Coordinator: The recordings have started please (unintelligible).

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you very much (Wendy). Good morning, good afternoon, good evening. This is the NPOC Monthly Review call on Wednesday 28th of February 2018. On the call today we have Gangadhar Panday, Joan Kerr, Juan Manuel Rojas, Poncelet Illegit, Raoul Plommer. On the audio bridge we have Remy Marquis and from staff we have myself, Maryam Bakoshi. I’d like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes and please kindly mute your line when you’re not speaking? Thank you very much and over to you Joan.

Raoul Plommer: Well I can take over while we wait for Joan. So has everyone had a look at new charter or more like the old charter and if they’ve found those points in the new charter that we've been working on? Nobody had any comments on the allocated sections but I don’t know if those were really the ones allocated in Los Angeles. I did have the third and (Martin) had the second but all the rest of them are just sort of tried to remember them.
Joan do you have something to say about the - you’ve been checking out the Section 3. I’ve actually - I’ve noticed - I’ve made a little mistake with my spreadsheet because that has the charters that are in the new charter, not the old ones. It should really be in the old one in the spreadsheet because that’s what we need to find in the new charter. So that was my mistake. I hope everyone was able to do their share with even though that was the wrong way around. Joan?

So basically I had actually started working on an executive committee instead of the membership and but that’s my fault. I did find a few things in the executive committee that I think are missing from our new charter. I was going through them on my computer and like the first ones are fine but then there was like in the old charter 3113 it says obtain from the membership committee chair the names of candidates for each office to be elected or obtained from the policy development committee chair the title of the policy issued for both. So I don’t think we actually have a process like that. I think our membership chair doesn’t have that responsibility.

Joan Kerr: Hi Raoul. They called me out, sorry about that.

Raoul Plommer: All right good.

Joan Kerr: But I’ll let you continue anyways this is your - this is for you anyway so welcome everyone first of all. Go ahead.

Raoul Plommer: Yes so I think we on the 3113 on the old charter where it says that the membership committee so our chair, membership chair do you think like maybe you and Ganga especially do you think we should have the membership chair do this like so that basically everyone who wants to be
elected they submit the application to Ganga? I think that’s what the old charter 3113 basically says.

Joan Kerr: Yes it goes to him and is that what you’re saying? But we have a different…

Raoul Plommer: Yes I think now it’s to chair and the executive committee or either or but I see at the moment that it already goes to Ganga.

Joan Kerr: No it goes to - they fill it out and it actually goes to Maryam NCSG. It doesn’t come to us at all.

Raoul Plommer: No but NPOC elections.

Joan Kerr: Sorry, I’m sorry, I thought you’re talking about membership. Oh the - so you’re talking about - tell me which section you’re in so that I go right to it?

Raoul Plommer: So okay it’s the old charter 3113.

Joan Kerr: Yes right. Oh I see it okay.

Raoul Plommer: And yes. So that basically says that the membership chair gets the - would get the list of candidates in the election. And I think there's another bit where something goes to the treasurer as well and that, you know, it's the way we’ve tried to - because there's quite a few active NPOC people we’ve tried to like streamline so that each of us in the executive committee would have a certain responsibility that otherwise there would be a whole committee of doing but like this the way we need to do it. So I think it is good…

((Crosstalk))
Joan Kerr: Yes. So when I did the elections before because I was a membership chair there - it - what I did was for a period of time because the election is broken down into the applications and then the deadlines.

Raoul Plommer: Okay.

Joan Kerr: So once the deadlines were over as a membership chair then it was passed on so that the executive committee that was it. So I would be okay with that.

Raoul Plommer: And that they would come to the executive committee?

Joan Kerr: That it would come to the membership chair as you're saying...

Raoul Plommer: Oh right, right yes.

Joan Kerr: ...because then…

Raoul Plommer: Okay.

Joan Kerr: …it’s clear what happens by what date and whom is responsible.

Raoul Plommer: That's right. And then maybe like the membership chair will have a look at the candidates so that everyone's eligible and everything…

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: …you know, like that is responsibility.

Joan Kerr: That’s right.
Raoul Plommer: So yes. Okay so I think that's something we need to include.

Joan Kerr: Yes just because we’re going for the team approach doesn’t mean that there are not responsibilities that each person has that would...

Raoul Plommer: Yes of course.

Joan Kerr: ...(unintelligible) say right?

Raoul Plommer: Yes. It’s just I mean of course we will cover for each other if there is - like if that person can simply not do the responsibilities at that moment or something so…

Joan Kerr: Right.

Raoul Plommer: Yes. And then another thing on the old trigger the 3114, and I’m sorry I’m going through the executive committee points now but like because those are mistakenly did - I can do the membership ones after that like I was supposed to. But I noticed another one 3114 it says collect and post on the NPOC Web site the statement of qualifications and intentions of all candidates or policy issues on the ballot. Now I didn’t find this kind of specific like a section that would say that statement of qualifications and intentions would be found on the NPOC Web site. I don’t think it says that anywhere in our new charter either.

Joan Kerr: Right. It has to say it somewhere so that’s a good place for it to say it.

Raoul Plommer: Yes. Yes I mean that would be the obvious place and for like everyone to see…
Joan Kerr: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: …who's coming and like what kind of qualifications they have. So I mean others might be encouraged also if there holding a PhD or something they might just have a shot at it. Yes.

Joan Kerr: So...

((Crosstalk))

Joan Kerr: ...just to be clear the - in the old way we did things it was the membership chair received the applications ensure that all the qualifications and requirements are met and only those are then sent to the executive committee. So it would be - it should be along that line that all of the qualifications that the membership chair, the ones that are posted on the Web site have met all of the qualifications and requirements as well.

Raoul Plommer: Right. Okay so...

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: …basically - so that’s the way we do it now…

Joan Kerr: Right.

Raoul Plommer: …if - and that’s what is in the old charter as well and I don’t think we can change that so we need to add it into the new charter.

Joan Kerr: The new one right.
Raoul Plommer: Yes and I noticed that when I went through some of this I noticed that there’s a lot of like for specific like single-lines saying about something whereas we - in our new charter the wording is more ambiguous. So it sort of covers a lot of these single sections in just like one sentence.

Joan Kerr: Right.

Raoul Plommer: Yes. Well 3123 in the old charter, 3123. It says that keep records and make such accountings as are necessary to satisfy the requirements of any funding source or grant. That I couldn’t find but we have a treasurer section in 429. So I think that would be a good responsibility. I mean that’s the basic responsibility of the treasurer I think.

Joan Kerr: Right.

Raoul Plommer: In the previous couple ones like basically the whole 312 in the whole charter I think that should be found in the treasurer section.

Joan Kerr: And so you're right.

((Crosstalk))

Sam Lanfranco: Sam here. Can I ask a question?

Joan Kerr: Go ahead.

Sam Lanfranco: It's Sam. Can you hear me?

Joan Kerr: Hi, go ahead.
Raoul Plommer: Go for it.

