

ICANN

Moderator: Maryam Bakoshi
March 6, 2018
8:00 am CT

Maryam Bakoshi: Please can you confirm if the recording had started?

Coordinator: The recording has started.

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you very much, Ed. Good morning, good afternoon, good evening. This is the NPOC Charter Review call on Tuesday, 6th of March, 2018 at 13:00 UTC for 60 minutes. On the call today, we have Gangadhar Panday, Poncelet Ileleji, Raoul Plommer, Sam Lanfranco, Olevie Kouami. We have apologies from Juan Manuel Rojas, and from staff, we have myself, Maryam Bakoshi.

I would like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes. Thank you very much and over to you, Raoul.

Raoul Plommer: Okay, hello there. Yes, we are quite a few today, only four of us but I basically, I've made -- I've gone through about half of the executive committee, like basically I don't know if you guys had a look at the spreadsheet I created but basically I made a separate sheet for each of the

sections of the old charter. And what we're doing now is basically going through the old charter and try to find those specific points or the specific sections that if they are also covered in the new charter. And this spreadsheet helps us doing it. Basically, you just on the left side of the sheet, you have the content of the section in the old charter. Then the middle one is the section in the new charter. So basically that's just numbers in the new charter and then there's the -- we can make a paste of the relevant point in that new section.

That might be even a little more thorough than what we need. So you can see if you have a look at the executive committee that's the third sheet or number three in the spreadsheet. You can see more numbers than content listed there. But basically I had a look at this and I saw that no one else has done anything on this spreadsheet. So I don't know, I think we really need to do it at least somewhat thoroughly because there are a lot of issues that we can't find in the new charter or that they're just mentioned in a different way. So we do need to go through this.

Do you guys have any comments on this?

Gangadhar Panday: Hello, can you hear me? This is Gangadhar Panday from Hyderabad, India.

Raoul Plommer: We can hear you.

Gangadhar Panday: Thank you for the spreadsheet. It's very easily made but what the problem is, unless until we go through the entire (unintelligible) will not be able to know whether it is (unintelligible) from there or not. Like in my case, I'm doing communications and in the new charter it is under Point 10, right. And in the old one, it is directly same reference is not there. Under 6.1

(unintelligible) meetings and conferences. So this has become communications in Point 10 in new charter right.

So what I'm trying to do is just I will find the keyword and then control (unintelligible) in the new chapter and find all data references there so that I can find the relevant information. So is this (unintelligible) will define, we need to go through all the chapters. New Chapter 10 for communications we will read but not many things are covered there. They're covered elsewhere. So how do we find it?

Raoul Plommer: Yes, so like you said, it's good to use a keyword. Like for example, if we're now looking at this communications sheet, that's the one that you had. So basically, you can use keywords and you basically open a new window for the new chapter and then do Control F on the new charter, and use keywords like if we are looking at the 6.1 now. So you can try to use keywords like teleconference or minutes.

Gangadhar Panday: (Unintelligible) appearing. Teleconference word, keyword and then the Control F function, I found it that the (unintelligible) but all this (unintelligible) it is not given the meaning in which the old charter is mentioned. So in the comments, in the spreadsheet comments, because I'm allowed to make only comments, I made comments that teleconference is available in the new charter at the following numbers.

And similarly, Robert's Rule of Order, is it not mentioned anywhere and old charter says a listserv, for communicating you use the listserv, and this is also not mentioned anywhere in the new charter. So same thing I put in the comments. But I'm not sure if this is the content is reflected from (unintelligible) keywords. It is (unintelligible) what happens, no? If this same

keyword is mentioned in the new charter then only I will be able to correlate that.

Raoul Plommer: Hang on, please stop. Okay, so basically it's not enough just to find the keyword and list the sections. You actually have to read the old charter and what is its meaning and you have to find that meaning in the new charter. If it's not there, then you should write that also down in the column C where it's supposed to list the content of the relevant section.

So you basically make a note there to say that, okay, I mean this 6.1, this has a few things there. There is basically it says that okay, all meetings will be teleconference and/or in person. So okay, then you have a look and when practical it's advertised in advance. So basically, you first have to -- you sort of had to break that down into these different things and then have a look if those can be found. If they cannot be found you comment there that this is not there and then we have to discuss whether we do need it, okay?

