

Adobe Connect chat transcript for 09 September 2016

Terri Agnew:Welcome to the New gTLD Auction Proceeds CCWG Charter Drafting Team held on Friday, 09 September 2016

Terri Agnew:agenda wiki page: <https://community.icann.org/x/oRusAw>

Alan Greenberg:waiting on bridge...

Asha Hemrajani:Good evening all

Alan Greenberg:on

Marika Konings:FYI, Lauren pointed out that we didn't include links to the high interest session in BA as well as the cross-community session under 'important document links' so we will include these for the final version.

Asha Hemrajani:yes indeed...good catch Lauren

Terri Agnew:Welcome Kaveh Ranjbar

Asha Hemrajani:Sounds good so far Jonathan

Asha Hemrajani:Yes would like to take the time to review Sylvia's comments

Samantha Eisner 2:I think that we can add a line to clarify

Samantha Eisner 2:"These requirements will apply to activities across any location where applicants are located or intend to use the funds."

Terri Agnew:Welcoe Erika Mann

Terri Agnew:@Samantha, let me know if a dial out on telephone is needed

Erika Mann:Thank you Terri

Samantha Eisner 2:I think that's right, Alan

Asha Hemrajani:That's true Alan

Erika Mann:Can you write this down Alan?

Samantha Eisner 2:These requirement will apply comparable activities . . "

Asha Hemrajani:I have no issue with adding Alan's added words

Erika Mann:Good idea

Asha Hemrajani:+1

Samantha Eisner 2:sounds good

Erika Mann:That's much better, agree Jonathan

Asha Hemrajani:I agree with Alan's language - we have to ringfence this

Marika Konings:the problem statement refers to the origina of auction proceeds and link with the new gTLD program

Erika Mann:Let's look for a better wording and let's de-link these two points.

Jonathan Robinson:@Erika. Old hand?

Terri Agnew:Welcome Tony Harris

Tony Harris:Hi, sorry I am late

Asha Hemrajani:Marika, yes but I would like stronger clearer language in addition to what was proposed by Sylvia

Samantha Eisner 2:Teh consdirations of overhead are one of the concerns in a longterm program. I see Sylvia's words as allowing for those types of considerations

Terri Agnew:Sylvia Cadena is on audio only

Samantha Eisner 2:I don't know that it means to limit the timeframe of the programs that are funded

Tony Harris:Some sort of time limit is important

Erika Mann 2:It is actually not muted. I had to redial. Wonder whethre the second connection is still open, though I can't see it. Terry, can you check this please?

Samantha Eisner 2:I think that it would be important to not have the CCWG consider opportunities such as additional fundraising to support an ongoing process; that opens up a whole different world of legal and compliance issues

Asha Hemrajani:@Sam from my perspective, I am not keen on limiting the timeframe of the programmes that are funded...rather I think the disbursement organization should have a finite life.

Asha Hemrajani:+1 Sam

Tony Harris:Conditions can change and the original intent of the project to be funded can find itself in a different context

Samantha Eisner 2:@Jonathan, no concern with Sylvia's language

Erika Mann 2:I will write my points

Terri Agnew:@Erika, it might help to turn down your speakers

Terri Agnew:Please let me know if a dial out on the telephone is needed

RussMundy-SSAC:I am satisfied with Sylvia's wording as it is WRT being able to have additional sources of funding being able to contribute

Erika Mann 2:I think so, Terry can you shut down one mike?

Jonathan Robinson:@Sam. Thank-you noted. Others please check Sylvia's proposed language and see if this works for you.

Terri Agnew:will do

Erika Mann 2:Thank you Terri

Tony Harris:Where is Sylvia's language in the doc?

RussMundy-SSAC:I agree that fund raising is outside of the CCWG scope

Marika Konings:Sylvia suggested alternative language: 1. What aspects should be considered to define a timeframe for the funds allocation mechanism to operate? E.g. The timeframe for the operation of this new mechanism may provide the opportunity for long term support, or for funding to be released in tranches linked to milestone achievements, single or multiple disbursements.

Tony Harris:I am OK with Sylvia's text

Erika Mann 2:1) we should not overcomplicate the language. Sam is right, we should not talk at this stage about potential additional funding. 2) I think we should neither discuss a timeframe for the fund, agree with Sylvia and Alan.

Terri Agnew:@Erika, I am unable to deactivate one of the mics. Are both AC connections needed? I can remove one of them if not

Erika Mann 2:I will shut down the system, Terri and will rejoin in a minute.

Jonathan Robinson:@Sam. I recall that overhead limitation is still in the doc

Marika Konings:See 8: What aspects should be considered to determine an appropriate level of overhead that supports the principles outlined in this charter

RussMundy-SSAC:I agree that tasking the CCWG with defining a timeframe for the use of the available funds is very reasonable

Asha Hemrajani:@russ do you mean defining the timeframe for use of funds or disbursement of funds?

Erika Mann:Apologies, had to reboot everything

Erika Mann:Where are we now?

Samantha Eisner 2:@ Russ, the reporting is captured elsewhere, such as in item 9 below

Asha Hemrajani:fair enough Russ, but then we as the DT could indicate in the charter that the CCWG should do this

Jonathan Robinson:How about? What aspects should be considered to define a timeframe, if any, for the funds allocation mechanism to operate as well as the disbursements of funds?

David Tait:Apologies all I have to drop off to staff another PDP call

Asha Hemrajani:sorry old hand

RussMundy-SSAC:My appologies but I do have a hard stop at the top of the hour so will be dropping off if we haven't finished

RussMundy-SSAC:I agree with the process that Jonathan just described

Marika Konings:Yes - I will add

Marika Konings:I don't think line numbers work in a table, but I can try

Asha Hemrajani:Thanks Jonathan

Asha Hemrajani:Bye!