

AC Chat RDS PDP WG 21 September 2016

Nathalie Peregrine:Dear All, Welcome to the Next-Gen RDS PDP WG Meeting on Wednesday, 21 September 2016 at 05:00 UTC.

Nathalie Peregrine:If you wish to speak during the call, please either dial into the audio bridge and give the operator the password RDS, OR click on the telephone icon at the top of the AC room to activate your mics. Please remember to mute your phone and mics when not speaking.

Nathalie Peregrine:Agenda page:
<https://community.icann.org/x/XQK4Aw>

Nathalie Peregrine:A reminder to please sign into the AC room with your first and last names, as they will be taken for attendance purposes

Chuck Gomes (RySG):Hello everyone.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Hello All

andrew sullivan:Apologies in advance that I will almost certainly have to drop early

Nathalie Peregrine:Thank you Andrew, noted.

Vaibhav Aggarwal:namaskar

Vaibhav Aggarwal::-)

Vaibhav Aggarwal:yes

Michele Neylon:trying adobe connect via ipad - I might drop back to phone only ...

Nathalie Peregrine:noted

Michele Neylon:the irony of me not having an internet connection is not lost on me

Lisa Phifer:I think the point is individual data elements versus all data elements (as a whole)

Alex Deacon:I may be over thinking it....

Lisa Phifer:can you repeat the proposal Chuck?

Fabricio Vayra:+1

Beth Allegretti:+1 Alex

Lisa Phifer:example of a service related to data elements might be WhoWas or RWhoIs

Michele Neylon:+1

andrew sullivan:I don't get why rwhois is an example. (Just a quibble -- we don't need to debate I don't think)

Alex Deacon:Maybe we can put that phrase in square brackets and revisit it after we dive into the specific purposes ?

Michele Neylon:they're not "core"

Michele Neylon:i'd agree with Jim

andrew sullivan:rwhois is core in any thin registry. it's the RDS protocol in that case

andrew sullivan:but anyway, not a critical point

andrew sullivan:I don't care which kind of registries we're

talking about

andrew sullivan:we surely don't want this to be tightly wound around other policies

Michele Neylon:I don't think the "type" of registry is relevant

Michele Neylon:we are assuming they're all treated the same

Jim Galvin (Afilias):[[and services related to them, if any.]

Holly Raiche:Why is the RDcollected by registrars under the RAA not covered - or haveI missed something

Lisa Phifer>Note that proposed edits are listed in Notes Pod at right

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):do we assume that privacy proxy works the same way like now?

Holly Raiche:okay - hanks - ys yes - this is about what is collected in the relationship between registrars/registries

Holly Raiche:And add - relationship between registrars/registries and ICANN

andrew sullivan:@Maxim: why does that matter? The privacy proxy stuff all happens on registration side

Fabricio Vayra:Suggest that Steve Metaltiz's points from last call be incorporated into "overall goals"

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):the reason - in case of P&p registry see only generic words about most data

Michele Neylon:Maxim - huh? No you don't

Michele Neylon:the only data that is changed is in relation to the registrant

Jim Galvin (Afilias):The issue with privacy/proxy is whether or not we write the policy to say that we have to know who the registrant is or whether it is an access point.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):@Jim +1

Fabricio Vayra:First, having a list of purposes / accommodating multiple purposes. Second something that can be communicated readily to registrants and others. And third, something that you can use to establish a relationship between the stated purpose and a proposed or actual use.

andrew sullivan:@Jim: it seems to me that that policy is not possible, for the very reasons that proxies can't possibly be regulated away despite what people would like

andrew sullivan:and there's been a complicated set of rules about that

andrew sullivan:I think we're talking about access to registration data as in the registry

Holly Raiche:@ Jom - Is that connected to your earlier question - are we asssuming that we are alking about access - to a tiny bit -to a lot - of data, but not no access a all?

andrew sullivan:worrying about data that is not in the registry is asking for scope creep of indeterminate size