So it's like, I mean this is totally acceptable -- I mean I was totally expecting this that there is a lot of stuff that is not in the new charter and some of it might be left out for a reason but I'm sure there is quite a few points that are left out because we quite -- we did a really thorough renewal of the charter. Basically, what we've done, and this is to make it more I guess easier for the public comments period is that the new charter looks very much like the NCUC charter. We've basically replicated it and changed the occurrences of NCUC to NPOC.

And I understand that's a bit of a challenge to change the charter that drastically, but I really do think that it's the best way to get the public comments through because we can always go back to the NCUC charter and say that, look, this was accepted here. It should be accepted here as well. So

I'm expecting if we do it like this, I'm expecting a lot less resistance against accepting this whole charter. Okay?

Gangadhar Panday: Another point to make just to what you said. My point like in 6.1 old chapter, there are three main (unintelligible) all meetings prior information should be there, number one. Number two, minutes of the meetings will be made available to the public. Number three, Sir Robert's Rules of Order, right. So going by the keywords, if I'm not finding that in the new charter, it may be mentioned elsewhere with the meaning (unintelligible) simply as an entrant somewhere. But how do I find that? So I need to read all of the new charter, right, or how do I -- is there any way? Keywords are not (unintelligible) but the sense -- the meaning is reflected somewhere in the new charter, right? If I compare six in the old charter, the new charter communication (unintelligible).

But if I attempt (unintelligible) okay. But if it's not there, it may be elsewhere 1 through 9 or (unintelligible) afterwards. So how do I do that?

Raoul Plommer: Sorry, how do you?

Gangadhar Panday: How do I ensure that a sense of the meaning, the sense of this point is not mentioned in the chapter elsewhere?

Raoul Plommer: Okay, well, I mean basically if you cannot find it -- if you can't find the meaning -- like you said, in the 6.1 there was like three separate points, yes. So if you can't find one or two of them, or any of them in the new charter, you make a comment saying that, okay, this -- like the essence or the meaning behind it wasn't found. And that's enough. It's perfectly okay to say that you couldn't find it.

Gangadhar Panday: Okay. But I can say that this particular bit of words are not available but the meaning is not reflected. How do I say it? Because same meaning in the - - arrived by using different set of words, right?

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Gangadhar Panday: Same as -- and not in advance of the meeting. Same thing can be said in prior information or maybe some other set of words. Because a lot of words can be used to (unintelligible) particular meaning.

Raoul Plommer: Yes, that's right.

Gangadhar Panday: (Unintelligible) if meaning is not there. Unless until I read through the whole of the new charter and just remember the points. So which (unintelligible).

Raoul Plommer: Yes, I got it. So, okay, basically, there usually is a corresponding section in the new charter with the old one. A lot of the sections are -- they have a very similar topic, yes, like the headline of the section.

So basically, what you would do is basically go through the same section, like I'm expecting you to only read...

Gangadhar Panday: (Unintelligible).

Raoul Plommer: Listen, listen. I expect you only to read number 10 thoroughly because that's your communications, it's your thing okay. So basically, you need to know number 10 inside out. So then you know if it's not there, you have a look elsewhere but don't waste too much time on that. Just have a look where -- because there are a few places where it can also be but usually, it's just like

one or two sections in the new charter. So you basically look those one or two through. If you can't find it there with the keywords or anything, then you just make a comment that you couldn't find it and that's it. And get onto the next one, 6.1.1. Yes?

Gangadhar Panday: That's good. Because like in my (unintelligible) communications, Point 10 in new chapter and there may be a couple of other headings, other points, which other possibility to have this meaning, right, in case of number 9 is changes to the bylaws. There's no possible take on my communications to be mentioned there. Number 11 (unintelligible) is no possibility. So I'll just (unintelligible) other than this point in communications what is the other possible headings each in that may contain this and I'll give a good read to those points also. And then put my comments, right?

Yes, that's it. If you can't find it, you just make a comment that you couldn't find it.

((Crosstalk))

Raoul Plommer: Listen, listen. I think the minimum what everybody needs to do here is know the corresponding section in the new charter. That they do have to do. That's the minimum for this. If you can't find it in the corresponding section then it's sort of voluntary work to have a look at it for elsewhere. But that's the minimum. You have to know that it either is or isn't in the new section. If it isn't, I'm not forcing you to have a look elsewhere. We can do that collectively later but you have to know if you can find it in the new corresponding section or not.

