Step 1: Submit your draft using the designated <u>template</u>

Step 2: Present your findings to your subteam on your subteam call for discussion

Step 3: Your subteam provides you with comments

Step 4: Address your subteam's comments – as appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 5: Your subteam leader sends your draft to the CCT

Step 6: Present your findings on plenary call

Step 7: The CCT provides you with comments

Step 8: Incorporate CCT comments – as appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 9: Your subteam leader sends your final template to

Step 10: CCT reaches final agreement on your findings on plenary call

Deadline	Action Owner	Action/Program
By 30 September	Jonathan	Jonathan to send out best practices to Review Team and roadmap to Hyderabad
By 30 September	Carlton, Gao, Laureen, Jamie (see <u>here</u> for individual assignments)	Circulate - Have these efforts had an impact on public perception of the DNS? discussion papers i.e. O What is the level of consumer awareness of the new gTLDs? O Have consumers expressed trust in the new gTLD program efforts? O Has consumer trust in the DNS improved overall since the introduction of new gTLDs? to the Safeguards & Trust list
By 30 September	Carlos, Calvin, Laureen, Drew, Carlton (see here for individual assignments)	Circulate: - Has the New gTLD Program put mechanisms in to improve trustworthiness in the DNS? discussion papers i.e. - Background of History of GAC Safeguards and new Registry Agreement - Description of Technical Safeguards and Implementation - Description of Safeguards Applicable to all gTLDs and Implementation - Description of Safeguards Applicable to sensitive/highly regulated gTLDs and Implementation - Description of Voluntary Public Interest Commitments - Description of Rights Protection Mechanisms to the Safeguards & Trust list
By 30 September	Waudo	Circulate: - Brand TLDs - Registrar Competition with Registries discussion papers to Competition & Consumer Choice list
By 30 September	Dejan	Circulate: - URS vs UDRP - Registry policies v2 discussion papers to Competition & Consumer Choice list
By 30 September	Jordyn	Circulate: - Concentration Ratios v2 discussion paper to Competition & Consumer Choice list

Step 1: Submit your draft using the designated <u>template</u> Step 2: Present your findings to your subteam on your

subteam call for discussion

Step 3: Your subteam provides you with comments

Step 4: Address your subteam's comments – as appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 5: Your subteam leader sends your draft to the CCT

Step 6: Present your findings on plenary call

Step 7: The CCT provides you with comments

Step 8: Incorporate CCT comments – as appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 9: Your subteam leader sends your final template to the CCT

Step 10: CCT reaches final agreement on your findings on plenary call

Scheduled to deliver jill		
By 30 September	Stan	Circulate: - Basic market share consultations v2 - Size distribution of TLDs - Industry structures v2 - Price analysis discussion papers to Competition & Consumer Choice list
By 30 September	Megan	Circulate: - Benefits vs. confusion to end users v2 discussion paper to Competition & Consumer Choice list
4 October Safeguards & Trust call	Carlton, Gao, Laureen, Jamie, Carlos, Drew, Calvin	Deliver overview of discussion papers and findings: - Have these efforts had an impact on public perception of the DNS? i.e. O What is the level of consumer awareness of the new gTLDs? O Have consumers expressed trust in the new gTLD program efforts? O Has consumer trust in the DNS improved overall since the introduction of new gTLDs? - Has the New gTLD Program put mechanisms in to improve trustworthiness in the DNS? i.e. O Background of History of GAC Safeguards and new Registry Agreement O Description of Technical Safeguards and Implementation O Description of Safeguards Applicable to all gTLDs and Implementation O Description of Safeguards Applicable to sensitive/highly regulated gTLDs and Implementation O Description of Voluntary Public Interest Commitments O Description of Rights Protection Mechanisms
5 October – Competition & Consumer Choice call	Dejan – Stan – Megan – Waudo – Jordyn - Waudo	Deliver overview of amendments made to: - Registry policies - Basic market share consultations - Industry structures - Benefits vs. confusion to end users - Concentration Ratios Deliver detailed overview of discussion papers/findings: - Brand TLDs - Registrar Competition with Registries - URS vs UDRP - Size distribution of TLDs

Step 1: Submit your draft using the designated <u>template</u> Step 2: Present your findings to your subteam on your

subteam call for discussion

Step 3: Your subteam provides you with comments Step 4: Address your subteam's comments – as

appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 5: Your subteam leader sends your draft to the CCT