Holly Raiche:Sorry - @Jim
Michele Neylon:We spent about 2 years on privacy / proxy policy
- we shouldn't be trying to re-open that
Jim Galvin (Afilias):@andrew - that's what I think and why I'm
concerned about the phrase "services related to them".
Holly Raiche:Agree with Michele - PLEASE don't reopen
Michele Neylon:the IRT for PPSAI is kicking off now but the
policy has been decided
Fabricio Vayra:@Michele, since when is spending a bunch of time
on something a bar to reopening it (just saying --- see present
case).
Michele Neylon:lol Fabricio :)
Fabricio Vayra::)
Michele Neylon:bloody lawyers :)
Daniel K. Nanghaka:I agree with it
Holly Raiche:Agree with it
Vaibhav Aggarwal:+1 Michele
Vaibhav Aggarwal::-)
Fabricio Vayra:+1 Alex and Steve
Lisa Phifer:See prerequisites v, vi
Fabricio Vayra:good call, Lisa
Alex Deacon:Yep - I see that now
Holly Raiche:I'm happy with them where they are
Fabricio Vayra:agree with Alex's initial thought as goals
Lisa Phifer:To communicate purpose(s) of the RDS to registrants
(and others)
Lisa Phifer:yes, suggested tweak to move from prereq to overall
goal
Alex Deacon:I think that would do it.
Alex Deacon:Thanks Lisa....
andrew sullivan:Apologies that I must drop now
Lisa Phifer:Similarly, move vi. to e. To establish sufficient
relationship between purpose(s) and use of the RDS
Beth Allegretti:+1 Alex
Fabricio Vayra:+1 alex
Sara Bockey:Apologies but I need to drop as well. Great
discussion and thanks all.
Lisa Phifer:Proposed text is now: e. To establish sufficient
relationship between the purpose(s) and the use(s) of the RDS
Kal Feher:I'm ok with with the move. but I don't feel strongly
one way or another
Fabricio Vayra:I believe that wat Steve pointed out goes to the
actual statement of purpose
Fabricio Vayra:+1 Steve
Fabricio Vayra:+1 Alex
Lisa Phifer:How about "the system that may collect, maintain,

and/or provide access to...

Vaibhav Aggarwal:sale and purchase of Whois data is illegal right ?

Michele Neylon:illegal where?

Vaibhav Aggarwal:everywhere

Fabricio Vayra:Illegal why?

Michele Neylon:it's not "illegal"

Michele Neylon:There are plenty of businesses who trade in it

Vaibhav Aggarwal:then why registrars cannot solicit business for swomen Ng that data

Fabricio Vayra:Michele buys and sells data all the time

Vaibhav Aggarwal::-)

Michele Neylon:Fabricio - I do?

Vaibhav Aggarwal:this is an ICANN directive

Michele Neylon:what is??

Vaibhav Aggarwal:oh

Vaibhav Aggarwal:soliciting business using Whois data

Vaibhav Aggarwal:chuck since u r verisign can assist me here please on this perception that I may have

Michele Neylon:Verisign doesn't have whois data

Vaibhav Aggarwal:chuck is Rysg so I asked

Holly Raiche:It's a great time for Sydney

Kal Feher:Vaibhav you appear to have your mic on

Vaibhav Aggarwal:so if the data is open then where is the question of privacy?

Fabricio Vayra:That woul be unfortunate, Michele

Vaibhav Aggarwal:then the SOP needs to clearly state this that this pro is expecting a change in the policy

Michele Neylon:Fabricio - it shouldn't be an issue

Michele Neylon:Vaibhav - Fabricio was trolling a bit

Benny Samuelson / Nordreg AB:somebody should mute

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Vibhav, please mute

Fabricio Vayra:you heard it here first, Michele/blackknight sells PII

Vaibhav Aggarwal:it is illegal worldwide

Vaibhav Aggarwal:especially in the U.K. and USA

Vaibhav Aggarwal:right

Vaibhav Aggarwal::-)

Holly Raiche:Maybe email the culprits?

Vaibhav Aggarwal:ha ha ha

Michele Neylon:we're criminals now I think

Vaibhav Aggarwal:I am more than happy to actually partner up with them

Vaibhav Aggarwal:partners in crime ;-)

Fabricio Vayra:thanks!

Vaibhav Aggarwal:the WG of a few naughty children I'm AKS

