RECOMMENDATION 5, 9, & 17: WORKING GROUP SELF-ASSESSMENTS

STRATEGIC ALIGNMENT

Part One - Which ICANN Objective does this meet

Promote role clarity and establish mechanisms to increase trust within the ecosystem rooted in the public interest. Also, evolve policy development and governance processes, structures and meetings to be more accountable, inclusive, efficient, effective and responsive. See Strategic Plan main web page at: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/strategic-engagement-2013-10-10-en.

	71 0 7 0 0		
Alignment with Strategic Objectives			
Goal	- Shared understanding by Board, staff and stakeholders of		
	the allocation of responsibilities for design, development and		
	implementation of policy and operational processes.		
	- Shared understanding of the roles, responsibilities and		
	accountability of the Board, staff and stakeholders.		
	- Board, staff, and stakeholders use best practices and exercises		
	appropriate behavioral norms.		
Project/Recommendation	Recommendation 5: That, during each Working Group self-assessment, new		
	members be asked how their input has been solicited and considered.		
	Recommendation 9: That a formal Working Group leadership assessment		
	program be developed as part of the overall training and development program.		
	Recommendation 17: That the practice of Working Group self-evaluation be		
	incorporated into the PDP; and that these evaluations should be published and		
	used as a basis for continual process improvement in the PDP.		

SCOPE DESCRIPTION

Scope Statement

Recommendation 5 and 9:

- 1. Staff to provide the GNSO Review Working Group with a proposed modification of the Working Group Self-Assessment Survey to include a) new questions on how Working Group member input has been solicited and considered and; b) a new assessment survey for Working Group leadership.
- 2. Based on the proposed modifications the GNSO Review Working Group to determine if revisions are necessary to the GNSO Working Group Guidelines and, if so, draft them for public comment and then present them for approval to the GNSO Council.

Recommendation 17:

- The GNSO Review Working Group to review current procedures for self-evaluation in the PDP
 Working Group Guidelines and will work with staff on possible modifications, which will be published
 for public comment and then provided to the GNSO Council for approval.
- 2. Following GNSO Council approval, staff to amend the GNSO Operating Procedures with the new revisions.

The GNSO Review Working Group will determine whether this recommendation has been implemented.

Out of Scope

The above scope is sufficiently clear.

Assumptions	
None.	
Deliverables	
None.	

OPTION ANALYSIS

None were considered or were necessary to be considered.

SOLUTION

Recommendation 5: The Working Group Self-Assessment currently includes the question, "How long have you been actively involved with ICANN?" This provides information about whether the respondent is new to ICANN or a more experienced member of the community. Section 4 of the Working Group Self-Assessment asks respondents to rate on a scale of 1 to 7 their personal level of engagement in helping the WG accomplish its mission, personal level of fulfillment, and willingness to serve in future groups. There is also a text box for respondents to provide comments. Staff recommends adding a second free text field to this page with the question, "How was your input solicited and considered by the Working Group?" While all respondents will be prompted to answer this question, those analyzing the results will be able to filter and view responses only from newcomers if they choose to do so.

Recommendation 7:

Section 2 of the Working Group Self-Assessment asks respondents to rate on a scale of 1 to 7 effectiveness of participation climate, behavior norms, decision-making methodology, and session/meeting planning. Section 3 requests input on the same scale regarding effectiveness of the primary mission and quality of outputs/deliverables. Section 2 and 3 also have free text fields for comments. Staff suggests adding an additional question to Section 2 and 3. For Section 2: "How did performance of the Working Group leadership (Chair/Co-Chairs/Vice-Chairs) impact effectiveness with respect to norms, operations, logistics, and decision-making? Please provide examples." For Section 3: "How did performance of the Working Group leadership (Chair/Co-Chairs/Vice-Chairs) impact effectiveness with respect to products and outputs? Please provide examples."

Recommendation 17: Discussion of the Working Group Self-Assessment is currently included in Section 7.0 of the Working Group Guidelines. Use of this assessment is standard practice in PDPs and other Working Groups in the GNSO. In the self-assessment questionnaire, members are asked a series of questions about the team's inputs, processes (e.g., norms, decision-making, logistics), and outputs as well as other relevant dimensions and participant experiences. Processes regarding the self-assessment questionnaire are included in Working Group Charters under 6.2.4.4 Closure and Working Group Self-Assessment. Working Group Self-Assessment results are posted on the Working Group wiki and are available to be reviewed by the GNSO Council, staff, and community members and acted upon if results of the survey warrant follow up action. Therefore, this recommendation has been implemented.

No updates are needed to the Working Group Guidelines to modify the Working Group Self-Assessment template as described above.

The GNSO Review Working Group noted in the discussion of the Self-Assessment that members of the Working Group that is doing the self-assessment must provide their names when responding to the survey. The GNSO Review Working Group noted that some respondents might be concerned about providing answers to responses relating to Working Group leadership and wondered whether the confidentiality of the responses is made clear. Staff notes that the first page of the survey provides the following guidance concerning

confidentiality:

"Confidentiality: We will be asking you for identifying information to ensure that each response if valid. Your individual responses will not be accessible by anyone other than the ICANN Staff Administrator and they will not be disclosed or published in a way that could be matched to your identity."

Thus, staff suggests that clear guidance is provided concerning confidentiality and the treatment of identifying information.

GNSO Review Working Group Determination:

[staff suggestion]: The Working Group has reviewed the suggested changes to the Working Group Self-Assessment questionnaire addressing recommendations 5, 7 and 17 and with these changes deems the recommendations to be implemented.

KEY DEPENDENCIES

None.

RISK IDENTIFICATION

None.

KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

It is not clear to staff whether a KPI applies in the implementation of these recommendations.

NECESSARY TO PROCEED

Next Phase Activities/Resources

Staff resources.

Approvers					
Name	Title	Approval Status	Date		
GNSO Review Working Group					

REVISION HISTORY						
Date	Version	Description	Author			
21 November	V1	Original Draft.	Emily Barabas, Senior			
2017			Policy Specialist			
30 November	V2	Revisions based on the discussion at the meeting on	Julie Hedlund, Policy			
2017		30 November 2017.	Director			
18 December	V2	Out for Consensus Call to 08 January 2017.	Julie Hedlund, Policy			
2017			Director			

Attachments, as applicable: Working Group Self-Assessment Survey