Step 6: Present your findings on plenary call

Step 7: The CCT provides you with comments

Step 8: Incorporate CCT comments – as appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 9: Your subteam leader sends your final template to

the CCT

Step 10: CCT reaches final agreement on your findings on plenary call

		- Price analysis
5 October	Jordyn	Send draft report structure to leadership team for discussion on 6 October leadership call
By 7 October	Competition & Consumer Choice Team	Submit redlines on: - Brand TLDs - Registrar Competition with Registries - URS vs UDRP - Size distribution of TLDs - Price analysis
By 7 October	Safeguards & Trust Team	Submit redlines on: - Have these efforts had an impact on public perception of the DNS? i.e. O What is the level of consumer awareness of the new gTLDs? O Have consumers expressed trust in the new gTLD program efforts? O Has consumer trust in the DNS improved overall since the introduction of new gTLDs? - Has the New gTLD Program put mechanisms in to improve trustworthiness in the DNS? i.e. O Background of History of GAC Safeguards and new Registry Agreement O Description of Technical Safeguards and Implementation O Description of Safeguards Applicable to all gTLDs and Implementation O Description of Safeguards Applicable to sensitive/highly regulated gTLDs and Implementation O Description of Voluntary Public Interest Commitments O Description of Rights Protection Mechanisms
By 7 October	CCT	Submit redlines on: - Trademarks - Duplicates - Consumer Trust
10 October	Fabro, Jamie, David, Drew, Laureen, Calvin (see <u>here</u> for individual assignments)	Circulate: - Has the New gTLD Program put sufficient mechanisms in place to mitigate risks to the trustworthiness of the DNS? discussion papers i.e. - Have the Safeguards been Implemented in a Manner that Promotes Effective Enforcement? (technical safeguards, implemented)

Step 1: Submit your draft using the designated <u>template</u> Step 2: Present your findings to your subteam on your

subteam call for discussion

Step 3: Your subteam provides you with comments

Step 4: Address your subteam's comments – as appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 5: Your subteam leader sends your draft to the CCT

Step 6: Present your findings on plenary call

Step 7: The CCT provides you with comments
Step 8: Incorporate CCT comments – as appropriate – and

send to your subteam leader

 ${\it Step 9: Your subteam leader sends your final\ template\ to}$

the CCT

Step 10: CCT reaches final agreement on your findings on plenary call

scheduled to deliver find	dings.	
		GAC safeguards, voluntary PICs, RPMs) Have the New gTLD Operators complied with technical safeguards, GAC implemented safeguards, voluntary PICs, RPMs What was the impact of the New Safeguards on DNS Abuse? Did the New Rights Protection Mechanisms mitigate certain risks involved with the Expansion of the New gTLD program? discussion papers to the Safeguards & Trust list
10 October	Laureen, Jordyn	 ◇ Circulate final papers/set of findings for final agreement on plenary call: Trademarks Duplicates Consumer Trust ♦ Provide revised papers: Industry structures Basic market share consultations Benefits vs. confusion to end users Concentration ratios ♦ Submit discussion papers/findings for overview/ discussion on plenary call: Registry policies Size distribution of TLDs Brand TLDs Registrar Competition with Registries URS vs UDRP Price analysis Have these efforts had an impact on public perception of the DNS? i.e.

Step 1: Submit your draft using the designated <u>template</u> Step 2: Present your findings to your subteam on your

subteam call for discussion

Step 3: Your subteam provides you with comments Step 4: Address your subteam's comments – as

appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 5: Your subteam leader sends your draft to the CCT

Step 6: Present your findings on plenary call

Step 7: The CCT provides you with comments
Step 8: Incorporate CCT comments – as appropriate – and

send to your subteam leader

Step 9: Your subteam leader sends your final template to the CCT

Step 10: CCT reaches final agreement on your findings on plenary call

		 Description of Voluntary Public Interest Commitments Description of Rights Protection Mechanisms
11 October	Jordyn, Jonathan	Circulate proposed draft structure to Review Team
13 October Plenary call	CCT	 ♦ Provide overview of papers/findings: Registry policies Size distribution of TLDs Brand TLDs Registrar Competition with Registries URS vs UDRP Price analysis Have these efforts had an impact on public perception of the DNS? papers

Step 1: Submit your draft using the designated <u>template</u> Step 2: Present your findings to your subteam on your

subteam call for discussion

Step 3: Your subteam provides you with comments

Step 4: Address your subteam's comments – as appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 5: Your subteam leader sends your draft to the CCT

Step 6: Present your findings on plenary call

Step 7: The CCT provides you with comments

Step 8: Incorporate CCT comments – as appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 9: Your subteam leader sends your final template to

