

**From:** [amy.bivins@external.icann.org](mailto:amy.bivins@external.icann.org)  
**To:** [amy.bivins@external.icann.org](mailto:amy.bivins@external.icann.org)  
**Subject:** [Ext] Adobe Connect - Chat Transcript from ICANN Meeting 58 - Hall A3  
**Date:** Sunday, March 12, 2017 4:41:39 PM

---

Amy Bivins: (3/12/2017 15:14) Hello, my name is Amy Bivins and I will be monitoring this chat room. In this role, I am the voice for the remote participants, ensuring that they are heard equally with those who are "in-room" participants. Please note that I will only be able to read your comment/question within the time set by the Chair of this session; I will not be able to read your comment or question on the mic if they are sent after the session/subject is closed by the Chair

Amy Bivins: (15:15) The chat rooms are the virtual meetings for everyone, in-room and remote. When submitting a question that you want me to read out loud on the mic, please start with a <QUESTION> and end with a "</QUESTION>". Text outside these quotes will be considered as part of "chat" and will not be read out loud on the mic

Phil Buckingham: (15:20) Hi Amy , Very unfortunately I couldn't make Copenhagen

Phil Buckingham: (15:35) Is there a link to the open data initiative . what time is this meeting , Thursday morning

Amy Bivins: (15:36) Phil, this is a link to the session on Thurs, [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_\\_schedule.icann.org\\_event\\_9oMx\\_cross-2Dcommunity-2Dsession-2Dmoving-2Dtowards-2Da-2Ddata-2Ddriven-2Dicann&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=uerz4ckt1v4Qhbv-TplkjKTey9bgtDWrvLyZDu0mXuk&m=DxVMvVVtnmcZy7eF-Xc21p-7pCf1IEmcUDMxkciE0yQ&s=s-ZH5AY2phppjNyvVyVn1pIRuSBrIIIQg9NcgAWNcfw&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__schedule.icann.org_event_9oMx_cross-2Dcommunity-2Dsession-2Dmoving-2Dtowards-2Da-2Ddata-2Ddriven-2Dicann&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=uerz4ckt1v4Qhbv-TplkjKTey9bgtDWrvLyZDu0mXuk&m=DxVMvVVtnmcZy7eF-Xc21p-7pCf1IEmcUDMxkciE0yQ&s=s-ZH5AY2phppjNyvVyVn1pIRuSBrIIIQg9NcgAWNcfw&e=)

Amy Bivins: (15:37) at 9am local time

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (15:37) 0800 GMT

Phil Buckingham: (15:37) @ Amy thanks

Amy Bivins: (15:38) no problem!

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (15:42) <comment>ICANN has massive amounts of raw data that can meet a lot of the requirements of these metrics. It is just that it is not organised or distilled into a usable format.</comment>

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (15:43) Will do.

Phil Buckingham: (15:45) Very good point , Jim

Phil Buckingham: (15:46) The EBERO will kick in here

Steve DelBianco: (15:47) @Phil -- would EBERO kick in without actually having any failure of the original registry to meet it's SLI ?

Sara Bockey: (15:49) Question for staff.. Is it possible to get a copy of this PP? If not, can you allow us to scroll?

Steve DelBianco: (15:50) slides are at [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A\\_\\_schd.ws\\_hosted-5Ffiles\\_icann58copenhagen2017\\_87\\_APMar12-2520-2D-2520Noanimation.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=uerz4ckt1v4Qhbv-TplkjKTey9bgtDWrvLyZDu0mXuk&m=DxVMvVVtnmcZy7eF-Xc21p-7pCf1IEmcUDMxkciE0yQ&s=nGMDwN6qOWUFz3OKMBoh13hvJmYNjM\\_mIm3YeyBmUoo&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=http-3A__schd.ws_hosted-5Ffiles_icann58copenhagen2017_87_APMar12-2520-2D-2520Noanimation.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=uerz4ckt1v4Qhbv-TplkjKTey9bgtDWrvLyZDu0mXuk&m=DxVMvVVtnmcZy7eF-Xc21p-7pCf1IEmcUDMxkciE0yQ&s=nGMDwN6qOWUFz3OKMBoh13hvJmYNjM_mIm3YeyBmUoo&e=)

