

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear all, welcome to the CWG on Country and Territory Names as TLDs meeting on Monday, 17 October 2016 at 21:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter:Agenda page:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_community.icann.org_x_-5Fg-5F4Aw&d=DQIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xc14I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=Ju5bN7qcsAseFnzCMC7_bB4QRZTWT1NA8q0MUzq_KYQ&s=sXrdWqDpemRk_SGGfrh6EtSKYdIvwK30DVLigtygXXs&e=

mcastanon:HIIIIII

Michelle DeSmyter:Hi there - welcome Milagros!

Grigori Saghyan:Hi!

Michelle DeSmyter:Hello Grigori!

Alexander Schubert:Hi.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Hi all

Heather Forrest:Good morning, everyone

Bart Boswinkel:Hi all

Annebeth Lange:Hi everyone

Joke Braeken:Hi All

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:hello!

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:woops

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:no audio here

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:please call me

Annebeth Lange:I lost the audio too

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:ahhhh

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:ok

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:is not only me . Thanks Annebeth

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:Pretty unstable the adobe rooms of late

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:the few last ones I had to dial 3 times in 1 hour.....

Nigel Cassimire:Hello from Trinidad and Tobago, everyone.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Hi

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:I lost audio

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:please confirm

Heather Forrest:Carlos - I heard you a few moments ago

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:or call me at +506 8837 7176

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:yes

Michelle DeSmyter:absolutely

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:I da a few seconds of audio

Michelle DeSmyter:one moment

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:but it has been like this over the last few days

Annebeth Lange:I am no on phone

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Hello All, I finally managed to connect

Bart Boswinkel:The document is scrollabel and please go to page

5

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:ok

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:I'm on the phone now

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):ask for feedback on bracketted text ... YES

Annebeth Lange:If possible, we should try to complete on the progress report, if we can agree on which of the alternatives of recommendation 2 we prefer

Annebeth Lange:Since we have, in my opinion, agreed on 1 and 3 as they are now

Annebeth Lange:In my view, Alternative B is more of a compromise than Alternative C, and also visualize the problems of overlapping

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):My leanings are towards 2b. noting the nexus between the interests of the GNSO and the ccNSO in the matter of new names and Use of CT Names

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):also inclusive of IDN's of course

mcastanon:Is there any chance I could get this document through my email?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):And of course the Subsequent Procedureswork is already advancing including geo (CT) names

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):and IDN's ;-)

Annebeth Lange:But Carlos, the overlapping between ccNSO and gNSO on these issues, ccNSO should be included through the process. I still think Alternative B best cover this.

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:My personal position is that we should try to avoid to end up in a small sentences in the GAC Communique, because in my view we would automatically lose control of our process. Sorry for using arguments beyond our work. But this is my feeling right now.

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:loose control

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:sorry

Timo Vöhmar:my support goes to B - it is unclear where the future work will go, A will not change that

susan payne:sorry, mic not working

Alexander Schubert:I support B as well!

susan payne:i will need to dial in

Annebeth Lange:Difficult to hear Nigel

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Perhaps a little poll with green ticks or red crosses for each alternative from the attendees here will assist the to bracket or settle on one issue

susan payne:really I was just going to say I prefer a. I find b difficult to understand, and particularly what the outcome of the recommendation would be, ie what are we actually saying should happen. I think we are leaving it vague but that leaves things in limbo

Heather Forrest:Thanks Susan

Annebeth Lange:I understand you view here, Susan, but I am afraid that this issue, that is of great interest to many stakeholdergroups outside gNSO, will "drown" in the immense amount of issues that will be treated in the gNSO PDP for subsequent rounds

Michelle DeSmyter:it should be any moment - they are dialing you now

Michelle DeSmyter:for Susan Payne

Annebeth Lange:I think that if we don't agree through a poll, both should be in brackets and discussed in Hyderabad as well.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):That works for me re the poll options Heather

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):better than just polling attendees here

Steve Chan:I was going to make a quick comment, but I can type it here: the polling can be done easily through something like Doodle, so it shouldn't be a problem to put something together very quickly.