Gangadhar Panday: Yes, right (unintelligible) because I was worried about going through all the sections to find the meaning (unintelligible) picked it out or not, then (unintelligible) cumbersome. Now, it's very clear and this in depth

communications new charter point 10 (unintelligible) go through and then try to compare it with the older charter is very (unintelligible) in case it is here, it is no problem. In case it is not, then I'll go to other part of heading committee charter and (unintelligible) to find this meaning is somewhere there or not. And then I'll finally say it is not available in literature. Right?

Raoul Plommer: Right, right. I'm having a look at this communications and it actually only has like one, two, three, four, five, and six -- only six places. So a few of those -- I mean, for example, 6.12 you can probably find in the policy committee section, yes. And 6.13, you can probably find in the executive committee section. So have a look at those. Those are pretty obvious that okay, they're probably going to be in those bits of the document.

But if you can't find it, if you can't find the meaning then just leave a comment that yes, this wasn't stipulated, like this wasn't mentioned anywhere. Like for example, 6.12, policy committee meetings will be held no less than six times per calendar year and are open to all active members of the NPOC. I don't think we have that kind of a specification in the new charter.

So then you just type in that like maybe there is something that how often they will meet, but there might not be anything. So in this case, if there wasn't anything you would just write there that there is no specification how often policy committee will meet. Okay?

Gangadhar Panday: Another thing. All these (unintelligible) notations are made under comments, right?

Raoul Plommer: Sorry, can you repeat that?

Gangadhar Panday: The spreadsheet, I also need to make a comment only, not (unintelligible) the proper fill, I can just make a comment, insert a comment.

Raoul Plommer: Yes, yes. So basically, if you find the content, you paste the relevant content but for example, the 6.1, okay. So the point that you find there, you list numbers of the section and you copy/paste the meeting and put it to the content column. And if you can't find it then you mention that I could not find this part, okay?

Gangadhar Panday: I want to write how to put in columns only because I have (unintelligible) permission to comment only. I cannot do copy and paste or write anything in the columns.

Raoul Plommer: Oh, right. Okay. Sorry, that's my fault. Hang on. Right.

Gangadhar Panday: I need to request to access to edit the sheet.

Raoul Plommer: Yes, okay.

Gangadhar Panday: Okay, let me see. Let me (unintelligible).

Raoul Plommer: Hang on. I'm going to paste it on the Skype channel. Now, that link should give you all editing rights. I'm sorry I can't paste it to the..

Gangadhar Panday: I got it, I got it.

Raoul Plommer: Okay. Okay. So that's in Skype and everybody can edit through that link, okay?

Gangadhar Panday: Yes, now I got it. Yes, fine. I will just making a (unintelligible) document and then some points, cut and paste here now. Now, (unintelligible) but my comments are not available. Yes, they're also fine.

Right, now you can see the entries that are pasted. Can you see that?

Raoul Plommer: Yes, okay. So, right, yes, yes. So basically, instead of listing the numbers on the content column, you would list the numbers in the section in the new charter. That's where you list the numbers just to keep it sort of...

Gangadhar Panday: Column B?

Raoul Plommer: Yes, yes. That's where you list the numbers of the section. To have them separately I think will make it a little easier for the final edit.

Gangadhar Panday: You have the (unintelligible) to wrap text or something or just include the rest of column B slightly because it is leaning over into that (unintelligible) column.

Raoul Plommer: Okay, I wrapped it.

Gangadhar Panday: Good. Now, it's fine. (Unintelligible) is to be done and I will do it now, right.

Raoul Plommer: Excellent, excellent.

Gangadhar Panday: Listen to Sam now.

Raoul Plommer: Go ahead, Sam. Can you hear us, Sam?

Sam Lanfranco: I can hear you. I don't have anything to say now. I'm still trying to sort out getting into the spreadsheet.

Raoul Plommer: Okay. Do you understand how it works?

Sam Lanfranco: Yes, I do. Google is just patching me through a big (unintelligible).

Raoul Plommer: Okay. Right. Well, I mean it's only the three of us in this meeting now. So I don't know, maybe we'll be -- yes?

Gangadhar Panday: Yes I have a question Raoul. Like you have already...

Raoul Plommer: Yes?

Gangadhar Panday: ...done some - already some points you have covered right? Is there any issues are there?

Raoul Plommer: Sorry issues on what?

Gangadhar Panday: Like communications you selected to me, you read from chapters, right, some points in chapters? Can you discuss that now any issues are there in that?

Raoul Plommer: Okay, okay. In fact I was thinking about this a little because yes okay this is quite burdensome but it's very thorough way of doing it. But I - if you have a look at the spreadsheet now there's the...

