

RECOMMENDATION 31: GAC LIAISON ON PDP WORKING GROUPS	
STRATEGIC ALIGNMENT	
Part One – Which ICANN Objective does this meet	
Promote ICANN’s role and multistakeholder approach. See Strategic Plan, page 19 at: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/strategic-plan-2016-2020-10oct14-en.pdf	
Alignment with Strategic Objectives	
Goal	Encourage community role in implementation.
Project/Recommendation	That the GAC-GNSO Consultation Group on GAC Early Engagement in the GNSO Policy Development Process continue its two work streams as priority projects. As a part of its work it should consider how the GAC could appoint a non-binding, non-voting liaison to the Working Group of each relevant GNSO PDP as a means of providing timely input.

SCOPE DESCRIPTION	
Scope Statement	
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Staff to confirm the status of implementation of the GNSO GAC Consultation Group recommendations and if/how this approach was considered by the CG. The GNSO Review Working Group to determine whether this recommendation has been implemented. If the recommendation has been implemented, GNSO Review Working Group to detail how it has been implemented. If not, GNSO Review Working Group to detail what parts of the recommendation are still outstanding and recommend how these are expected to be implemented. 	
Out of Scope	
The above scope is sufficiently clear.	
Assumptions	
That the GNSO GAC Consultation has completed its work and thus the GNSO Review Working Group should suggest an alternative option or options to address the goal of this recommendation.	
Deliverables	
Options for how to enable GAC participation in PDP Working Groups while recognizing that an individual GAC member cannot be considered to represent the GAC even if informally.	
OPTION ANALYSIS	
Consider whether a liaison is necessary or whether a GAC member of a Working Group provides a sufficient level of participation.	
SOLUTION	

- Deleted:** The the current GNSO GAC Liaison can take the approach recommended by the
- Deleted:** Group
- Deleted:** to assign a non-binding, non-voting liaison to the Working Group of each relevant GNSO PDP.
- Deleted:** A plan for the assignment of a non-bing, non-voting liaison to the Working Group of each relevant GNSO PDP.

1. Staff has determined that the GNSO GAC Consultation Group has completed its work. See: GAC-GNSO Consultation Group wiki: <https://community.icann.org/x/phPRAG>. Because the work has been completed, the recommendation cannot be implemented as stated. In addition, consultation with the GAC has previously indicated that the recommendation for the GAC to appoint a non-binding, non-voting liaison to the Working Group of each relevant GNSO PDP cannot be implemented as the GAC has made it clear that no individual member of the GAC can be considered to represent the interests of the GAC on a PDP Working Group, even informally.

Deleted: clarified
Deleted: cannot
Deleted: a representative

2. Staff notes that the GAC Consultation Group recommended the implementation of a "Quick Look Mechanism" (QLM) to facilitate early engagement of the GAC in the issue scoping phase of the GNSO PDP on a pilot basis. As of 19 February 2016, the Quick Look Mechanism had been applied for three GNSO Issue Reports, namely the Issue Report on the Next-Generation gTLD Registration Directory Service (RDS) to replace WHOIS, the Issue Report on new gTLD Subsequent Procedures and the review of Rights Protection Mechanisms (RPMs) in all gTLDs. See also: <https://gns0.icann.org/en/drafts/gac-quick-look-mechanism-19feb16-en.pdf>. In addition, the GNSO GAC Consultation Group Final Report and Recommendations, "to make the QLM a standard feature of the PDP, factoring in the possible simplification/generalization of the process proposed in the 'GAC Quick Look Mechanisms Experiences to Date' document. The proposed revisions to the GNSO Operating Procedures that were published in the public forum that closed on 10 August 2017 suggested the following language to be included in the PDP Manual:

Deleted: The following steps have been followed in these cases, as also prescribed in <http://gns0.icann.org/en/drafts/gac-cg-issue-scoping-27jan15-en.pdf>.
Deleted: the final report at
Deleted: recommendations
Deleted: proposed

Notification by GNSO Liaison to the GAC to initiate GAC Quick Look Mechanism: Following the adoption of the request for an Issue Report, or the receipt by the GNSO Council of a request for an Issue Report by the ICANN Board, the GNSO Liaison to the GAC notifies the GAC Secretariat of this request. The GAC Secretariat is expected to confirm receipt of the notification and indicate if any additional information on the issue is needed in order to proceed with the Quick Look Mechanism (QLM). The QLM is used by the GAC to establish whether the issue subject to the request for an Issue Report has potential public policy implications and, if so, flag this at an early stage to the GNSO. The outcome of the QLM is expected to be submitted by the GAC Secretariat as part of the public comment forum on the Preliminary Issue Report.

