Brenda Brewer: (10/20/2016 11:22) Good day all and welcome to CCT-RT Plenary Meeting #21 on 20 October 2016 @ 17:00 UTC!

Alice Jansen: (12:02) Hi all - thank you for joining - we are waiting for RT members to join before starting the call.

Brian Aitchison: (12:03) Hi all 9041 = me

Brian Aitchison: (12:03) will be in transit for a bit

Carlton Samuels: (12:06) Howdy all

Jonathan Zuck: (12:21) Hey there folks. Sorry I'm late.

Alice Jansen: (12:25) I can email Megan

Calvin Browne: (12:28) could we make an interim recommendation?

Jonathan Zuck: (12:33) yes. registrant data

Jamie Hedlund 2: (12:37) https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__docs.google.com_document_d_1acBjF0veXI-2DMREKmqVBTy9Twxgv1QS 63x8Xg84zwFaw_edit&d=DQIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcwll3mSVzgfkbPS S6sJms 7xclIb5cM&r=kbiQDH54980u4nTPfwdlO4L5Y6-6F 24x0ArAvhdeDvvc&m=0kdsyUkmP gGGiE E k4JcP dy-krqDa_16b7ExvBVp6Gk&s=DCIb_0vvM6JiyO ZxJC XMhDaKax2rwpVqc9XB xub9jA&e=

Alice Jansen: (12:37) that's Jordyn's paper

Brian Aitchison: (12:40) That was me logging back in

Jamie Hedlund 2: (12:40) It was listed in the agenda as Stan's paper. Apology for the confusion.

Jonathan Zuck: (12:41) just messing with you Jamie

Drew 2: (12:44) Dejan - we should coordinate on our papers. Mine is related to voluntary PICs, which might fit into your analysis, but we've looked at different top 30 lists.

Carlton Samuels: (12:46) I'm hearing you Stan

Carlton Samuels: (12:47) Yes but muted

Carlton Samuels: (12:47) from presentation side

Dejan Djukic: (12:47) @drew let's do that offline

Calvin Browne: (12:49) I got a message that I was muted

Alice Jansen: (12:52) @Calvin - I have unmuted you - let us know if that happens again

Calvin Browne: (12:54) thanks Alice

Carlos Gutierrez: (12:57) hello you all, I'm in the room now.
Drew 2: (13:02) I don’t think we can state that trust decreased (4%) because it was within the 5% margin of error.

Stan Besen: (13:04) Which of the observed differences are statistically significant? We need to be careful not to claim that there is a difference when the difference is not statistically significant.

Drew 2: (13:06) Agreed. That is really important.

Jonathan Zuck: (13:08) Yep

Eleeza Agopian: (13:12) Nielsen reported their data at a 95% confidence interval.

Eleeza Agopian: (13:12) But I can run the papers by Nielsen.

Drew 2: (13:12) Great point Stan

Carlton Samuels: (13:12) @Stan: Yes, we can pass it by Nielsen to assist

Jordyn A Buchanan: (13:16) I'm here, but happy to keep working through the Consumer Trust stuff.

Jordyn A Buchanan: (13:16) We spent a long time on Competition and Consumer Choice last plenary.

Drew 2: (13:23) Can we please get scrolling enabled?

Pamela Smith: (13:24) Done, Drew.

Drew 2: (13:24) Thanks Pam!

Drew 2: (13:31) Got disconnected from audio

Carlton Samuels: (13:31) Hi Laureen

Carlton Samuels: (13:32) My paper may provide some answers

Drew 2: (13:32) back

Carlton Samuels: (13:32) Will send it to staff in a few mins

Brian Aitchison: (13:36) Well said Laureen. DNS abuse study will be highly quantitative, and safeguards lend themselves to qualitative inquiry

Drew 2: (13:38) Right, correlation will be our primary method for analysis once we have the quantitative data

Brian Aitchison: (13:38) In a way, the DNS Abuse study will raise more questions than it answers, which is a good thing

Brian Aitchison: (13:39) We need to see where the abuse is first--new vs legacy--and then start trying to explain variation, with safeguards as a key potential explanatory factor

Brian Aitchison: (13:39) Will be very interesting

Brian Aitchison: (13:42) Also, once we have solid data sets and methodologies established with our contractor, we can begin asking different questions of the data
Brian Aitchison: (13:43) I'm pretty darned excited about it actually :-)
Laureen Kapin 2: (13:43) Me too!
Jonathan Zuck: (13:43) Agree!
Carlos Gutierrez: (13:45) good questions, bad research????
Brian Aitchison: (13:50) @Calvin: May be good to mention compliance does monitor and has a complaint portal for wildcarding and orphan glue. but we've received 0 complaints on them
    Calvin Browne: (13:51) thanks brian
    Pamela Smith: (13:51) yes, we can hear you, Brian
    Carlton Samuels: (13:53) @Laureen: My discussion paper have the same issue. It was circulated a little while ago to the list
    Carlton Samuels: (13:56) Mute on
    Brian Aitchison: (13:58) Sorry all, I have a hard stop at the hour
    Brian Aitchison: (14:00) Thanks all
    Carlos Gutierrez: (14:00) me too
    Pamela Smith: (14:00) Done
    Carlos Gutierrez: (14:00) I have to drive again
    Carlos Gutierrez: (14:01) I strongly recommend a discussion on PICs. I made a few comments to Laureen's paper.
    Calvin Browne: (14:02) aha - the call drops after 2 hours
    Carlton Samuels: (14:02) I have to drop off now folks. Have a 2:30p local meeting that will take me at least 20 mins to get to
    Calvin Browne: (14:04) ciao
    Pamela Smith: (14:04) Thank you for joining, everyone.
    Dejan Djukic: (14:04) thanks all
    Laureen Kapin 2: (14:04) Carlos -- I agree we should discuss PICs during next call.