Gangadhar Panday: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: ...first sheet is the review responsibilities yes? The next one...

Gangadhar Panday: Yes, yes.

Raoul Plommer: ...is issues. And I tried to make it so that you'd basically list the issue, you know, what's the name of the issue what's the problem basically. And then you would list the likely section for input. So basically you would put it, put the number of the section in the new charter of where that issue should be resolved into yes? So basically...

Gangadhar Panday: Okay.

Raoul Plommer: ...for example I think you identified the merging the amendment section because there was like - that was basically now in two places in the new charter I think, at least partially. I think it was the Section 9 and Section 13. So basically we'd want to merge all that into Section 13.

Gangadhar Panday: Thirteen yes, yes.

Raoul Plommer: Yes probably take...

Gangadhar Panday: So you're going to redo - so we have to redo the Section 13 again so that it includes the missing information?

Raoul Plommer: Yes that's right.

Gangadhar Panday: Oh well we're going to have (unintelligible)...

Raoul Plommer: Or did I get it right? I mean if basically if you just like for example if you're merging the amendments - oh sorry I'm...

Gangadhar Panday: No like the - I went through the new chapter at a quick glance then what are several issues I found there is a section which says news. So now (unintelligible) you'll have finances or a renew or a treasurer something like that you can do that. Do you see...

((Crosstalk))

Raoul Plommer: Sorry, just a second. Sorry I had a - there's a colleague having another teleconference call and it was getting a little hard to concentrate. Sorry can you repeat your last question?

Gangadhar Panday: Yes like I (unintelligible) agreed to the new chapter and they tried to put in different things into our - the content itself and like 11 number the heading is dues, D-U-E-S. This saving is really peculiar. Normally you have I think like finances, revenue or treasurer -- things like that. But use normally you don't find. So do we, you know, attempt to (unintelligible) issue also?

Raoul Plommer: Okay so the issue is finances yes?

Gangadhar Panday: Yes something like that. Or we...

Raoul Plommer: Okay.

((Crosstalk))

Gangadhar Panday: ...can have a bit - little bit different words, something like that.

Raoul Plommer: Yes so basically if you had issues, the finances you would then like think of where should all the sort of finance things be in the new charter, like which is the section that they would fall under yes? I mean this is just something. This

is basically just a list of things that we do need to discuss. And I think we basically when you don't find an issue in the new charter that had been laid out in the old charter you would bring the issue here, just write a few words what's the problem or like one sentence and then try to come up with a number where it would be located in the new charter in the future yes?

Gangadhar Panday: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: So for example, yes for example - I'll try to look for the finance part in the new charter hang on. Yes so I guess a lot of...

Gangadhar Panday: Yes...

Raoul Plommer: ...that would fall under the treasurer 4.2.10.

Gangadhar Panday: Yes 4.2.10 yes.

Raoul Plommer: Yes, yes. So basically okay let me just make a mock one here now on the issues sheet.

Gangadhar Panday: Right.

Raoul Plommer: So basically the issue would be finance and the likely section is 4.2.10. This just helps us...

Gangadhar Panday: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: ...to go through those issues once we are ready to discuss them, once we've done all this work. Then we can discuss that how - where exactly is it going to fall or if it's not going anywhere at all.

Gangadhar Panday: Yes. But this here dues were (unintelligible) 11 section in new chapter.

This also we need to mention somewhere. Finance 12.2% that is fine. But what is mentioned and you use level, second level of new chapter. So we need to make a mention of this somewhere here.

Raoul Plommer: Right yes. Yes I don't know how I actually feel about that dues part at all.

Gangadhar Panday: What I think is whatever is possible from that will shift to finance and that for 210 or for (ready) and then we'll do away with the dues. But that's related...

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Gangadhar Panday: ...actually to finance and that has to be in finance.

Raoul Plommer: Okay so okay maybe you would put the two numbers there then, like on the issue of finance you and put the four to ten as well as the - do part, so 11 yes? So you'd have four through ten...

Gangadhar Panday: Yes, yes.

Raoul Plommer: ...and 11 then at the...

Gangadhar Panday: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: ...likely section for input okay?

Gangadhar Panday: Yes that's fine. Now I've got it.

Raoul Plommer: Excellent. All right so...

Gangadhar Panday: But may I ask you one point if this - the new chapter we are following it down basing it on another chapter of some other the icons to party. Is the whole pattern of the new chapter is following some other template kind of thing for other approved chapters?