Sam Lanfranco: Hi. Just a quick question. Is there somebody in the ICANN staff that has an overview of these charters and knows how these (unintelligible) and these things are handled across the charter? There must be some kind of common approach to a lot of them fairly routine things. And we shouldn’t have to be creating it (de novo). And we don’t want to be continually out of line with how everybody else is doing it. Is there anyone on staff that’s an expert in this?

Joan Kerr: I don’t know. I know that the - this is going to be reviewed by a number of people but that’s a good question.

Maryam Bakoshi: I...

Sam Lanfranco: Normally there would be somebody…

Maryam Bakoshi: Joan, Maryam speaking.


Maryam Bakoshi: (Unintelligible) basically. If I understand Sam correctly I think remember I think so when the (Ken Baugh) helped us with the drafting of this charter what he did was use the best of breed. So they had like a template of some sorts where, you know, the best of the different charters were used as a template for other, you know, sort of other communities to use that. So that was all (changed) at once. And so if we have a question, you know, we can easily go back to the very first one that was sent to us it would help. If not then I’m definitely (unintelligible) to (Benedetta)'s or anyone else. But I think that’s the
same document if nothing else they would refer to. I hope that answers your question.

Sam Lanfranco: Yes thank you.

Raoul Plommer: So did I get this right Maryam that consultant will have a look at this before we submit the charter to public comments?

Joan Kerr: No not a consultant. It will be an ICANN staff who would go through it. In this case I think it’s (Benedetta).

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes.

Joan Kerr: But we have - yes, we have the best in breed charter that was sent to us at the very beginning. And I, you know, I - if we don’t vary from that too much then I don’t think we can go wrong.

Raoul Plommer: Okay.

Joan Kerr: So I’m actually going to be in Puerto Rico early. Like I’m leaving on Monday.

Raoul Plommer: All right.

Joan Kerr: So Mary - yes so Maryam if the printouts are there I would probably just sit down and cross reference again before our actual meeting again because I, you know, I'd like to see them side-by-side, you know so…

Maryam Bakoshi: Sure Joan, no worries. I will ask someone to get that ready for you because I’m not going to be in Puerto Rico so…
Joan Kerr: Right.

Maryam Bakoshi: ...I'll most likely...

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Maryam Bakoshi: ...I'll like get somewhere.

Joan Kerr: So I have some time just pre-ICANN and then we’ll have our meetings because then as Raoul is saying as you go through it you think you’re done and then you see oh gosh there’s this, this, this, you know. And we want to make sure everything is covered. It's we're not trying to get away from our duties. We're trying to streamline them. So...

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Joan Kerr: Sorry, go ahead Raoul.

Raoul Plommer: Yes so did we take notes of these changes that we discussed? Maryam did you take any new notes?

Maryam Bakoshi: I’m sorry notes of what sorry?

Raoul Plommer: Did you take notes of any of the changes that we discussed just now?

Maryam Bakoshi: No I didn’t. But could if you wanted me to. I can always go back to the transcript.

Raoul Plommer: Oh okay.
Joan Kerr: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: Well yes so I guess my last suggestion was to give some of these financial records and those to the treasury, like it’s in the 429 in the new charter.

Joan Kerr: So…

Raoul Plommer: Three one two three, in fact the whole 312 section in the old charter we need to have that included in 429 or whatever the treasurer…

Joan Kerr: Right.

Raoul Plommer: …final section will be.

Joan Kerr: And then the membership oversee of the election.

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Joan Kerr: Okay perfect. So since we're on the old charter 3.1 I did look for this one but I didn’t write it down to make - that we can make appointments. So I just want to make sure because we should be able to - yes we did. Yes we did because we had a conversation about that. It's - I forgot where…

Raoul Plommer: Yes there is a…

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: …there's 313 in the old charter.

Joan Kerr: Right.
Raoul Plommer: And I found it in the new one 426.

Joan Kerr: Right, right additional (unintelligible). We did do that yes. Okay.

Raoul Plommer: All right I think that’s my share on the executive committee so far. Do you guys have some to add on your sections, I mean go on or...

Joan Kerr: Well can I - while they’re thinking about it can I just say something? In our composition for the old charter 3.2 in the old charter we had...

Raoul Plommer: Okay.

Joan Kerr: …one, two, three, four, five, six - well yes six positions. And we don’t have - we - the secretariat has now been a staff position.

Raoul Plommer: Yes that’s scratched.

Joan Kerr: Right...

Raoul Plommer: That’s changed to treasure.

Joan Kerr: …which is an appointment right? So...

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Joan Kerr: So the question is, is our EC then six positions are five positions so let’s make sure that’s clear. Do you see what I’m saying?

Raoul Plommer: Or they share just knowledge really we…
Joan Kerr: I think what we should do we have a lot of organizations not-for-profits -- I don’t know about other organizations -- I know not for profits for sure they have something called director at-large just to have a position there because I think that unless we change the competition - the composition because it says it shall insist on six officers.

Raoul Plommer: Right. Yes our Web site is actually - it needs to be updated on the - because that has (Olivier) is the secretariat.

Joan Kerr: I know it’s - and I think part of his problem is he doesn’t really have a position and I think that’s wrong as well because he can’t…

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Joan Kerr: …be responsible for something if they’re not really - I mean he’s not - I never think it's a fair thing so…

Raoul Plommer: Right. So I guess it’s really five positions.

Joan Kerr: (Unintelligible) excuse for not working but, you know…

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Joan Kerr: …so…

((Crosstalk))

Raoul Plommer: I think five is sufficient.
Joan Kerr: Five, so that - now do we have that in the - let me just look to make sure that we did actually say it's five do you know what I mean?

Raoul Plommer: Yes, yes. Yes I was able to find - there was the chair, vice chair and policy committee chair, communications chair and the treasurer but well yes that’s not part of the ExCom.

Joan Kerr: I’m just going to - so we'll have to change the chairs and stuff but that’s stuff - that's edits. Where…

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Joan Kerr: So we still have to make sure that the job of those coordinators are still - the people are going to apply for them right? Where in the new charter what - where is the elections just so that we…

Raoul Plommer: Actually in our new charter it also says that secretary is part of the executive committee.

Joan Kerr: Yes. But do we want to use the word secretary? But they don’t take notes. That’s usually what a secretary does and then dispense someone that’s between the chair and the staff.

Raoul Plommer: Wait Joan did we not - was - (Olivier) was voted as a secretary wasn't and he?

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: Yes, yes okay so that wasn’t appointed and I mean so basically if we want we can take it out but that - it’s basically been there and it’s all already in our new charter. But yes I guess we have sort of thought that, that’s going to be like
Maryam doing the secretary duties and then we’d have the other five. I guess that we sort of need to discuss that...

Joan Kerr: Yes that’s why I brought it up.

Raoul Plommer: ...what way it’s going to be - yes do we have - do we want to - I guess we could discuss that on the email list really?

Joan Kerr: Yes because I think that’s a change number one.

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Joan Kerr: And we need to resolve it.

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Sam Lanfranco: It's Sam here again.

Joan Kerr: Hi Sam.