Heather Forrest:Thanks Steve - I was thinking Doodle too

susan payne:Carlos - I totally agree, and this is one of my concern about b as I think it implies there could be two spaces

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):GAC & us ... as usual

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Do we have to have a formal approval of our SO ?

Bart Boswinkel:@susan, tha tis the reality of dealing ith this topic, ther is already aovelap in defintions in exisiting policies

Annebeth Lange:One of the problems - we started in our WG to discuss only c & t names originated from ISO 3166. GACs discussion of all other geographicl names complicated the process. There are different considerations behind those two "groups".

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):if we need opinion of SO/SC - might be need for a formal letter

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:Short and clear

Nigel Cassimire:That sounds like the idea

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):echo

Heather Forrest:Draft text: Future work on this issue must clearly align and engage with ICANN policy development processes as per ICANN's bylaws

Alexander Schubert:Work Stream 2 handles this issue!

Alexander Schubert:And they almost started to work on it last week!

Alexander Schubert:The GNSO will handle our group this way: "Provide input if you have - we will factor it in" - and if we

provide input then fine - if not nobody will care at all.

Alexander Schubert:Work Track 2, right.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):What Susan says is important BUT IT WOULD NEED SHEEPHEARDING

Heather Forrest:I think we could have a much longer discussion about the Subsequent Procedures PDP, but I think we want to avoid getting too bogged down in discussing the PDP. We're running out of time to HYD, so my inclination is to focus on these documents

Annebeth Lange:Good to hear, Carlos

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:CLO always get the last word.....

Carlos Raul Gutierrez::)

susan payne:@Cheryl, I suppose that is why I would favour trying to get this topic dealt with as a sub work track so there isn't a risk of being told the input is too late

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Yes and I understand that attitude Susan especially when SO much goes on in these topics beyond our interest and remit

Annebeth Lange:I support that, Heather

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):yes agree

susan payne:agree

Nigel Cassimire:+1

Steve Chan:The New gTLD Program Reviews session Heather is discussing now is here:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_icann572016.sched.org_event_8dQV_new-2Dgtld-2Dprogram-2Dreviews&d=DQIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJP6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe_5iHWG1BLwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=Ju5bN7qcsAseFnzCMC7_bB4QRZTWT1NA8q0MUzq_KYQ&s=KrQ9zrSEmMVkFkgsovc85tHsnYjF1J_ih810aeiCiwI&e=

Annebeth Lange:Do you know when that session will be, Heather?

Steve Chan:November 5: 13:45 - 14:45

Steve Chan::)

Bart Boswinkel:4. Will the "CWG on Country/Territory Names as Top Level Domains" provide policy recommendations to GNSO and GAC by the Hyderabad meeting on the following topics:a) ISO 3166 Alpha 3 code elements (NOT Alpha 2 codes - as these are NOT up for discussion in the next round PDP at the moment)b) Country and Territory names (and their short forms) as new gTLDs.

If not:a) By when latest can such policy recommendation be expected? Month/Yearb) What is the likelihood that the WG will provide tangible PDP (policy recommendations) input for the coming round? Reason for the questions: Both GAC and GNSO have been repeatedly told to NOT touch the subject to avoid "duplication of work" as the "CWG on Country/Territory Names as Top Level Domains" was allegedly working on policy

recommendations. At some point of time GAC and GNSO will have to stop waiting and start to discuss this subject. Of course the CWG's output could be factored in later - but it would be good to know whether a policy recommendation is to be exp

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID) 2:it feels like "contaminated" better describes 3letter codes situation :)

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:Very important indeed!!!!!!

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:They shold go together

Annebeth Lange:Agreed

Alexander Schubert:Bye!

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID) 2:bye all

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Thx Bye

susan payne:thanks Heather and all

Nigel Cassimire:Bye all

Heather Forrest:thank you everyone

Timo Vöhmar:bye

Sebastien Pensis:goodbye, thank you.