Raoul Plommer: Sorry I didn't get that at all.

Gangadhar Panday: Yes. We are - we have a new chapter right and you are...

((Crosstalk))

Raoul Plommer: Yes, yes.

Gangadhar Panday: ...(unintelligible) chapters are - each chapter has to have certain patterns okay? There are certain points which have met any chapter. For this I'll be following some chapter which is already approved like in CSD, I mean CUC, other body part of ICANN's (unintelligible) chapter that we are following the pattern.

Raoul Plommer: Right so hang on. Basically the only one that's sort of ready as it is, is the mission and principles. That's the only one. All of the rest of them are not sort of locked. You know, everything else is still up to us.

Gangadhar Panday: Okay.

Raoul Plommer: Yes and there are issues with the new - we - the new charter there are issues like you can still find things that are overlapping with each other for example. And that's the kind of - that would also be the kind of thing that you would

list in the issues sheet if you find something like that. It - that's basically the least to jog your memory of like what were the issues.

Sam Lanfranco: Raoul?

Gangadhar Panday: Okay.

Raoul Plommer: Yes?

Gangadhar Panday: Okay Sam is speaking.

Raoul Plommer: Okay.

Gangadhar Panday: Go ahead Sam.

Sam Lanfranco: Yes I get stuck on the Skype that area copy of the finance report from NCSG that's going to the meeting in San Juan. In there it - I copied in some of the text that our finance in their wording of the NCSG charter as we set out this stuff around dues and finance and so forth it might be worth looking at language that's in that thing. It's only a page or two long we can use that as a reference point to help organize thoughts around (unintelligible) financing.

Raoul Plommer: Okay we could probably just write that up. I'll just put that on the issues sheet. So basically there's no finance, there's a four to ten then there's 11 and then there is - we can also put the NCSG charter. And...

Sam Lanfranco: I...

((Crosstalk))

Raoul Plommer: ...did you have a specific section there?

Sam Lanfranco: I've already extracted it. If you go to the document that I just posted on the Skype you can either copy that document over. Everything that's in the NCSG charter dealing with finance paid in that (brief) document.

Gangadhar Panday: Yes I'm able to see that. I'm just going through it quickly.

Raoul Plommer: I'm trying to download it but there's...

((Crosstalk))

Gangadhar Panday: Yes got it. I - I'm able to see it but...

Raoul Plommer: Yes I can just see the link but I'm not down - it's not downloading it. I think that Skype is quite bad at this. Yes.

Gangadhar Panday: So the list says integrate this, finance committee consumption, finance...

Sam Lanfranco: I might...

Raoul Plommer: Sam if you...

((Crosstalk))

Raoul Plommer: ...could put just put that number, if you could put just list the section number where you can find it in the NCSG charter we'll find it from there because we can't open this...

((Crosstalk))

Sam Lanfranco: (Unintelligible).

Raoul Plommer: ...document now. Okay thank you. Okay so I guess we're now really quite clear on what we need to do. So I think this will be good to guide us at the Puerto Rico meeting. I think we'll have - I had a look at the program there and I think we are not actually going to be terribly busy because of the meeting schedule. I think we have basically like one or two sort of must meetings daily. So that should leave us like basically one or two hours every day to work on this. And we really, really need to get it done in Puerto Rico because we'd actually want to already have the public comment period before Panama.

So like in Johannesburg I think we're going to spend quite a bit of the meeting time in working this charter. But I think now we have a really good procedure of going through the whole document meticulously so we are not going to miss anything. And I think that's really important because otherwise those things will raise flags for everyone. But I think - yes?

Gangadhar Panday: I have a suggested. Like we have filed the - a (10.2.15) so what I suggest is we have a breakup subgroup kind of thing just comparing the business whatever is the roll chapter new chapter we should be able to say finish in two days we'll have a target like that. Then after that one day to (unintelligible) the third day and fourth and fifth day we should be able to (unintelligible) the final...

((Crosstalk))

Gangadhar Panday: ...(unintelligible). So we still have some time allotted like that and (do our) sub goals and that there we should finish that work at any cost and we plan that way so that it will be easy because all of us will be there most of us. So I

mean - it will (save) for two or three hours and then complete that part of the work for that day. Hello?

Raoul Plommer: Yes I'm not quite sure if I caught that but yes will be four of us there.