Sam Lanfranco: I think secretary that's appointed from within. And I think in my view in most places it can be like the treasurer (unintelligible) or accountable for the executive committee. They are in and out of (unintelligible) depending on what’s going on but whatever the remit of the executive committee is in terms of decision-making (unintelligible) support and advisory capacity.

Raoul Plommer: Sam it’s really hard to make...

Joan Kerr: Yes.
Raoul Plommer: ...like make sense of what you say because I think your - maybe your microphone volume is like too high because it breaks...

Sam Lanfranco: Okay.

Raoul Plommer: ...the noise into a little - oh that’s much better.

Joan Kerr: So could you repeat it?

Sam Lanfranco: Okay is that better?

Joan Kerr: It is.

Raoul Plommer: Yes that’s much better yes.

Sam Lanfranco: Okay it was sitting down near my coat. I was saying that in many of the organizations that I’ve been involved in and the boards and so forth both the secretary and the treasurer are positions appointed by the executive committee and they're answerable to the executive committee. And they participate as needed in meetings which is usually quite frequently or all the time but that whatever the remit of the executive committee is they don’t have a voice, they don’t have a say in those decisions. They can offer information but they’re not elected and they’re not part of the EC.

Joan Kerr: Right.

Raoul Plommer: I think we’re - I’m just going to check it but…

Joan Kerr: So in the old charter the secretariat assists the EC with all the administrator. So we know that and it's normally about taking notes and stuff. We don’t -
they don’t have to do that, provide timely notice of the NPOC site and serve all constituency agendas and stuff which also is in the chair’s responsibility. So maybe that’s what we have to do is what is an overlap of duties number one, request for nominations on the Web site. So there is a lot of Web site notification on the secretariat's part which communications and the vice chair does as well. And, you know…

Raoul Plommer: Yes, yes.

Joan Kerr: …so these…

Raoul Plommer: The 3.2 in the old charter I mean that’s basically the rules that we are living under now.

Joan Kerr: Right that’s right.

Raoul Plommer: But that says as long as there is sufficient willing participants the EC shall consist of the following six officers.

Joan Kerr: Right.

Raoul Plommer: Now it doesn’t say that all those officers need to be elected.

Joan Kerr: Right.

Raoul Plommer: That’s true. But it does say in 3113 it says that the membership committee chair gives the names of candidates for each office to be elected. But it says to be elected. It doesn’t say that we need to elect all of those officers.

Joan Kerr: Right.
Raoul Plommer: So like we just we need to be sure that...

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: ...and sort of decide that how do we want it? I do think (Olivier) was elected as a...

Joan Kerr: Yes he was.

Raoul Plommer: …as a secretary. And now yes so it’s just something came up here.

Joan Kerr: But he hasn’t actually made any attempt in any way to do any of these duties or suggest a way…

Raoul Plommer: Right.

Joan Kerr: …so like, you know yes he was asked to do certain things but you still have your duty as your position so that’s a disappointment I have.

Raoul Plommer: Right. So I guess we don’t really need that position.

Joan Kerr: No.

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Joan Kerr: What I’m saying while I was going through it is Maryam or, you know, on ICANN staff if it’s not Maryam it’s somebody else or if there is other staff that’s already there communications does a lot of these notifications. And interestingly I always ask to send a notification to one for anything to go on
the Web site. Is this just an overlap I mean as well the budget stuff that goes to the treasurer? So now at the very and 3.3.38…

((Crosstalk))

Joan Kerr: …is the charter I’m looking at it says advise and assist the chair and other members of the EC which is what a lot of positions called member at large does. So if there is…

Raoul Plommer: Right.

Joan Kerr: …a duty that you can allocate it to the director at-large I guess is what it’s called. So we could have that.

Raoul Plommer: Right.

Joan Kerr: We could, you know, to satisfy - so that’s my - that would be my suggestion because, you know, or we can change it to five. It is a time to change it.

Sam Lanfranco: I think…

Raoul Plommer: Yes because there’s like 36 and 3337 they are both like treasure responsibility.

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: So...

Joan Kerr: So the question is do we delete it, that position this is for the future for…

Raoul Plommer: Right.
Joan Kerr: …the new one or we rename it to director at-large?

Poncelet Illegit: We leave it as it is Joan because that has always been there.

Joan Kerr: We leave it as a secretariat?

Poncelet Illegit: Yes we leave it as a secretariat. And I mean it says what it is and then internally we try to deal with whatever situations we have with whoever is holding the position but we leave it as it is.

Raoul Plommer: I think…

((Crosstalk))

Poncelet Illegit: (Unintelligible) been there before.

Raoul Plommer: I think Maryam does that pretty much…

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: …as it is. And basically Maryam is acting as our secretariat now. So and I - she's already doing advising and assisting the chair.

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Poncelet Illegit: Yes excuse me Raoul. We should always note that Maryam’s position is an ICANN staff that assist constituencies and yet she's mandated to assist us but us (unintelligible) around internal process - processes have to have that in place. So I think it’s important we leave it. We should not just make it to say
okay because we have ICANN (unintelligible) staff to help us in doing some of those things that doesn’t mean it’s in our own internal processes. We shouldn’t have it and that’s why I think it was there. Now if we have that issue with them we have issues with people not do what they’re supposed to do that one we deal with internally.

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Poncelet Illegit: You know, I don’t feel it is necessary remove it and it has always been there from the beginning and that’s my view anyway. Thank you.

Joan Kerr: Great Poncelet. So here’s my question. Many of the duties that are listed are being done by someone else is the point I was trying to make. And if you notice a lot of things are overlapping. So other than the very last statement even that position is already being done by a number of people actually four if you really want to come down to it four people. So it’s like that is almost null and void in terms of the duties. I’m not talking about people. I’m talking about the position is already being done by other people.

Raoul Plommer: Yes I agree like almost all of them and…

((Crosstalk))

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Poncelet Illegit: Okay we should - what we should do maybe we should streamline it. I totally agree it looks replicated or that people have been doing.

Joan Kerr: Yes.
Poncelet Illegit: So maybe this is streamline it needs but deleting it entirely or maybe we should…

Raoul Plommer: We could…

Poncelet Illegit: …align it (exactly).

Raoul Plommer: I think we could take the whole 333 out.

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: And basically…

Poncelet Illegit: Okay.

Raoul Plommer: …but before doing that just make sure that all of the items there are transferred to another responsible person.

Joan Kerr: Right.

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Poncelet Illegit: Just make it position, a lot of responsible position there Raoul, yes.

Joan Kerr: So what…

((Crosstalk))

Raoul Plommer: Note this down Maryam for the 333 to make sure that the responsible - the responsibilities are shared to the right people in the ExCom.
Joan Kerr: The secretariat position responsibilities are addressed right?

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Joan Kerr: Okay.

Raoul Plommer: Three, three, three in the old charter.

Joan Kerr: Three, three, three yes.

Raoul Plommer: And like basically the way we’ve been working we’ve been doing shares of what the secretariat should have been doing so…

Joan Kerr: Right. Okay so that was one that I noticed that has anything that sort of was an issue for me to deal with is the things (unintelligible) by the way.

Raoul Plommer: Yes, yes it’s - these are some of the last discussions that we are going to have so - and these executive committee responsibilities are pretty fundamental.

