

**NCPH INTERSESSIONAL MEETING PLENARY #2
14 FEBRUARY 2017**

AC Chat:

Ozan Sahin: (2/14/2017 13:49) Welcome to NCPH Intersessional Meeting on Tuesday, 14 February 2017.

Ozan Sahin: (13:49) We are now starting next session - NCPH Plenary 2

Stephanie Perrin: (13:54) I believe that reducing the nomination period to 10 days is not appropriate, particularly when we have been preoccupied with this session for the past couple of months.

Marika Konings: (13:57) but now it needs to pass through the empowered community, which is an additional step (as far as I understand)

Marika Konings: (13:57) before it was 'just' notification of the ICANN secretary that needed to happen

Stephanie Perrin: (13:58) SOunds like Greg is this Greg speaking?

Ozan Sahin: (13:58) Here is the link of the document we looked at

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_gnso.icann.org_en_council_annex-2D6-2Drysg-2Drrsg-2Dboard-2Dseat-2D01sep16-2Den.pdf&d=DwlCaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=SJoAZJPf4sll7c5HM-90jeUSDBNV8i1H6DgohUn1_U&m=2i5hTGnMFmKFR0q_GgPdjrxGGoHtq2FuG0OG9gIT3as&s=n93ugBMORZJclmh4NncZfk_ZSIsLIRJlpECa9AvQ9c&e=

Stephanie Perrin: (13:59) We still need more than 10 days to nominate

Stephanie Perrin: (14:02) Please remind speakers to identify themselves for the record and for those of us participating remotely

Tapani Tarvainen: (14:03) now it's Greg speaking

Marika Konings: (14:03) there is no Walloon, it is French ;-)

Ozan Sahin: (14:03) Thanks Stephanie. Currently Greg is speaking

Ozan Sahin: (14:03) I have also asked Klaus and Vicky to remind your point

Bill Drake: (14:05) I agree with Avri, 2 terms unless significant problems to take advantage of accumulated experience and sunk costs, 3 terms only exceptionally

Stephanie Perrin: (14:06) I agree with Avri too,

Tapani Tarvainen: (14:11) I find myself more in agreement with Phil than with Avri. It might well be possible to find someone on one side the other side can trust to do well in the Board.

Stephanie Perrin: (14:12) If I were not an optimist I would not be doing what I am doing. However, not sure we can find that excellent candidate within the two months we discover we have now. We have a good one in place, and lots of other work to do.

Stephanie Perrin: (14:20) Thanks for the clarification Greg. I think Ayden's suggestion is worth exploring. Spend the extra time on nomination period.

Tapani Tarvainen: (14:21) Yes. Longer nomination period, shorter voting periods.

Greg Shatan: (14:25) Agree with Tapani.

Bill Drake: (14:26) suggest it should be stakeholder group not constituency and transparent

Stephanie Perrin: (14:29) One of the reasons we need a long nomination period is so that we can reach agreement at the constituency level.

Stephanie Perrin: (14:30) Then we propose at the SG level.

Stephanie Perrin: (14:34) Let me clarify my comment.....nominations should come at the SG level

Bill Drake: (14:36) ok this is getting scary Ed

Stephanie Perrin: (14:38) Please remind folks to say their names

Ozan Sahin: (14:39) i will, Stephanie - this is Lori speaking

Stephanie Perrin: (14:39) Thanks Ozan!

Tapani Tarvainen: (14:39) Did we agree about the board member selection process & timeline? Or even when and how we'll fix the process?

Tapani Tarvainen: (14:40) ("fix" in the sense of deciding the timeline, not as in correct)

Ozan Sahin: (14:40) Jonathan Zuck speaking now

Ozan Sahin: (14:41) Tony Holmes on the mic

Ozan Sahin: (14:42) Ed Morris speaking now

Stephanie Perrin: (14:42) Thanks Ozan. you should just mute their mics if they fail to introduce themselves:-)

Ozan Sahin: (14:43) Rob Hoggarth will kindly remind participants to state their names before the next session starts

Rafik: (14:44) @Tapani joining late, I didn't participate in the discussion and I don't see how at NCSG reached any position on the matter?

Ozan Sahin: (14:44) Thanks all for attending. We will start next session momentarily

Ozan Sahin: (14:45) Next session is Plenary 3 on Next gTLDs