Competition and Consumer Choice Findings
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Industry Structure

- The existence of registrars (as a retail channel) and backend providers (to provide most a registry’s technical operations) facilitates the entry of new gTLDs into the market.
  - Although there are several hundred registrars offering new gTLDs, there are only a handful of backend providers. However, six backend providers each service at least one million SLDs under the gTLDs that they support.
- 90% of new gTLDs have fewer than 10,000 registrations, even excluding .BRANDs.
- So far, only a single TLD has ceased operations. A handful of other TLDs have been sold post-launch, possibly due to an inability to sustain standalone operations.
- Most gTLDs have only modest numbers of registrations, but we have seen very few failures, so the structure of the industry may make it possible for many small gTLDs to continue to operate even with low registration volumes.
  - Kaili version: The mass majority of new gTLDs have a modest number of registrations. Thus, as the time period of their existence is still limited, and as their cost structure are to be further explored, it remains to be seen how many of them will survive over a longer period of time.

Market Structure

- New gTLDs represent about half (50%) of the increase in gTLD registrations since the end of 2013, and about a third (32%) of the increase in total domain name registrations (including ccTLDs).
- In aggregate, new gTLDs represent a significant portion of the growth in domain names since the launch of the program, roughly equivalent to either legacy gTLDs or ccTLDs.
  - Kaili adds: Meanwhile, as data collected so far (to be quoted here) shows that parked registrations are of a significant portion of the new ones, it raises the question that how many of them are for real usage by Internet users instead for speculation or brand-name defensive purposes. This needs to be further studied by the team.

Concentration

- By all standard measures of market concentration, the “new gTLD market” is significantly less concentrated than the “overall gTLD market”.
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Using USDOJ’s interpretation of HHI’s, the new gTLD market would be considered not concentrated, whereas the overall gTLD market is highly concentrated (the HHI of the overall gTLD market, at 6,360, is extremely high).

Concentration amongst registrars within the new gTLDs is not significantly different than the overall gTLD market, and are generally in the range considered to be not concentrated.

The market for registry backend services (for new gTLDs) is relatively concentrated, with an HHI of 1735 and an 8-firm concentration of .95.

**New gTLDs have decreased concentration in the gTLD market, but because the program is relatively new and the existing base of registered domains is large, the overall effect has been modest.**

**Kaili version:** Although new gTLDs have decreased the concentration in the gTLD market, but this is only natural due to the significant increase of available gTLDs. It does not necessarily lead to the conclusion of an increase of competition in the market place. Furthermore, as there is no evidence of a lowering in price (the retail price of new gTLD registrations are found to be no lower than legacy ones), whether the new gTLDs have increased competition is still a question to be further studied.

**Trademarks**

As with previous expansions of the gTLD space, some trademark holders engage in defensive registrations.

In a sample studied by Analysis Group, 54% of trademarks registered in .com were registered in one or more of the new gTLDs.

Most trademarks are only registered in a small number of gTLDs (median of 3), although a small number of trademarks are registered in many of gTLDs. 4% of trademarks were registered in over 100 new gTLDs, and one trademark was registered in 406 gTLDs.

The cost of the new gTLD program for most trademark holders has been relatively low; however, a small fraction of trademark holders are likely incurring significant costs in defensive registrations.

**Kaili addition:** Regardless of the costs for such defensive registrations, this is an obvious unwanted effect of new gTLDs that is especially of concern to the business community and Internet end-users. Thus, together with reportedly significant volume of parked new registrations suspected for speculation, the benefit and draw backs of new gTLDs need to be carefully weighed against each other, and policies to prevent such draw backs need to be formulated before further advancing the new gTLD program.

**Choice**

- This is particularly true in IDN TLDs. In some IDN TLDs, >60% of SLDs registered are available as exact matches in .COM.
• The vast majority (92%) of new gTLD registrations could have been registered in .COM instead in the form SLDTLD.COM. (e.g., users pick BIGSHOTS.PHOTOGRA PHY even though BIGSHOTSPHOTOGRA PHY.COM was available)
  ○ For many gTLDs, this is true despite the fact that the retail price for the gTLD is typically higher than for .COM.

Pricing

• In general, we are missing important data to draw strong conclusions. In particular, we are missing transactional data from registrars, wholesale pricing from most legacy gTLDs, and data on resale prices of domain names.
  ○ On average, new gTLDs are priced no lower than the price caps for legacy gTLDs, but it is unclear what prices the legacy gTLDs would charge in the absence of the price caps.

Regulation

• Most of top 30 registries (90%) have published Privacy policy.
• 66.6% of these registries would not share those data with third parties, except in cases proscribed by law and regarding to Whois policy.
  ○ 43.3% of these registries have strict obligation in their policies that they will take reasonable measures to provide the security of personal data.
• No jurisdiction requirements, except for .nyc.
• All of these registries have compliance procedure for abusive behavior or other violation of policy.
  • No parked domain names related policies.