



Meeting #5
6 September 2016

Rapporteur: Sébastien Bachollet

WS2 Drafting Team "Ombudsman"

Active Participants

- 1. Sébastien **B**achollet Rapporteur
- 2. Adebunmi Akinbo
- 3. Alberto Soto
- 4. Avri Doria
- 5. Carlos Vera Quintana
- 6. Cheryl Langdon-Orr
- 7. Chris LaHatte (previous Ombudsman)
- 8. Edward Morris
- 9. Farzaneh Badii
- 10. Herb Waye
- 11. José Francisco Arce
- 12. Jimson Olufuye
- 13. Herb Waye (acting Ombudsman)
- 14. Karel Douglas
- 15. Klaus Stoll
- 16. Michael Karanicolas
- 17. Raoul Plommer
- 18. Robin **G**ross
- 19. Sivasubramanian Muthusamy
- 20. Susan Payne

Observers

- 1. Aarti Bhavana
- 2. Alan **G**reenberg
- 3. Akinremi Peter Taiwo
- 4. Amrita Choudhury
- 5. Angie Graves
- 6. David Maher
- 7. Elizabeth Bacon
- 8. Gangesh Varma
- 9. Iftikhar Shah
- 10. Johan Helsingius
- 11. Jon Nevett
- 12. Mike Rodenbaugh
- 13. Pam Little
- 14. Philip Corwin
- 15. Renu Sirothiya
- 16. Rinalia Abdul Rahim
- 17. Vidushi Marda
- 18. Vinay Kesari

Board Liaisons • Asha Hemrajani • Mike Silber (backup)



Subgroup Time Slots

| | Day | | WS2-Ombudsman |
|-----------|--------------------|-----|---------------------|
| Monday | August 8, 2016 | #01 | Omb-WS2 05:00 UTC |
| Tuesday | August 16, 2016 | #02 | Omb-WS2 13:00 UTC |
| Monday | August 22, 2016 | #03 | Omb-WS2 19:00 UTC |
| Monday | August 29, 2016 | #04 | Omb-WS2 05:00 UTC |
| Tuesday | September 6, 2016 | #05 | Omb-WS2 13:00 UTC |
| Monday | September 12, 2016 | #06 | Omb-WS2 19:00 UTC |
| Monday | September 19, 2016 | #07 | Omb-WS2 05:00 UTC |
| Monday | September 26, 2016 | #08 | Omb-WS2 13:00 UTC |
| Monday | October 3, 2016 | #09 | Omb-WS2 19:00 UTC |
| Monday | October 10, 2016 | #10 | Omb-WS2 05:00 UTC |
| Monday | October 17, 2016 | #11 | Omb-WS2 13:00 UTC |
| Monday | October 24, 2016 | #12 | Omb-WS2 19:00 UTC |
| | | | |
| Wednesday | November 2, 2016 | | CCWG |
| Thursday | November 3, 2016 | | |
| Friday | November 4, 2016 | | |
| Saturday | November 5, 2016 | | ICANN 57 |
| Sunday | November 6, 2016 | | 03-09 November 2016 |
| Monday | November 7, 2016 | | Hyderabad |
| Tuesday | November 8, 2016 | | |
| Wednesday | November 9, 2016 | | |

12 participants + Staff 13 participants + Staff 15 participants + Staff 05 participants + Staff

CCWG-Accountability
Work Stream 2





Agenda Meeting #5 Ombuds

- Roll Call / Apologies Welcome Opening Remarks (5 min SBT & Staff)
- Discuss the following chapters of the document (45 min) https://docs.google.com/document/d/192SDRXsnr5ujf1iVj4hj WMf3fMmYiEhY1ur8E T73g/edit?us p=sharing
 - Dependencies between the sub-groups
 - ATRT2
 - Stress Tests
 - Current role of the ICANN Ombuds Office
- Next Meeting (5 min)
- AOB (5 min)



Dependencies between the WS2 sub-groups

ICANN Ombuds

- 1.

 Diversity (Sébastien Bachollet)
- **2. Image:** Human Rights (Raoul Plommer)
- 3. **Jurisdiction** (Farzaneh Badii)
- 4. Ø SO/AC Accountability (Cheryl Langdon-Orr)
- **5.** Staff Accountability (Avri Doria)
- **6. Image:** Transparency (Michael Karanicolas)
- 7.
 Reviewing CEP (Edward Morris)
- **8. Guidelines stand. conduct** (Karel Douglas)
- **9. ☑ IRP** "Phase 2" (Robin Gross)
- **10.** ✓ ATRT2 (Avri Doria)



Overlap with CCWG-Accountability Work

Recommendation

Status

9.3

Review of the Office of the Ombudsman, the role within ICANN, and whether the duties/scope of the Ombudsman should be expanded or changed in line with suggestions from the ATRT2.



Removed from workplan to avoid duplication with the CCWG-Accountability's Work Stream 2 effort on the Ombudsman.

An expert was expected to be retained and to perform a review of the Office of the Ombudsman by June 2015, with work expected to be completed by October 2015. Because of the unique nature of the Ombudsman role within ICANN, there were challenges in identifying a proper independent expert to undertake this review.

As ICANN was conducting a search for this review, work continued in the Cross Community Working Group on Enhancing ICANN Accountability on modifying the role of the Ombudsman. In addition to the changes to the Ombudsman role that are already reflected in the new ICANN Bylaws (particularly within the Reconsideration Process, where the Ombudsman has a new role), the CCWG-Accountability also noted that it will do a broader review of the Ombudsman role in its Work Stream 2 efforts that are under development now and anticipated to conclude mid-year in 2017. The CCWG-Accountability's Work Stream 2 efforts on the Ombudsman can be followed at

https://community.icann.org/display/WEIA/Ombudsman. ICANN committed to this work in the Bylaws as approved by the Board on 27 May 2016 (https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/adopted-bylaws-27may16-en.pdf).



Stress Tests vs Ombuds

- **Stress Test #13**: One or several stakeholders excessively rely on accountability mechanism to "paralyze" ICANN.
 - EXISTING ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES
 - Current redress mechanisms might enable one stakeholder to block implementation of policies. But these mechanisms (IRP, Reconsideration, Ombudsman) are expensive and limited in scope of what can be reviewed.
- **Stress Test #34**: (NTIA-3) Stakeholders who attempt to join an ICANN AC/SO encounter barriers that discourage them from participating.
 - EXISTING ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES
 - ICANN's Ombudsman might help new entrants to join ACs/SOs.
 - PROPOSED ACCOUNTABILITY MEASURES
 - ICANN's Ombudsman might help new entrants to join ACs/Sos.



Current role of the ICANN Ombuds Office

- The Ombudsman Role has been expanded through WS1, to include a responsibility to perform a first substantive review over Reconsideration Requests.
- In addition, the CWG-Stewardship identified a new role for the Ombudsman, as a place of escalation for complaints about (Public Technical Identifiers) PTI's naming function service delivery.
 - ICG final proposal p.111https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/iana-stewardship-transition-proposal-10mar16-en.pdf
 The ombudsman gets involved at phase two
 - [Do we need to propose Bylaws (ICANN and/or PTI)?]
- This expansion is in addition to the Ombudsman's existing role (as set forth in the ICANN Bylaws) and further described in the Ombudsman Framework.
- The Ombuds office is a mediator and not a decision maker.
 The Ombuds office can only suggest it can never tell anyone to do anything which is why informality is so important.



Next Meeting #6 Ombuds-WS2

- Monday September 12, 2016 19:00 UTC
- Follow-up on the discussion of the document





