

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear all, Welcome to the GNSO Bylaws Implementation Drafting Team on Thursday, 15 September 2016 at 19:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter:Wiki Agenda page:
<https://community.icann.org/x/dh2sAw>

Steve DelBianco:notes from our last meeting are at
<https://community.icann.org/display/GBIDT/07-09-2016+GNSO+Bylaws+Implementation+Drafting+Team>

steve metalitz:Steve was an excellent witness att he hearing. Congratulations.

Edward Morris:Agreed. I was very happy to see Senator Cruz fall into a trap sprung by the magic Stress Test 18. Excellent work by Steve.

Edward Morris:Completely agreeStee. Your information matches that I've received today from contacts i DC.

Julie Hedlund:@Steve D. The deadline is in a Council motion, so it still stands unless the Council passes a motion to the contrary.

matthew shears:thats good to hear Steve

matthew shears:I think we have to move ahead with the focus on Council

Edward Morris:Exactly Steve

matthew shears:My prefernce is for as little change as possible - if we can port decision-making as it stands that is preferable. We need to have a discussions about whether it is appropriate and proportionate to change

Steve DelBianco:Could staff please put 22.7 on the screen?

matthew shears:decicional participants are the sos and acs

Julie Hedlund:@Steve D: I'll get it up.

matthew shears:I think that's right Steve

Julie Hedlund:Sorry all, having trouble identifying it to bring up.

Darcy Southwell:6.1(a) of the new bylaws?

matthew shears:can we start by addressing the issues where the EC and Decisional participants are clear - and then address those where they are not

matthew shears:its a specific "exception" for that particular item I would argue

matthew shears:+ 1 Steve

matthew shears:we need to focus on the rule first and then the exceptions

Steve DelBianco:Julie -- couldl you put up the table I sent you?

Julie Hedlund:Sorry for the delay Steve. I lost connectivity.

steve metalitz:In order not to further prolong this discussion I will just note here the two other provisions I identified that might fall within the third category (yes/no decisions not

necessarily within Empowered Community) : 17.3(b): determining method of review of effectiveness of CSC ; 4.3(b): GNSO as claimant in reconsideration/IRP.

Julie Hedlund:All -- the document is unsynced.

matthew shears:appreciate the table - Steve - its helpful

Steve DelBianco:Ed is right -- Council resolution for our recommendations requires GNSO Supermajority

matthew shears:they would not be there if they did not feel that they had a representative role I susopect

Steve DelBianco:Question: does any other SO have votint Nom Com reps?

matthew shears:We can't judge their accountability in isolation of their role - I susopect that they would argue they are accountabile in some form or another

Farzaneh Badii:I agree Matt

Steve DelBianco:@Farzi -- guess we better add Nom Com voting reps to our SOAC Accountability discussions in WS2

matthew shears:disagree that directed = accountability

Steve DelBianco:The Bylaws decribe it as "default threshold" on page 68 of bylaws

steve metalitz:@Darcy you mean new SG's or C's, correct?

steve metalitz:@Ed I did not say you supported my proposal, and apologize if I implied that.

Farzaneh Badii:Was this table sent to the list?

Julie Hedlund:@Farzi: Not yet, but I will send it after this call.

Farzaneh Badii:Thanks Julie

matthew shears:I don't think we should be trying to solve the problem of confused councillors - isn't that out of scope

Farzaneh Badii:Steve came up with the tests, based on different scenarios?

Steve DelBianco:@Farzi -- yes, I just imagined some scenarios where a good majority of council might NOT prevail if we required majoirty of each house

Farzaneh Badii:thanks Steve

Julie Hedlund:@Steve D: Invented just last year.

Steve DelBianco:(xvi) Initiation of a GNSO Guidance Process ("GGP"): requires an affirmative vote of more than one-third (1/3) of each House or more than two-thirds (2/3) of one House.(xvii) Rejection of Initiation of a GGP Requested by the Board: requires an affirmative vote of a GNSO Supermajority.(xviii)

ApprovalofGGPRecommendations:requiresanaffirmativevoteof a GNSO Supermajority.

Julie Hedlund:@Steve: Correct that it has not yet been used.

matthew shears:Steve - the timeline is a massive bind - we

almost don't have time to address options other than default -
unless we can identify a minimal number of exceptions and work on
those

matthew shears:agree with the approach steve

Julie Hedlund:0900-1030 next Wednesday

Julie Hedlund:21 September

matthew shears:can't we do thursdays this time?

Farzaneh Badii:I think the tests on the table should be
explained Steve .

matthew shears:agree

matthew shears:ah

Farzaneh Badii:good. an explanation :)

Farzaneh Badii:Thanks Steve.

Farzaneh Badii:bye

matthew shears:thanks