Gangadhar Panday: Yes four of us will be there. What we'll be doing is like on 10th we will spend two to three hours and 11th we'll spend two to three hours. And by the 11th so when we finished the work we should be covering all of this comparing old and new chapter things. We should be able to (pin) up all those columns in new spreadsheet that you created Column B and Column C that compared (unintelligible) over and on the start date that is of 13th we'll be looking at the issues that you have returned. And then in addition which point goes to which section in the new chapter which will happen on the day three. And after that the final - the fine-tuning will happen on day four and day five...

Raoul Plommer: Right.

Gangadhar Panday: ...because we cannot keep pushing this further and further so by 24 we should do a completed job.

Raoul Plommer: Oh, absolutely, absolutely. We really need to take at least one hour every day to do this there. And we do have six to seven days to do that so I think that...

Gangadhar Panday: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: ...will really like get us done by the end of the meeting. I really, really hope so. But...

Gangadhar Panday: Yes we can...

((Crosstalk))

Raoul Plommer: ...if you need to make some additional notes on the specific section that you are - I mean you only had six things in here, six sections that you need to find like the 6.1 through 6.2. So if you just do those and that will get us quite far already.

Gangadhar Panday: And in the spreadsheet that we have a lot of work review responsibilities. So Raoul will be there, Ganga will be there, (John) will be there. Sam will not be there right?

Sam Lanfranco: Right.

Gangadhar Panday: Well the four of us will be there.

Sam Lanfranco: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: Juan and Joan and Ganga and me.

Gangadhar Panday: So Juan is there. I'm just making it to bold (unintelligible). Sam will not be - Remmy, Remmy will not be there. Martin will be there right?

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Gangadhar Panday: Martin, Joan, Juan, Ganga and Raoul, five of us.

Raoul Plommer: Yes. Yes five of us actually yes.

Gangadhar Panday: So yes (unintelligible) sponsors them and GNSO Council represent you see us that is in Sam's name. And elections is in Remmy's name and voting finances amendments, these are question mark. So...

Raoul Plommer: Yes...

((Crosstalk))

Raoul Plommer: ...a big - that was mostly because like the - those last chapters or sections in the old charter they are really relatively short period. We also ran out of people. So we'll have to - the question marks we'll just have to do together but it'd be really great if everyone did their allocated section. I think I have one of the longest ones which...

Gangadhar Panday: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: ...is the executive committee but yes I'll do that anyway and we'll meet in Puerto Rico.

Gangadhar Panday: Yes great.

Raoul Plommer: Yes.

Gangadhar Panday: And...

Raoul Plommer: So any other questions? Are we ready to finish, wrap up?

Gangadhar Panday: Yes. We are ready to finish but I'm a bit worried so I want you and Sam to address me because I've never been to a place which is so cold minus zero

and (unintelligible) the temperature and we are getting in the new something like bizarre no (stone) things like that so my family is bit worried.

Raoul Plommer: What?

((Crosstalk))

Raoul Plommer: Puerto Rico isn't going to be cold. It's in the Caribbean.

Gangadhar Panday: Okay. So I'll just (unintelligible)...

((Crosstalk))

Raoul Plommer: What Puerto Rico weather have you been looking at?

Gangadhar Panday: No because when I'm back in New York I'm there for three, four days.

Sam Lanfranco: Don't sleep in the park.

Gangadhar Panday: Okay. Thank you.

Raoul Plommer: All right so I think we're ready to finish this.

Gangadhar Panday: Yes, yes, yes. Thank you. Thank you Raoul for making this whole thing very clear. No we - once we have the clear picture in mind it will be easy to do the work.

Raoul Plommer: Yes thank you. I hope it will work.

Gangadhar Panday: Yes it should work and we should make it work.

Raoul Plommer: Yes, yes.

Gangadhar Panday: (Unintelligible) yes.

Raoul Plommer: All right.

Gangadhar Panday: Yes.

Raoul Plommer: See you guys in Puerto Rico on or off-line.

Gangadhar Panday: Yes, yes, yes.

Sam Lanfranco: Thank you.

Raoul Plommer: All right thanks Maryam. You can...

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you everyone for...

((Crosstalk))

Maryam Bakoshi: ...(unintelligible) in.

Raoul Plommer: ...finish the recording.

Maryam Bakoshi: Thank you very much Raoul, safe journeys to everyone. Bye-bye. Ed you may stop the recording...

Raoul Plommer: Bye.

Maryam Bakoshi: ...and disconnect all lines. Thank you so much. Bye.

END