Joan Kerr: Yes. And we want to get things done and we really want to start to focus on the job at hand of comments right? So…

Raoul Plommer: Yes on the content itself.

Joan Kerr: Streamline and done. Okay so that - we’ll look at that. I had that written down because I will definitely like I will almost take responsibility for - to make sure that it’s addressed. So I’ll - I will do that one while I’m in Puerto Rico. And then when we have our planning meeting just go through it.
Raoul Plommer: Yes. So was that the last one you found in executive committee…

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: Okay. So maybe Juan would you like to…

Gangadhar Panday: Hello this is Ganga. I have a point to make.

Joan Kerr: Go ahead.

Raoul Plommer: Go ahead.

Joan Kerr: Ganga go ahead.

Gangadhar Panday: Yes. My point is when we have elections and new bodies coming in case there were the old members are not there in the new body in the present form the (unintelligible) the secretary ensures the continuity right? So in the absence of the secretariat continuity whole wasn't able to maintain in case the new body doesn’t tell any members from the old body.

Joan Kerr: We have to operate under the old charter until the new charter is approved. So nothing changes right now.

Gangadhar Panday: That I understand. In the new charter once we get - bring in the versions of the new charter which doesn’t have the secretariat…

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Gangadhar Panday: …right, and when the elections happen and a new body comes some time and which doesn’t have any members from the old body…
Joan Kerr: Yes.

Gangadhar Panday: ...it’s a completely new body it is possible right? Technically it is possible. So in that case there should be some mechanism to ensure continuity from the old body to new body.

Joan Kerr: Oh you're - oh, I thought you were talking about the position I’m sorry so that they - those are term you’re talking about the terms?

Gangadhar Panday: (Unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: Right.

Gangadhar Panday: Because technically it's possible that the new elected - newly elected body may not be having any member from the old body.

Joan Kerr: Right.

Gangadhar Panday: (Unintelligible) that case but technically it is possible. So in that case we need some mechanism to ensure continuity.

Joan Kerr: Yes so that those are terms that are served like so for example the chair is not one year, it’s two years. You know, that there’s a number of positions that are more than one year so that if new people come in there's always a - that’s what - is that what you’re talking about the terms?

Gangadhar Panday: Terms and the continuity from election to election.
Joan Kerr: Yes that’s what it is. Okay. So that’s a good point. Do we have that because right now it’s an annual situation? I thought we had…

Gangadhar Panday: (Unintelligible)…

((Crosstalk))

Gangadhar Panday: ...(unintelligible) secretariat.

Joan Kerr: So the secretariat is a longer-term is that what you’re saying, has a position that it’s a longer term position. Is that what you’re saying?

Gangadhar Panday: Yes, yes.

Joan Kerr: Yes so you could have one or two positions that are more than a year. Yes that’s a good point.

Gangadhar Panday: (Unintelligible) within.

Joan Kerr: Okay.

Juan Manuel Rojas: (Unintelligible). So (unintelligible) can you hear me?

Joan Kerr: Yes go ahead.

Juan Manuel Rojas: Okay hey everyone this is Juan for the record. I am wondering if you Joan I - are proposing to have appointed position to see a link or (unintelligible) right now with ALAC. I miss understood that’s why are you propose what - that is your proposal Joan or I misunderstanding?
Joan Kerr: I didn’t say anything about ALAC I - on this call you mean?

Juan Manuel Rojas: Yes, yes I think that I hear about that maybe we could create an appointed position to be a link or a liaison with ALAC that’s correct?

Joan Kerr: Okay so…

Raoul Plommer: I didn’t hear that.

Joan Kerr: Yes I didn’t hear - I didn’t say it but are you talking about secretariat position that we could do that? Is that what you’re saying or did you misunderstand that I said that? I guess that’s what I’m trying to…

Juan Manuel Rojas: I…

((Crosstalk))

Juan Manuel Rojas: …think that I misunderstand it.

Joan Kerr: Yes no…

Raoul Plommer: Okay.

((Crosstalk))

Joan Kerr: No I did not - I mean that’s something that we can talk about as an appointment but that’s not - I did not say that no.

Juan Manuel Rojas: Okay. Okay thank you.
Joan Kerr: On the other hand Juan I think Raoul had asked you before Ganga spoke if you had anything on your section to highlight?

Raoul Plommer: Can I just go before that just…

((Crosstalk))

Joan Kerr: Yes go ahead.

Raoul Plommer: …talk before that? So if I understood Ganga correctly he issue was that because if we now - well basically we are going to have a new executive committee election...

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: …before the charter is enforced so I think we can basically we can make it clear in the election that it will be very short. And then if - let’s say if the person elected in the election does well then we can appoint him as the secretary after that. You know, he’s - he or she has improved - or proved to be valuable as a secretary so then we can maybe appoint the person there.

And I don’t know I think it would be - it would make this thing a little clearer if some of the executive committee was of these appointees. So basically I’m suggesting that the treasurer and the possible secretary could be like they would be members of the executive committee because it’s like a - making it a little bit difficult as well to share all of the communication to the relevant people. I mean there’s confusion with it pretty much all the time, at least I’m having some.

Joan Kerr: Right.
Raoul Plommer: But yes basically Gangadhar's issue I think we can make a clear that it’s only a short-term position because it’s going to change after the new charter is in effect. We can just say that basically it will only last until the charter is in effect. We can do that as the executive committee when we make the elections.

Joan Kerr: I don’t even think we have to - I think we just have to operate by the old charter until the new charter is approved because that makes…

Raoul Plommer: That’s right.

Joan Kerr: …that is going to make it complicated for people to say oh am I’m going to operate this part by the old charter? And I think we - you have to run through the years, you know, at the end of the term because that’s a real confusion. But we can say once it’s approved the new charter becomes in effect this date right?

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Joan Kerr: So yes I…

Raoul Plommer: But so when we have the election for the secretary we could say that that position will cease to exist after the new charter is in effect right?

Joan Kerr: We don’t even have to say that. They - because they’re not running for the new charter. They're running for the old charter that would be for the next election whenever the - that the person is aware that there is no such position. They would be aware of that at that point. Do you understand?
Raoul Plommer: Sure.

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: Yes well it might take us the whole year to get this thing in effect anyway.

Joan Kerr: Yes (unintelligible) that’s exactly - but I like this idea of this appointment with the treasurer and the secretariat as to Poncelet’s point of keeping the position. And we have a person in that position that works with staff to ensure that the meetings are on the Web site, you know, they’re ensuring they’re overseeing that…

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Joan Kerr: …right because right now do you understand what I mean?

Raoul Plommer: Yes, yes.

Joan Kerr: So…

Raoul Plommer: And there could easily be a role for such a person. But…

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: …we are so few…

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: …actually people at the moment so it’s like yes.
Joan Kerr: And so the, you know, it doesn’t fall to someone. I think that in the future that might be - and then we keep it, you know, as Poncelet says and but it’s an appointment. So we search for, you know, for example the - just as an example when we were in Abu Dhabi there was a - someone from the media and she was interested in helping NPOC. And, you know, she wouldn't want to be on the board but she wouldn’t mind an appointment to just sort of oversee and put some hours in kind of thing. So the…

Joan Kerr: Yes right.