Step 10: CCT reaches final agreement on your findings on plenary call

scheduled to deliverfindings.			
18 October Safeguards & Trust call	Fabro, Jamie, David, Drew, Laureen, Calvin	Deliver detailed overview of papers/findings: - Has the New gTLD Program Put Sufficient Mechanisms In Place to Mitigate risks to the Trustworthiness of the DNS? discussion papers - Have the Safeguards been Implemented in a Manner that Promotes Effective Enforcement? (technical safeguards, implemented GAC safeguards, voluntary PICs, RPMs) - Have the New gTLD Operators complied with technical safeguards, GAC implemented safeguards, voluntary PICs, RPMs - What was the impact of the New Safeguards on DNS Abuse? - Did the New Rights Protection Mechanisms mitigate certain risks involved with the Expansion of the New gTLD program?	
19 October Competition & Consumer Choice call	C&CC	Discuss competition & consumer choice draft report structure	
By 21 October	CCT	Submit any last minute input on: - Basic market share consultations - Industry structures - Benefits vs. confusion to end users - Concentration ratios Submit redlines on: - Registry policies - Size distribution of TLDs - Brand TLDs - Registrar Competition with Registries - URS vs UDRP - Price analysis - Have these efforts had an impact on public perception of the DNS? papers - What is the level of consumer awareness of the new gTLDs? - Have consumer expressed trust in the new gTLD program efforts? - Has consumer trust in the DNS improved overall since the introduction of new gTLDs? - Has the New gTLD Program put mechanisms in to improve trustworthiness in the DNS? - Background of History of GAC Safeguards and new Registry Agreement - Description of Technical Safeguards and Implementation	

Step 1: Submit your draft using the designated <u>template</u> Step 2: Present your findings to your subteam on your

Step 2: Present your findings to your subteam on your subteam call for discussion

Step 3: Your subteam provides you with comments

Step 4: Address your subteam's comments – as appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 5: Your subteam leader sends your draft to the CCT

Step 6: Present your findings on plenary call

Step 7: The CCT provides you with comments

Step 8: Incorporate CCT comments – as appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 9: Your subteam leader sends your final template to

Step 10: CCT reaches final agreement on your findings on plenary call

scneaulea to deliver fin	ungs.	
		 Description of Safeguards Applicable to all gTLDs and Implementation Description of Safeguards Applicable to sensitive/highly regulated gTLDs and Implementation Description of Voluntary Public Interest Commitments Description of Rights Protection Mechanisms
By 21 October	Safeguards & Trust Team	Submit redlines on: - Has the New gTLD Program put sufficient mechanisms in place to mitigate risks to the trustworthiness of the DNS? papers i.e. - Have the Safeguards been Implemented in a Manner that Promotes Effective Enforcement? (technical safeguards, implemented GAC safeguards, voluntary PICs, RPMs) - Have the New gTLD Operators complied with technical safeguards, GAC implemented safeguards, voluntary PICs, RPMs - What was the impact of the New Safeguards on DNS Abuse? - Did the New Rights Protection Mechanisms mitigate certain risks involved with the Expansion of the New gTLD program?
By 24 October	Jordyn, Laureen	Submit final papers/set of findings for final agreement on plenary call: - Registry policies - Size distribution of TLDs - Brand TLDs - Registrar Competition with Registries - URS vs UDRP - Price analysis - Have these efforts had an impact on public perception of the DNS? papers - What is the level of consumer awareness of the new gTLDs? - Have consumers expressed trust in the new gTLD program efforts? - Has consumer trust in the DNS improved overall since the introduction of new gTLDs? - Has new gTLD program put mechanisms in to improve trustworthiness in the DNS? - Background of History of GAC Safeguards and new Registry Agreement

Step 1: Submit your draft using the designated <u>template</u> Step 2: Present your findings to your subteam on your

subteam call for discussion

Step 3: Your subteam provides you with comments

Step 4: Address your subteam's comments – as appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 5: Your subteam leader sends your draft to the CCT

Step 6: Present your findings on plenary call

Step 7: The CCT provides you with comments

Step 8: Incorporate CCT comments – as appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 9: Your subteam leader sends your final template to the CCT

