

## **Adobe Connect chat transcript for 08 September 2016**

Terri Agnew:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 2 – Legal/Regulatory Issues call held on Thursday, 08 September 2016 at 20:00 UTC.

Terri Agnew:wiki agenda page: <https://community.icann.org/x/sxWsAw>

Michael Flemming:I would love to, but the participant code is not working for me.

Paul McGrady:Good morning/afternoon/evening!

Julie Hedlund:Michael: Terri has opened a private chat with you. Look for it on your bottom tab.

Phil Buckingham:Hi Terri , my number to dial out is 00 44 (0)7957643357

Jeff Neuman:All - Having some technical difficulties...please stand by. Thanks for your patience

Terri Agnew:@Phil the sytem is calling you

Jeff Neuman:great

Jeff Neuman:Woo hoo...lets get the recording started :)

Rubens Kuhl:Michael and Heather, it seems muting would favor to bring the noise level down...

Jeff Neuman:All - in a few minutes I need to drop off of Adobe, but will still be on my phone.

Rubens Kuhl:Paul has his hand raised.

Terri Agnew:Dialing back out to PHil

Phil Buckingham:Terri , I ve lost connection . can you dial back . I am extremely sorry .

Steve Coates:Agree with Paul. I think the Guidebook needs to be in here, subject to the work of our colleagues on other WTs.

Phil Buckingham:Sorry I not able to connect .

Terri Agnew:@Phil, can you active your mic? top tool bar, select the telephone icon and select bottom option microphone

Phil Marano (Mayer Brown):Here is a link to the Application T&Cs.

<https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/agb/terms>

Steve Coates:Press forward. Can hear you fine.

Paul McGrady:In addition to Appliant Guidebook Terms & Conditions and the Base Registry Agreement, we should also look at all mandatory contracts, e.g. Terms of Service for any mandatory Applicant Portal and Terms of Service for the TMCH (assuming that use of the TMCH remains a requirement in next Round/Window).

Phil Buckingham:Really Sorry I am still not able to connect . Terri could you try another dial out.

Phil Marano (Mayer Brown):Here is another, CZDS T&Cs. <https://czds.icann.org/en/terms/terms-and-conditions-1>

Terri Agnew:@Phil, yes, calling again

Rubens Kuhl:I lost Michael.

Rubens Kuhl:Or all audio.

Heather Forrest:happy to speak to that if you'd like

Terri Agnew:@Rubens, audio is still working. Please refresh

Phil Buckingham:i am back in . really sorry

Heather Forrest:(CWG Country and Territory Names, that is)

Rubens Kuhl:I was on the audio bridge and it dropped. Reconnected now.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):yes obviously we need to liase Heather (madm coChair)

Alexander Schubert:doesn't look like there is much "output" from the cwg

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Tht certainty makes sense to a \*rather biased\* me Heather

Heather Forrest:Thanks Cheryl

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO)::-)

Phil Buckingham:good point rubens

Heather Forrest:If we can delay start on geo names until Hyderabad that would be ideal

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):agreed

Heather Forrest:Paul McGrady's hand is up  
Michael Flemming:Yes, we will come to Paul in just one moment  
Rubens Kuhl:Michael, one difference is that reserved means "no way one can apply" while IGO / NGO included, at least in 2012-round, a possibility to apply existed but it could be objected (LRO).  
Michael Flemming:Sorry for the wait  
Heather Forrest:The basis of the decision that Jeff points out (no reservation, per GNSO Reserved Names WG) was the lack of recognition of govt rights in geo names under international law, as concluded by WIPO  
Alexander Schubert:I agree with Jeff!  
Steve Chan:Perhaps add contractual obligations to the title?  
Michael Flemming:That would offer clarity  
Steve Coates:Breaking up, can't hear.  
Paul McGrady:I can't really understand Jeff.  
Alexander Schubert:Geo names (ISO 3166 Alpha 3 and territory names) at top level should be in this group.... where else?  
Jeff Neuman 2:Sorry. I am on my cell  
Jeff Neuman 2:I will write my explanation  
Jeff Neuman 2:It is here because the second level restrictions are contained within the legal base agreement  
Jeff Neuman 2:specification 5  
Jeff Neuman 2:It made the most sense here  
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):noted  
Steve Chan:So yeah, maybe not the terminology specifically, but the current title is perhaps limiting  
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):tend to agree there Steve  
Alexander Schubert:grouping maybe in terms of processing here in this group - but there is no connection otherwise  
Paul McGrady 2:Maybe "Legal, Contractual, and Regulatory"?  
Phil Marano (Mayer Brown):IGO-INGO seems broader than reserved names, since it potentially covers curative rights, yes?  
Jeff Neuman 2:I am fine with changing the title of the group to include contractual. That was what was intended anyway  
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):great Jeff maybe "make it so"  
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):\*I had to get a Star Trek line in somewhere :-)  
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Yes Jeff prallell is going to be essential  
Paul McGrady:@Jeff, thanks on the name change.  
Jeff Neuman 2:@Paul - I hope I have the authority to change the name :)  
Paul McGrady:@Jeff, pretty sure only @Avri has that kind of authority... :)  
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):yes  
Paul McGrady:This is a great time for North Americans. How do others outside of N.A. feel about the time of the call?  
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Works for me here in AU  
Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Won't the 3:00 UTC slot conflict with the meeting time for the full WG?  
Emily Barabas:typically, the full group will meet on Mondays  
Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Got it. Thanks.  
Emily Barabas:this week was an exception due to the US holiday  
Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Rotation is fine with me. Prefer that we avoid having work stream calls conflict with each other and with the full WG.

Alexander Schubert:Agreed

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Works for me I hate 1500 UTC

Susan Payne:rotation fine with me

Emily Barabas:With the current suggested rotation, there won't be conflicts between the individual sub teams and the full team meetings

Emily Barabas:\*individual

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):0300 and now is all good , but must avoid conflicts wheer possible

Rubens Kuhl:2000 UTC works fine in Latin America as well.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):W hve found 1800 - 2200 UTC has a good ability for mny regions to work with in the past

Jeff Neuman 2:All .....sorry I was off Adobe before, but when we said we are dealing with the "Base Agreement", I think we aredealing now only with the concept of whether to have 1 agreement to fit all, or whether we as a group support the notion of potentially having separate agreements for separate categories

Kevin Kreuser (GoDaddy):it was hard enough to get one RA approved. Having several versions would be a nightmare

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Time Check Guys this is a 60 min call is it not?

Rubens Kuhl:RA was actually 12 RAs... (yes/no) Governmental Organization (yes/no) Community (open/brand/exclusive-use). 12 possibilities total.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Lot of good discussion but we cn pick u other Agenda items next call and on list

Paul McGrady:Christmas Eve... j/k

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Apologies, but I have to drop off the meeting for a meeting at the top of the hour.

Paul McGrady:Co-chairs, this was a GREAT call. Getting right down to business is refreshing. I also really enjoyed the conversation with the folks on the call and in the chat. We have a wonderful group here.

Alexander Schubert:Bye!

Heather Forrest:Thanks, everyone- have a good weekend

Robert Burlingame (Pillsbury):Thank you all.

Jeff Neuman 2:Despite tech difficulties...great job

Susan Payne:thanks all

Phil Marano (Mayer Brown):Goodbye