Joan Kerr: You know, that sort of thing…

Raoul Plommer: Yes and then it would be an actual position as well.

Joan Kerr: So she’s like oh I can’t be on the board but I would love to help. I don’t know if you remember as having that the conversation with her.

Raoul Plommer: Right, right.

Joan Kerr: Yes. So that, you know, same as Sam, you know, he has other duties but happy to oversee and come on the calls when the information is needed do you know what I mean?

Raoul Plommer: Right. So do you think it would be better to keep secretary and treasurer out of the executive community out of the formal executive committee?

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: I think we can easily have - oh okay.
Joan Kerr: (Unintelligible) the transition between yes so we keep it yes that's anyway…

Remmy Nweke: Hello Joan.

Joan Kerr: Sorry go ahead.

Remmy Nweke: Yes this is Remy.

Joan Kerr: Sorry?

Remmy Nweke: This is Remy, Remy.

Joan Kerr: Oh hi Remy. How are - you know - sorry I didn’t - yes hi. Go ahead.

Remmy Nweke: Yes the this (unintelligible) I think it is important is for us to actually to (unintelligible) that from the treasurer we are not with Sam and then like - then Ganga said in his solution area what are (unintelligible) the transition (unintelligible) and the (unintelligible) of the very active or in the (unintelligible) explaining why that being the chance we are taking that this (unintelligible) the secretary position. We do not actually (unintelligible) understanding that.

And I also know that there are some other (constituents) that also have suggestions just to certain of the (destruction), you know? So that was my solution to that. Thank you.

Joan Kerr: Okay no worries and thanks. I heard some of it, not all of it. That the point that we were - tried to make is overall we're trying to streamline NPOC and, you know, what are the duties that are being addressed. And so the issue is many of the duties that are already listed under the secretariat’s functions are
being done by other people. That’s the point. So the question is it’s about the position. Do we need that position as we go forward? And it’s duplication of because we’re just a small group but - so a small group needs to be able to have that ability to of appointing people as needed to get the work done.

I mean I tend to think of efficiency in getting things done. So that’s the issue on the table. But okay so let’s just take a quick I guess vote. Do we keep that position, the position of secretary. That’s the first thing in the executive. So the next question will be will it become an appointment? So it’s - the question is should it be an elected position or an appointment position? That’s the question. So the position remains either way appointed position…

Man: I think...

Remmy Nweke: Hello Joan?

Joan Kerr: Hello?

Man: I think it could be appointed position but still part of the ExCom.

Remmy Nweke: Hello?

Joan Kerr: Yes. Okay so we’ve got two for appointments.

Remmy Nweke: Hello.

Joan Kerr: Sorry go ahead.

((Crosstalk))
Joan Kerr: Who is it?

Remmy Nweke: This is Remy.

Joan Kerr: Oh hi. Go ahead.

Poncelet Illegit: Okay Poncelet. I just…

Joan Kerr: Hi Poncelet.

Poncelet Illegit: …(unintelligible) say yes it is better we keep the position secretariat not as an appointed position. Remember we are not doing this charter something for today.

Joan Kerr: Right.

Poncelet Illegit: (Unintelligible) and Raoul personally you have seen the time we have put in on this already. I remember when this thing started initially I was there on the time of (Klaus). So this is a charter that will face for a very long time before (unintelligible) that our review is done. And the way you are leading Joan NPOC is going to get larger. So look at that. We leave it there as secretariat because as (Liam) rightly mentioned there’s a lot of things the secretariat can do is just for us to make sure that we have the right person there that will do those things.

But for us to remove (unintelligible) or try to make it an appointed position I don’t think it would do justice to all of us who have been involved in not-for-profit and we know how not-for-profits run. I would prefer that it should stay there and later within our own internal structures and there will be somebody that will come along as the way you are going about in your leading. And
NPOC is getting bigger. So we shouldn’t look at it from a very myopic point of view that oh now we are small but it’s expanding, you know, so that’s my point. Thank you.

Joan Kerr: Yes great. I’m not actually…

Remmy Nweke: Hello Joan.

Joan Kerr: Sorry go ahead.

Remmy Nweke: Yes this is Remy.


Remmy Nweke: Yes (unintelligible) it's important that we keep it as part of the structure by making it an elected position instead of just an appointee.

Man: Hello? The thing is if I can say something.

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Man: I think that the secretary position and the treasurer position are sort of well I wouldn’t say like being an expert is a requirement but it needs that sort of skills that are suitable for those positions. And I think we could like an appointment basically having three appointments in the executive committee instead of elected positions gives the executive committee more flexibility on who we want to have there.

And I think it’s like somebody comes along the appointments are much easier to like change as well if for example now the secretary position wasn’t really
fulfilled so it would be now easy to appoint somebody new instead. But when it’s an elected position we can’t do it just as easy as that. So that’s why I sort of like the idea of having these positions and secretary position, you know, for the future when we have more members and more active members especially. But I would keep them as appointments instead of elected persons.

Joan Kerr: Okay. So I’ve got two for appointments and Juan did you have anything to say if you can speak or just type in and Sam as well. I - if I Sam you were for an appointment? I don’t know if you had answered the question. And while we’re waiting for Sam and Juan I just want to say something quickly that I don’t think that NPOC is going to remain small. I actually think it’s the opposite. And that’s even more why the executive has to be very streamlined and leads to function as an AC and be doing its job in terms of policy. So okay so Remy is for elective. Sam is for appointment.

Sam Lanfranco: Sam here.

Joan Kerr: Hi Sam. Go ahead.

Sam Lanfranco: Yes I'm for appointment as well. The original charter was written in the IOC and the Red Cross and all those big guns were going to be flooding in they thought but instead they didn’t show up. The kind of build we're thinking - that NPOC is thinking about doing now is a much more organic one and I think a tight well-run EC with the capacity to appoint people to cover tasks…

Joan Kerr: Right.

Sam Lanfranco: …whether they're treasury or whatever gives it a lot more flexibility and the capacity to scale up and down as needed...
Joan Kerr: Right.

Sam Lanfranco: ...without having to go back to charter.

Joan Kerr: Right. That's exactly what my thoughts are exactly. So we have Juan who - I don’t know if he’s still on. Juan did you have something to say so I have everyone - okay so yes I agree with those. Go ahead Juan. Can you hear us or can you type?

Juan Manuel Rojas: Yes.

Joan Kerr: Okay.

Juan Manuel Rojas: I was hearing. Yes I agree with everyone and…

Joan Kerr: Okay.

Juan Manuel Rojas: …thinking about appointed position.

Joan Kerr: Okay.

Juan Manuel Rojas: Appointed position is good. I think that it would be also as Poncelet said with secretariat and policy because yes of course we need that to be a - to select someone to do that position right?

Joan Kerr: Right.

Juan Manuel Rojas: So appointed I think is very good.