Step 10: CCT reaches final agreement on your findings on plenary call

scheduled to deliver fin	dings.	
		 Description of Technical Safeguards and Implementation Description of Safeguards Applicable to all gTLDs and Implementation Description of Safeguards Applicable to sensitive/highly regulated gTLDs and Implementation Description of Voluntary Public Interest Commitments Description of Rights Protection Mechanisms
By 24 October	Laureen	Submit paper for discussion on plenary call: - Has the New gTLD Program put sufficient mechanisms in place to mitigate risks to the trustworthiness of the DNS? i.e. O Have the Safeguards been Implemented in a Manner that Promotes Effective Enforcement? (technical safeguards, implemented GAC safeguards, voluntary PICs, RPMs) O Have the New gTLD Operators complied with technical safeguards, GAC implemented safeguards, voluntary PICs, RPMs O What was the impact of the New Safeguards on DNS Abuse? O Did the New Rights Protection Mechanisms mitigate certain risks involved with the Expansion of the New gTLD program?
26 October Plenary call	CCT	 Discuss findings of: Has the New gTLD Program Put Sufficient Mechanisms In Place to Mitigate risks to the Trustworthiness of the DNS? papers i.e. Have the Safeguards been Implemented in a Manner that Promotes Effective Enforcement? (technical safeguards, implemented GAC safeguards, voluntary PICs, RPMs) Have the New gTLD Operators complied with technical safeguards, GAC implemented safeguards, voluntary PICs, RPMs What was the impact of the New Safeguards on DNS Abuse? Did the New Rights Protection Mechanisms mitigate certain risks involved with the Expansion of the New gTLD program? Reach final agreement on:

Step 1: Submit your draft using the designated <u>template</u> Step 2: Present your findings to your subteam on your

subteam call for discussion

Step 3: Your subteam provides you with comments

Step 4: Address your subteam's comments – as appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 5: Your subteam leader sends your draft to the CCT

Step 6: Present your findings on plenary call

Step 7: The CCT provides you with comments

Step 8: Incorporate CCT comments – as appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 9: Your subteam leader sends your final template to

Step 10: CCT reaches final agreement on your findings on plenary call

scneaulea to deliver fin	umgs.	
		 Registry policies Size distribution of TLDs Brand TLDs Registrar Competition with Registries URS vs UDRP Price analysis Have these efforts had an impact on public perception of the DNS? papers What is the level of consumer awareness of the new gTLDs? Have consumers expressed trust in the new gTLD program efforts? Has consumer trust in the DNS improved overall since the introduction of new gTLDs? Has the New gTLD program put mechanisms in to improve trustworthiness in the DNS? Background of History of GAC Safeguards and Implementation Description of Technical Safeguards and Implementation Description of Safeguards Applicable to all gTLDs and Implementation Description of Voluntary Public Interest Commitments Description of Rights Protection Mechanisms
By 28 October	CCT	Submit redlines on: - Has the New gTLD Program put sufficient mechanisms in place to mitigate risks to the trustworthiness of the DNS? papers i.e. - Have the Safeguards been Implemented in a Manner that Promotes Effective Enforcement? (technical safeguards, implemented GAC safeguards, voluntary PICs, RPMs) - Have the New gTLD Operators complied with technical safeguards, GAC implemented safeguards, voluntary PICs, RPMs - What was the impact of the New Safeguards on DNS Abuse? - Did the New Rights Protection Mechanisms mitigate certain risks involved with the Expansion of the New gTLD program?

Step 1: Submit your draft using the designated <u>template</u> Step 2: Present your findings to your subteam on your

subteam call for discussion

Step 3: Your subteam provides you with comments

Step 4: Address your subteam's comments – as appropriate – and send to your subteam leader

Step 5: Your subteam leader sends your draft to the CCT

Step 6: Present your findings on plenary call

Step 7: The CCT provides you with comments
Step 8: Incorporate CCT comments – as appropriate – and

send to your subteam leader

Step 9: Your subteam leader sends your final template to

the CCT

Step 10: CCT reaches final agreement on your findings on plenary call

		Submit final paper/findings:
		- Has the New gTLD Program put sufficient
		mechanisms in place to mitigate risks to the
		trustworthiness of the DNS? papers i.e.
		 Have the Safeguards been
		Implemented in a Manner that
		Promotes Effective Enforcement?
		(technical safeguards, implemented
		GAC safeguards, voluntary PICs,
31 October	Laureen	RPMs) Have the New gTLD Operators
		complied with technical safeguards,
		GAC implemented safeguards,
		voluntary PICs, RPMs
		What was the impact of the New
		Safeguards on DNS Abuse?
		 Did the New Rights Protection
		Mechanisms mitigate certain risks
		involved with the Expansion of the
		New gTLD program?
24 Octobor	Loadorabia	Post compilation of findings you will be seeking input
31 October	Leadership	on in Hyderabad
2-3 November	HYDERABAD	HYDERABAD
z-s inovember	HIVERABAU	HIDERADAU