii: I think talking about the near future is better than thinking in the long run. in the long run we will all be dead (Keynes)

Greg Shatan: My suggestion is more "Presenting" than "Futuring."

Tapani Tarvainen: Title: "NCPH - Algorithm or Heuristic?"

Farzaneh Badii:Presenting is good

Poncelet Ileleji:@Farzi +1

Greg Shatan:"NCPH: Threat or Menace?"

Farzaneh Badii: I don't wanna be futuristic. I would have hang out with UFOs otherwise in Atlanta

Tapani Tarvainen: "NCPH: New Hope" :-)

Lori Schulman:NCPH; Rogue One

Anna Loup: I have to leave for another meeting...thanks all!

Lori Schulman: agree, maybe its an Item 5 topic

Lori Schulman: IP/New gTLDs

Farzaneh Badii:yeah people should not drop out last minute.

Farzaneh Badii:thanks Rob

Greg Shatan: Presenting, Not Futuring!

Vicky Sheckler:thx

Tapani Tarvainen:Bye all

Farzaneh Badii:Presentist

Lori Schulman:ciao

Greg Shatan:Thank you all, and bye. Wolf-Ulrich Knoben:Thanks Rob and all Farzaneh Badii:bye all Joan Kerr:Bye Kathy Kleiman:bye all Greg Shatan:Be Here Now. Poncelet Ileleji:Thax and bye all

Ozan Sahin: Thanks everyone for joining the call. The call has been adjourned