Joan Kerr: Okay.
Juan Manuel Rojas: But my question is maybe I am misunderstanding also again on where then we are talking about secretariat position and appointed. But Maryam will not be inside the charter. She will be supporting us but not inside the charter with position right?

Joan Kerr: That’s correct.

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Joan Kerr: She’s what we - they call staff support. So...

Juan Manuel Rojas: Okay.

Joan Kerr: ...she’s a (secretariat). Yes.

Juan Manuel Rojas: It’s okay, it’s okay.

Joan Kerr: Yes. So I’ve got one, two, three, four appointments. Poncelet is for election but agrees that if with an appointment we set out the criteria which I absolutely agree with and Remy also agrees that we need to define what the responsibilities and those are absolutely what we have to do. So by way of it looks like appointment has - is the way of operating then. Great so that was my big thing for the charter Raoul so - and I was looking at all the position and what needs to be done because that’s what I was looking at, what needs to be done not people, what needs to be done. And it just seemed like there was a lot of overlap. So sorry go ahead so appointment it is then.

So I have it down as something that we have to reword. And we can talk about as you said on the discuss list what those criteria would look like. Okay?
Man: Excellent.

Joan Kerr: Right. Next. Go ahead. I think Juan was up for the next if he had anything in his section. Am I correct?

Juan Manuel Rojas: Yes.

Joan Kerr: Okay. Juan, go ahead.

Juan Manuel Rojas: Okay. I really don’t remember that there is any issue on that section. Maybe someone could find anything now or something.

Joan Kerr: What was your section?

Juan Manuel Rojas: Eight (unintelligible) for the new executive committee and we were talking about these - between - we were missing because Raoul was talking about executive committee and mixed with some comments of your section from (unintelligible). So, I think that we already did and those I think that a comment that since December that may be responsibilities for the chair (unintelligible) and go upper and I don’t remember more. And, we were losing - ask for the task or duties for communications coordinator. And, I added to the new - to our charter. So, it’s no more, I think.

Joan Kerr: Okay. All right. Great. And, any questions for Juan, Raoul?

Raoul Plommer: Nope.
Joan Kerr: Okay. And, Remy, I guess is next then. He had a section, as well. Sam or Remy can go, I guess, whichever one. Remy, did you have any questions for your section? Remy still is on, right?

Remmy Nweke: According - excuse me, Joan, according to our charter review responsibilities table that Raoul made, Section 6 was for voting. It was Gangadhar.

Raoul Plommer: Yes. Wait. So, basically, I did that spreadsheet wrong and I apologize for it. Basically, that should have the sections in the old charter because it’s the way we’re doing and now it has the new charter sections there. So, I need to change that. Sorry about that.

Joan Kerr: That’s okay. So, that’s great that we’re having this. So, Ganga said he did Section 4 and Juan says he did Section 4.

Raoul Plommer: Yes. I don’t know if Ganga done it on the old charter or the new charter Section 4.

Joan Kerr: Right. Go ahead, Ganga. Can you address that then, Ganga? He did the old charter. Perfect. Remy is (unintelligible). Okay. Great. Let’s go with Ganga and then we’ll wait for Remy or Sam can go, as well. Did you have any questions or input? Gangadhar, do you have any input from your section?

Gangadhar Panday: Hello. (Unintelligible). I (unintelligible) availability for (unintelligible) in new charter. They’re not in one single (unintelligible). There are some things like (unintelligible), the old charter which is not there in the new charter. So, there are small issues like that. Other than that, we (unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: And, so which ones were they? Which ones did you see that were not there? Hello?
Raoul Plommer: I’ll change the names of the sections in the spreadsheet now according to the old charter. So, then we might get a clearer picture. That was a real (coke up).

Joan Kerr: All right. Well, still people - still did do back and forth regardless, you know. So, change that, but, okay, so 4.1.2 in the old charter. Let’s go to that and see what that issue is - 4.1.2. Okay. So, 4.1 in the old charter is Other Committees, Officers. Okay. All right. So, none of that is actually - maybe we should change (unintelligible) of the following. Why is that - other...

Raoul Plommer: Okay, we still have some inconsistencies with the structure, too.

Joan Kerr: Right.

Raoul Plommer: That’s my input.

Joan Kerr: So, this is the committees that are formed. Wow. First, (unintelligible) assembly. Oh, my Lord. Okay. I’m going to put that down if they address the general assemblies. So, Gangadhar, your question was the officers. Okay. So, the officer of a committee - believe we need to be addressing this. Okay. So, I could not locate a definition of officer. Oh, an officer is somebody that is elected. It’s an elected.

Gangadhar Panday: Oh, is it.

Joan Kerr: Yes. It’s not clear to him who the officers - so the officer would be the membership coordinator, for example.

Gangadhar Panday: Sorry, where does it say that the officers are?
Joan Kerr: It does say it somewhere, but I will have to find it. Definition of officer. I’m just going to write it down so I look it up. It may not have defined it here, but that’s what it usually is and obviously we’ll have to make sure. Okay. So, that was a good point. Any other point?

Gangadhar Panday: Because I was thinking an officer would be pretty much anyone with a title.

Joan Kerr: That’s what it looks like. It is here. That’s what - I think that’s what’s in the vain of what the committees - that’s what it looks like.

Gangadhar Panday: Right. Yes.

Joan Kerr: Which is fine, too.

Gangadhar Panday: So, not necessarily.

Joan Kerr: Oh, gosh. So, I will look it up. Yes. So, it’s saying - you know, it should’ve said instead of officers, it said the committees should consist of. That’s all it should say.

Gangadhar Panday: Right. Yes.

Joan Kerr: You know, it makes it much, much easier. A chair by (chair) recorder, right.

Gangadhar Panday: Yes.

Joan Kerr: It’s just wording. A lot of this like the (same) points out in (unintelligible). This was based on the big organization called the Red Cross, you know, but in
that case, they would have these sorts of things. But, we - this is - we’re representing, you know, not-for-profit centers, smaller. Okay. So, I think that’s - you know, we can change those wordings to just mean the committees. I think what we’ll end up saying something like (EC) or whatever we create committees that consist of, right? You know what I mean?

Gangadhar Panday: Yes.

Joan Kerr: Sorts of things.

Gangadhar Panday: Yes, it’s good to leave - like give us like sort of maximum flexibility.

Joan Kerr: Right.

Raoul Plommer: I’ve changed the names on the spreadsheet now. So, it’s - that’s the headings for the old charter.

Joan Kerr: Right.

Raoul Plommer: Check that yours is right.

Joan Kerr: Okay. So, I don’t have that open, but I go through all of them anyway. You know going back and forth in terms of...

Raoul Plommer: I’ll just paste that onto the...

Joan Kerr: Yes. Great.

Raoul Plommer: (Unintelligible).
Joan Kerr: Okay. Ganga, thank you for that. Sam, did you want to go next or Remy for your sections. Remy is back?

Sam Lanfranco: Can you hear me?

Joan Kerr: Yes, go ahead, Sam.

Sam Lanfranco: Okay. I’m still (reviewing) the Section 7, correct?

