

2017 NCPH Intersessional Preparations

5 January 2017 Planning Call Agenda:

- Introductions – Roll Call
- Logistics Update
- Programming Discussion – Narrow Session List
- Volunteers for Session Co-Chairs (by community)

2017 NCPH Intersessional Preparations

Reykjavik, Iceland

T-Minus 40 days



2017 NCPH Session Ideas

1. **Presentation of Group Priorities for 2017 calendar year** – at start of two-day meeting
2. **NCPH Procedural (“In-House”) Issues** - discussion of selection process for the GNSO NCPH Board member.
3. **Meeting time with ICANN Senior Leadership (e.g., CEO)** – preference for in-person appearance
4. **Discussion with staff leader of ICANN Compliance function** (J. Hedlund now announced)
5. **GNSO Review Implementation** – specific subjects TBD
6. **Discussion of Bylaws Drafting Team Report**
7. **Policy Issue Placeholder – Areas of Common Interest** (e.g., policy through contracts or rpm reviews)
8. **Maintaining the GNSO's traditional policy-making leadership position at ICANN.**(description to be shared)
9. **ICANN budget discussion session**
10. **Broad Topic session with GNSO Councilors in attendance**

2017 NCPH Intersessional Preparations

**Next Planning Call - 12 January 2017
1500 UTC**



2017 NCPH Session Ideas

Maintaining the GNSO's traditional policy-making leadership position at ICANN

CSG and NCSG jointly participate in the Policy Development Processes of our GNSO. The importance of the PDPs and Multistakeholder Process was affirmed in the Transition. But is the PDP process being protected and preserved to its fullest extent? Recently, inroads into policy development have been made by the GAC, ALAC, even ICANN staff. The issue of preserving the GNSO process and policy development leadership affects both NCSG and CSG. It is in both parties' interest to explore how to maintain the required checks and balances of the Multistakeholder process, and the policy leadership role of the GNSO.

Questions for this session include:

In what instances is the GNSO PDP process being undervalued or undermined?

What were the reasons?

Is the process usually undermined because we don't pay attention or because of ICANN's deficient governance structure?

What can we do about it?