Amy Bivins: (15:50) Hi Sara, the presentation is available here, [https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A\\_\\_schedule.icann.org\\_event\\_9no1\\_icann-2Dgdd-2Dgtld-2Dmarketplace-2Dhealth-2Dindex-2Dadvisory-2Dpanel-2Dmeeting&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=uerz4ckt1v4Qhbv-TplkjKTey9bgtDWrvLyZDu0mXuk&m=DxVMvVVtnmcZy7eF-Xc21p-7pCf1IEmcUDMxkciE0yQ&s=OUZj8hd3aeHNa2JykUTA6rNj3ZOLuvcbk2s\\_sipO06A&e=](https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__schedule.icann.org_event_9no1_icann-2Dgdd-2Dgtld-2Dmarketplace-2Dhealth-2Dindex-2Dadvisory-2Dpanel-2Dmeeting&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=uerz4ckt1v4Qhbv-TplkjKTey9bgtDWrvLyZDu0mXuk&m=DxVMvVVtnmcZy7eF-Xc21p-7pCf1IEmcUDMxkciE0yQ&s=OUZj8hd3aeHNa2JykUTA6rNj3ZOLuvcbk2s_sipO06A&e=)

Sara Bockey: (15:52) Thanks, Steve!

Phil Buckingham: (15:53) @ Steve , that is the critical question -when, how , who determines when the EBERO kicks . Some Registries have missed their SLA targets already ( ICANN confirmed) .but NO EBERO has been deemed to be triggered yet. .

Steve DelBianco: (15:58) @Phil -- is that SLA data published now?

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (16:01) One possible example would be successful transfers of domains from deaccredited registrars.

Phil Buckingham: (16:02) GDD is sending it to the Subsequent Procedures WG . Check with Chairs , Jeff (Neuman) & Avri

Jim Prendergast: (16:02) The missing SLA responses came via the Sub Pro PDP WG

Phil Buckingham: (16:18) Does adoption = use ?

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (16:18) <comment>The problem with CCT's definitions and data on Parking is

that they are not reliable. It would be risky to use it as any basis for the "adoption" element. It needs more consideration.</comment>

Andy Simpson: (16:19) @Phil - I think that is my point around ambiguity and the word use is really no different

Andy Simpson: (16:20) it is possible that a parked domain name has been adopted and is in use depending on your perspective. nothing stops someone from using a domain in this state for email or other non-website uses

Steve DelBianco: (16:21) @Andy -- good point. But wouldn't a domain name have to "resolve" in order for email addresses in that domain to work?

Andy Simpson: (16:23) yes, but most definitions of parking are primarily made of domains that resolve, non-resolving domains technically can be used in different ways as well... an internal domain can be protected in the public domain by registration and delegation left out of the public domain

Andy Simpson: (16:25) i understood the one comment in the room to also point out that a novel new application of names was a great point

Steve DelBianco: (16:26) @Andy -- right, so I don't think "parked" is going to be a workable definition. That's why I was suggesting these "flavors" of adoption: 1) not resolving; 2) resolving ; 3) resolving, but re-drecting to another domain

Andy Simpson: (16:29) @Steve, i agree that clarity is a step in the right direction but i still see memorializing those metrics as what characterizes growth may ultimately be a narrow view of how domains are or could ultimately be useful

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (16:30) The adoption thing is also difficult when it comes to measuring e-mail setup. Many registrars may set up e-mail aliases.

Steve DelBianco: (16:34) So, Andy and John, would you say that adoption can/should only be a measure of domains purchased (regardless of use)?

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (16:35) It is down to what can be defined and what can be measured accurately, Steve.

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (16:36) From the measurement view, it is like panelists talk Parking but professionals study usage. (Borrowed from Patton/Rommel and a lot of others.)

John McCormac - HosterStats.com: (16:37) Some of the highly discounted gTLDs have strange usage patterns but they are kinds of usage that are only feasible with low registration fees. (Putting it diplomatically.)

Andy Simpson: (16:38) @Steve - i think what defines "adoption" is secondary to a bigger conversation yet to be had regarding whether adoption really plays a role when measuring "Robust Competition"