Joan Kerr: I think so. So, you were 1, 2, 3, I think first and then 7. Remember there were two.

Sam Lanfranco: There were two. I’m sorry. Anyhow, for number 7...

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Sam Lanfranco: Which is about leaving (unintelligible). There are several problems in there. I (unintelligible) now. I can circulate that and I pulled it out. In 7.2 there’s the resignation of the chair. I’m sorry. The resignation of the chair and the vice chair takes over. There’s nothing that has to do with the resignation of anybody else. They’re not covered.

Joan Kerr: Now, this is Section 7 point...

Sam Lanfranco: Seven two.

Joan Kerr: The old charter?

Sam Lanfranco: In the new one.

Sam Lanfranco: There is nothing referring to the others if they resign. Further down there’s something referring to, I think, if they get booted out the procedures. That was not (omitted). Seventeen refers to (unintelligible) need for non-participation is stipulated in same section 7D and E, but we don’t do it as D and E anymore. So, we have to - it’s just a typo there that needs to be straightened out. And in 7.4, the sentence is incomplete. If somebody fails to attend three meetings makes an apology, they will be treated as per section and it’s blank.

Joan Kerr: Okay.

Sam Lanfranco: From the (unintelligible), the two things - one of the main things it says (unintelligible) just to add some language about how if somebody resigns they would be treated and my suggestion is to treat them the same way that the (EC) treats somebody who’s been there - treats the decision where somebody has been removed, that there is temporary replacement to finish the term. It don’t say there the period is longer than six months that there should be a new election. I’m not sure that’s a good idea, but that can be left there for now. And, then I take part of 7.7 and use it - let’s make 7.4 refer to 7.7.

Joan Kerr: Okay.

Sam Lanfranco: That’s the easiest. Make 7.4 refer to 7.7. Seven three refers to (unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: Right. Okay. Great. See how these catches are really good.
Sam Lanfranco: And, there’s a number in 7.8 between impartiality and accountability there’s a 16 that need to be removed.

Joan Kerr: In which one?

Sam Lanfranco: It’s 7.8 in the first sentence. There’s a stray number in there.

Joan Kerr: Oh, right. Sixteen.

Sam Lanfranco: And everything else seems to be okay in there.


Remmy Nweke: Hey, Joan.

Joan Kerr: Hello. Yes, go ahead.

Remmy Nweke: Yes, I think (unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: Yes, go ahead.

Remmy Nweke: (Unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: (Unintelligible).

Remmy Nweke: You’re asking me what I should do about the (unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: I’m having a hard time understanding, Remy. It’s my phone.
Remmy Nweke: Okay.

Joan Kerr: Maryam is typing it. He wants to know - oh, sorry. When we were in LA, you had a section to compare. I’m asking if you had any inputs or were you able to do your section? Yes. Great. So, Remy, I was asking when we were in LA, each of us were allocated a section to look at and compare the old charter to the new charter if that subject or criteria was actually addressed in the - from the new - the old to the new. Were you able to do that? I think his line is breaking.

Remmy Nweke: Hello.

Joan Kerr: Yes, go ahead, Remy? Go ahead. Go ahead, Remy. He’s having phone troubles. I know all about that sometimes. We heard you say hello, but that was it, Remy.

Remmy Nweke: Okay.

Joan Kerr: Can you hear me?

Remmy Nweke: (Unintelligible). Yes, I was able to (run through) the Section 8 (unintelligible).

((Crosstalk))

Remmy Nweke: About sections. I left some comments on the new charter. I wanted to (unintelligible) the - especially the (unintelligible) of the amendment of the charter. Thank you.
Joan Kerr: Yes. Be consistent. That’s if we want to go on the old definitions. One to four and label. Okay. All right. So, that’s a new charter. So, Raoul. He did Number 8 he said.

Raoul Plommer: Okay. What was the number?

Joan Kerr: Remy said he did Number 8.

Raoul Plommer: Okay.

Joan Kerr: Yes. And, his comment is that we have to be consistent. That is if we need - are going to add definitions to items 1 to 4. Okay. So, let’s go to that. Okay. So, all of it. And, the recommendations that you could see, Remy?

Remmy Nweke: (Unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: In your section, did you have any recommendations. I mean, yes, it’s great that we have to be consistent, but are there any recommendations that you thought that we should look at, or any suggestions? I think that was the point of the exercise.

Remmy Nweke: And, nothing precisely. (Unintelligible). There is no more (unintelligible) here. But, none too substantial, did see all (unintelligible). My next comment. (Unintelligible) in the new - in the new charter, pardon me, (unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: That will be corrected. Oh, okay. So, the content was okay, but we had to just ensure that the numbering coincide with each other. Is that what you’re saying, the reference is? Is that what you’re saying?
Remmy Nweke: Yes. (Unintelligible) because we have some new (unintelligible) in the (unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: Right. So, for example, in 8.3.8 I can see it right now. The reference to Section .3 of the ICANN bylaws, is that consistent? So, we have double checked all of those. Okay.

Remmy Nweke: (Unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: Right.

Remmy Nweke: (Unintelligible) of ICANN bylaws.

Joan Kerr: Right. Okay. So, you (unintelligible) so what - so it would be nice to know which ones that you’re particularly concerned about then.

Remmy Nweke: No, none need to be (unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: Okay. So, my understanding, Raoul, is that the content was okay - I mean we all understand that with the general edits, as Sam has pointed out, but the reference is to the different sections and whatnot, we’ll have to do. Hopefully, probably when they’re side-by-side. So, if I understand Remy saying that the content was fine, but I’ll look at it, as well, as we’re changing things.

Sam Lanfranco: Joan, did you say that you’re traveling on Monday?

Joan Kerr: Yes.

Sam Lanfranco: Okay.
((Crosstalk))

Remmy Nweke: Joan?

Joan Kerr: Yes?

Remmy Nweke: In this section, there’s an (unintelligible) which has to do with these. I left some comment there. Ask that you - if we (unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: Section 11 which is (unintelligible). Okay.

Remmy Nweke: I left some comment there because we need some decision (unintelligible) affecting their payment or things like that. (Unintelligible). But, among that (unintelligible) to get the (unintelligible) passport (unintelligible). And that makes sense (unintelligible).

Joan Kerr: Okay. We just put it in there. We’re not sure if we’re going to go that route, but at least it’s there.

Remmy Nweke: Okay.

Joan Kerr: Sorry, Raoul, you were asking me a question?

Raoul Plommer: I might have been.

Joan Kerr: Yes. It’s Monday that I’m going to be.

Raoul Plommer: Oh, yes, that’s right. If you’re traveling on Monday and I was wondering if we can still squeeze one more meeting before Puerto Rico because I think I can definitely identify a lot of stuff to do still?
Joan Kerr: So, what about Friday or Sunday?

Raoul Plommer: Like day after tomorrow?

Joan Kerr: Yes. Or Saturday. It’ll have to be Friday.

Raoul Plommer: Right. Yes. Friday won’t do. I’m going to the Open Data Day.

Joan Kerr: Right. So, I can do it when I’m in Puerto Rico for next week.

Raoul Plommer: So, would Tuesday be all right with you?