Deleted: .
Deleted: On approach could be for the GNSO Council to ask GNSO GAC Liaison to take this role.
Deleted: alternative
Deleted: was
Deleted: f
Deleted: the liaison role, if only informally
Deleted: For example, there is a particularly active GAC member on the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures and this person does appear to be endeavoring to represent the interests of the GAC on the Working Group.

Staff notes that the QLM provides an early opportunity for the GAC to engage prior to the establishment of a PDP, which could address the aspect of the recommendation of "timely input."

Deleted: T
Deleted: -

3. An additional option that the Review Working Group identified was whether participation of GAC members as members or observers on PDP Working Groups could fulfill the goal of recommendation. Staff determined that there are already examples of GAC members actively participating in Working Groups, such as the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Working Group (Subpro WG), and as members providing feedback to the GAC. Other mechanisms currently employed are:

Formatted: Font:(Default) +Theme Headings (Calibri)
Deleted: or suggested by the Subpro WG
Deleted: 1)

- a. Provision of newsletters and advance notice of topics for Sub Teams to the GAC so that it may track topics of interest for potential early input;
- b. Invitation for a the GAC to provide a co-leader for a Work Track or Sub Team (such as the proposed Subpro WG Work Track 5) as well as members of Work Tracks or Sub Teams;
- c. Participation of GAC members already participate in Work Tracks and Sub Teams in the full Working Group meetings.

Formatted
Deleted: the p
Deleted: Work Track
Deleted: 2)
Deleted: i
Deleted: 5
Deleted: 5
Deleted: .
Deleted: other

Provisions in the PDP Manual:

4. GAC members already have the option to be members and observers on PDP Working Groups and

outreach should continue to be made when PDP Working Groups are established to invite GAC members to participate as PDP Working Group members and/or observers. The PDP Manual already requires that specific outreach should be made to groups that have expertise or valuable input. In particular, section 2.1.1 Announcement of a Working Group, in the PDP Manual states, "One-to-one outreach from either the GNSO Chair or the Interim WG Chair to the Chair of other ICANN Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees either known to have an interest in the subject, or those where it is felt that their input into the discussions will be valuable. Individuals known to be knowledgeable or interested could be similarly approached." Furthermore, section 2.2.1 states, "Additionally, the Chair should ensure that particular outreach efforts are made when community reviews are done of the group's output, to include reviews from the interests or expertise that were not adequately represented." These are in addition to the proposed revisions described in 2 above, as recommendation by the GNSO GAC Consultation Group.

Deleted: Additionally

5. Staff hereby suggests that the original recommendation cannot be implemented as currently worded but that mechanisms exist to implement the goal of the recommendation for the GAC to provide timely input, including upon the request of a PDP Issue Report, outreach to encourage participation in or observing PDP Working Groups, provision of updates and topics, and examples of current participation and feedback. Furthermore, the PDP Manual requires specific outreach for participation from Supporting Organizations and Advisory Committees in PDP Working Groups.

Deleted: .

Deleted: presents the results of this review to the Working Group. ... [1]

GNSO Review Working Group Determination:

Staff suggests that the GNSO Review Working Group could consider determining that the recommendation is implemented via current mechanisms for the GAC to provide timely input to PDP Working Groups.

KEY DEPENDENCIES

GAC willingness to provide members or observers to GNSO PDP Working Groups.

Deleted: liaisons or

RISK IDENTIFICATION

That there will not be sufficient volunteers to provide GAC representation on every PDP Working Group.

KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

It is not clear to staff whether a KPI applies to the implementation of this recommendation.

NECESSARY TO PROCEED

Requirements exist in the PDP Manual for outreach when PDP Working Groups are established to encourage GAC members to provide timely input, and to participate as members or observers. This outreach can be part of the usual call for volunteers when a PDP Working Group is established. Requirements include outreach for input when Working Group community reviews are done.

Deleted: Ensure

Deleted: 0

Deleted: liaison or

Deleted: coverage in current PDP Working Groups.

Deleted: per the

REVIEWERS

Name	Title	Date Sent
GNSO Review Working Group		

APPROVERS			
Name	Title	Approval Status	Date
GNSO Review Working Group			
GNSO Council			

REVISION HISTORY			
Date	Version	Description	Author
13 March 2017	V1	Original Draft	Julie Hedlund, Policy Director
17 August 2017	V2	Revised based on discussions at the meeting on 17 August 2017	Julie Hedlund, Policy Director
29 August 2017	V3	Additional staff revisions suggested.	Julie Hedlund, Policy Director

Attachments, as applicable:

- None

presents the results of this review to the Working Group.

The Working Group will determine whether the options constitute the implementation of the recommendation.