NCPH Presenting Discussion of roles & expectations of our "House" Joan Kerr (NCSG) and Steve DelBianco (BC)



NCPH <u>is</u> a "voting algorithm" for GNSO Council.
NCPH selects chair/vice chair for GNSO Council.
NCPH is a Board Director Selector.
Is NCPH an accountability structure?

The new Bylaws tasked Work Stream 2 to:

"review and develop ... recommendations on SO/AC accountability, including but not limited to improved processes for accountability, transparency, and participation that are helpful to prevent capture" NCPH was <u>not</u> an AC/SO/Subgroup.

Nor was CPH



Bylaws require external review of GNSO in 2019, "to determine ... whether any change in structure or operations is desirable to improve its effectiveness."

"effectiveness" not defined in bylaws, and was not defined in the 2014 RFP.

ef-fec-tive-ness noun, the degree to which something is successful in producing a desired result

The board has an "Organizational Effectiveness Committee", with 3 members attending today's meeting



Shall we suggest a definition of <u>effectiveness</u> for the next GNSO review?

Two aspects to consider:

- 1. internal effectiveness of constituencies and SGs within the GNSO
- 2. effectiveness of GNSO within context of ICANN Fitting for GNSO to have 2 of 15 voting directors?

Does it fit for NCPH to select a single director?



Other discussions

- Should NCPH be more than a Council voting algorithm and Board director selector?
- Should we work together to scope the next GNSO review?
- Should we have future NCPH Intersessional meetings?