Joan Kerr: Yes. It’ll be fine.

Raoul Plommer: All right. Could we scheduled another meeting at 1 UTC for Tuesday, Maryam.

Maryam Bakoshi: Let me just double check that. One second.

((Crosstalk))

Joan Kerr: It’s Wednesday. But, Tuesday is also good.

Raoul Plommer: Is that good for others?

Maryam Bakoshi: How about Tuesday, the 6th, correct?

Joan Kerr: Right.
Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Maryam Bakoshi: Okay. Yes, that’s fine. Thank you.

Joan Kerr: Is Tuesday - Tuesday is fine for me, but Wednesday is good, as well.

Raoul Plommer: Yes, Wednesday I think most of us will be traveling that day.

Joan Kerr: So, Ganga, says the 6th is okay.


Joan Kerr: Okay. So, at same time?

Raoul Plommer: Mm-hm.

Joan Kerr: Not 9 o’clock?

Raoul Plommer: Yep.

Joan Kerr: Eight o’clock or 9 o’clock?

Raoul Plommer: Let’s speak UTC again. So, 13 UTC.

Joan Kerr: Okay. Thirteen hundred UTC. All right.

Sam Lanfranco: Joan?

Joan Kerr: Yes.
Sam Lanfranco: Sam here. Just one quick final comment from me today. To (finish) the Excel spreadsheet they put down a section 7 from the old charter beneath the comment on. Section 7 had to do with the (unintelligible) appointment of a counsel to the GNSO. We don’t have one. So, that whole Section 7 in the old one isn’t in the new one and that’s correct. It should be in the new one. They can just draw a line through that.

Joan Kerr: Section 7 from the old or the new?

((Crosstalk))

Sam Lanfranco: Now, the (unintelligible) out on the spreadsheet now the way it’s worded on the spreadsheet, it’s referring to Section 7 on the old one. The GNSO counsel representatives (unintelligible) which is either a mistake or my name is next to it. I’m just saying it’s irrelevant.

Joan Kerr: Right. Okay. Thank you.

Sam Lanfranco: We’re okay. We don’t have to do anything.

Joan Kerr: Okay. All right. So, let’s meet again on Tuesday like - sorry, would you do some cross-references from this input then, Raoul?

Raoul Plommer: Yes. Maryam, if you could - Maryam send notes by e-mail to all the participants and we’ll take it from there.

Sam Lanfranco: I may have to be traveling that day, too. I have to set out my Chinese visa.

Joan Kerr: Right. That’s fun.
Sam Lanfranco: That’s one way of putting it.

Joan Kerr: This is not to India. Anything is fun. Gosh, India’s visa was such a - I don’t want to even talk about that anymore. Anyway, okay, so we’re good then? I tend to look at things from a logistics point of view, as well. You know, will it work? How will it function? Things like that. So, anyway, I think we - we’re good for next week then. We can call this one to an end if no one else has anything to say. Am I correct?

Raoul Plommer: So, everybody agrees on - if you can have a look at the spreadsheet that has the charter review allocation. If you can just make sure that those are like your correct sections...

Joan Kerr: Okay.

Raoul Plommer: Because I’m actually thinking of maybe making like - some kind of a map where we could actually link the section in new section if the old section is there because if it’s not, that might be like a problem. I could try and do that for like - I’ll do it, but separate sheet for checking that. In fact, I’ll do one for each like whole section. You know, like from 1 through 11. I’ll make a spreadsheet for all those bits and like how to - where basically to say that this section is in the new section here. You know, and then record that section what is in the new one, or otherwise, just leave comments because that’s - I think that’s the only way we can really be sure that it’s all there. And, if we need to discuss anything. It - but that’ll take me a couple of hours, I think. But, I think it will be worth it because that’s going to be one way of really finding out that if we have everything put together.

Joan Kerr: Okay. So, if that works for you that’s okay.
Raoul Plommer: Yes. I can do that because I screwed up with the spreadsheet just now that’s my fault so I’ll prepare it and make it better - a more thorough overall.

Joan Kerr: And for - Maryam if the printed copies are there in Puerto Rico, I will also go through it and just cross-reference it that way, as well. So, it’s being done both ways because I think we’re at the point where we have to make sure that - like Sam says, we have to - Remy, we have to be consistent. So, I’ll check those things. I’ll try to check them before the meeting. Certainly, before the planning meeting for sure. Okay.

Maryam Bakoshi: Joan, (unintelligible). So, we went (unintelligible) until Friday, Saturday - each Friday. So, that would be the only time you can get the things (unintelligible). So, from Saturday.

Joan Kerr: Is when?

Maryam Bakoshi: From Saturday.

Joan Kerr: Next Saturday.

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes, next Saturday.

Joan Kerr: Oh, okay.

Raoul Plommer: Is that before our outreach?

((Crosstalk))

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes.
Joan Kerr: Officially it doesn’t start until. Okay. All right. That’ll be it, I guess.

Raoul Plommer: Maryam, have you already like sent the order through? I mean should we maybe use - should we use a local printer just to give some more money to Puerto Rico and, you know, to have it right there.

Maryam Bakoshi: No, I haven’t sent the order through, Raoul, because from the last action item I was told I was going to have to wait for you and Joan to give me the instructions to do that.

Raoul Plommer: Oh, okay. Right. Well, do you think you would be able to like pick a printer place at Puerto Rico and just deal with them?

Maryam Bakoshi: No, because I’m not going to be in Puerto Rico. So, that will be - I don’t know anybody who would be able to help with that.

Joan Kerr: So, if I was in Puerto Rico and I used let’s say the hotel then, would I be reimbursed because it’s 60 pages?

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes. I don’t know. I would have to ask.

(((Crosswalk)))

Sam Lanfranco: All right. Let’s...

Joan Kerr: We need to (unintelligible).

Raoul Plommer: I can look for a printer - a place - and then they will send the bill to Maryam. She pays it and they deliver it to Joan’s hotel. How’s that?
Maryam Bakoshi: Raoul, I’ll have to get permission for that first because I can’t take that decision on my own to pay for something.

Joan Kerr: Okay. Well, let us know as soon as you can then.

Raoul Plommer: Yes, please.

Joan Kerr: And, Juan’s question is what about the printed material. Are you talking about the booklet or the charter one? Both. My understanding is that the booklets are going to be there. Well, it’s probably not going to be next week. It’ll be during the conference. As far as I know, they are going to be in Puerto Rico. I did receive an e-mail asking when I was going to arrive and I sent that information for the booklet and the flyers. And, so now we’ve just arranged for the printed material. I’m waiting to hear back from Maryam.

For sure the printed materials for our planning session will be there, but the issue is will it be there for next week. Am I correct? Am I correct mostly, Maryam?

Maryam Bakoshi: Yes.

Joan Kerr: Okay. All right. I think that’s it then. So, we’ll talk on Tuesday. Otherwise, see you on e-mail or Skype.

Sam Lanfranco: All right.

Joan Kerr: All right. Thanks a lot. Great job. We’re getting there.

Sam Lanfranco: Yes. Thanks, guys.

END