

Nathalie Peregrine:Dear All, Welcome to the Next-Gen RDS PDP Working Group call on Wednesday 17 August 2017 2016 at 05:00 UTC.

Nathalie Peregrine:If you wish to speak during the call, please either dial into the audio bridge and give the operator the password RDS, OR click on the telephone icon at the top of the AC room to activate your AC mics. Please remember to mute your phone and mics when not speaking.

Nathalie Peregrine:Agenda page:
<https://community.icann.org/x/ZgisAw>

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Hello All

Nathalie Peregrine>Welcome Maxim and Stuart!

Chuck Gomes:Hi all.

Alex Deacon:hello everyone...

Iliya Bazlyankov:Hello all

Nathalie Peregrine>Welcome everybody!

Fabricio Vayra:Hello

andrew sullivan:I sent regrets for this meeting, and I bet I won't last

Chuck Gomes:1 more minute

Susan Kawaguchi:Good evening!

andrew sullivan:I've a meeting at 10:00 my time (it's 1:00 here) that I chair

andrew sullivan:so I will probably drop early. So more regrets :)

Nathalie Peregrine:Thank you Andrew for bringing this to my attention, we will mark you as present regardless of the duration of your attendance :)

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):8am

andrew sullivan:@Nathalie: it's good to know that even if I flake out I am some sort of participant ;-)

Fabricio Vayra:We hear you, Alex.

andrew sullivan:The only worry I have about this is the suggestion that those who are outside the enumerated list are still part of the "some entities" who have the anonymity and privacy requirements. Who are these others? But I don't care to much about this

Fabricio Vayra:Looks good, Alex. Thanks for all the hard work (you and the drafting team)

Beth Allegretti:+1

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):are they secret stakeholders?

andrew sullivan:Jim is asking basically the worry I have

Lisa Phifer:the WG is tasked with defining the purpose of the RDS, not just reviewing it

andrew sullivan:ok, now he's really hitting what I'm worried about it :)

Fabricio Vayra:valid point, Alan.

Fabricio Vayra:and good points, Andrew

Stephanie Perrin:I think we all worry that each document we come up with becomes super important in that adjudication process. I would agree with the concern about this one in particular.

Marina Lewis:Based on our discussions in Helsinki, I believe this problem statement was meant as a tool to aid in our discussions, not a constraint.

Fabricio Vayra:+1 Marina

Alex Deacon:in the sub group we called it an executive summary of the charter. its not meant to change/modify the charter.

andrew sullivan:Very helpful, Chuck, thanks. It might be useful to say something about working goal, like what Rod just said. Thanks

andrew sullivan:And again what Alan is saying: rough principles of operation, not rules

Lisa Phifer:suggested text: Note that this problem statement is meant as a tool to aid in discussion, consistent with but not a constraint on the Working Group and its Charter.

andrew sullivan:+1 Lisa

andrew sullivan:also +1 s/us/wg/

Jim Galvin (Afilias):Ok Chuck.

Jim Galvin (Afilias):The changes that Lisa (apologies if it was someone else) made address my first concern. Specifically, changing "the aforementioned" to "other" does it for me.

Jim Galvin (Afilias):"meet the needs" -> "meet the needs, as described further below,"

andrew sullivan:Key thing for me: the "meet the needs" part has working priority to the tech choice

David Cake:'better meet the needs'?

andrew sullivan:I believe this is consistent with charter

Marina Lewis:@Stephanie - I think this was meant as just colorful intro language. I don't read so much into this. Again, this is a tool and we are constrained by our charter.

Alex Deacon:We must not however set policy that will limit future and now unknown users/innovation/etc.

Alan Greenberg:We are going to have to balance a lot of competing needs. We will NOT meet all the needs by definition.

Stephanie Perrin:Exactly Alan.

Alex Deacon:s/users/uses/

andrew sullivan:I expect Jim's approach will work

Stephanie Perrin:Ditto

Alan Greenberg:We are taking a clean concise statement and not adding bumps on to it to make sure that no one will misunderstand. THAT is why I wanted confirmation that it would not be used as a hammer later on.

andrew sullivan:And we don't need to hammer out text real time
-- could be a list edit

Stephanie Perrin:+1 andrew

Stephanie Perrin:No, looks good

Lisa Phifer:the requirements determine the need for a new
policy framework - technology decisions then follow policy

Stephanie Perrin:At the risk of sounding like a former
bureaucrat, I would like to add "to the extent possible" at the
end of the third paragraph.

Stephanie Perrin:Rhetorical flourish which is giving me
heartburn....

andrew sullivan:agree with delete the "existing and &c"

Marina Lewis:We can lose the "excision and ever evolving
Internet" if that's too problematic.

Lisa Phifer:posslble alt text for first sentence: tasked with
defining the requirements for and (if appropriate) policies
associated with...

Marina Lewis:"existing..."

Stephanie Perrin:+1 to DNS

Jim Galvin (Afilias):Yes

Marina Lewis:I'm happy with that first red-line comment as is.

andrew sullivan:yeah, sorry, couldn't edit in vox well on
demand :)

andrew sullivan:something like what I see. Thnaks

Fabricio Vayra:+1 Lisa and Alex

andrew sullivan:+11 (a lot in geek terms!) for great work

Alex Deacon:Many thanks to the other members of the sub-group
who all contributed to this proposed version.

andrew sullivan:completely agree this is happening now, and
the right thing is to make that better

andrew sullivan:FSVO "better"

andrew sullivan:for the transcript: I'm very sorry I've been
careless about stating my name. It's andrew sullivan.

andrew sullivan:when Chuck calls on "andrew"

Nathalie Peregrine:Thank you Andrew

andrew sullivan:I agree completely with Rod here that it's
already a use case, but this offers a plan.

Kal Feher:Under data elements the data is @registration. if an
update has been made since registration, should that information
also be collected?

Lisa Phifer:admin contact is often the party you need to reach
for domain name renewal, transfer, purchase, etc

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):+1

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):on the investigation to be done before
asking LE to look into the case

Stephanie Perrin:exactly

Alan Greenberg:We are not regulating web hosters, but we are providing core Internet infrastructure that will impact all parts of the Internet...

Fabricio Vayra:+1 Alan

andrew sullivan:I think that Rod is making the fine distinctions I think would help

Tjabbe Bos (European Commission):+1 for the excellent use case

Lisa Phifer:@Andrew - this is where the EWG's purpose-based contacts came from, to unpack the overloaded tech/admin contacts in today's WHOIS

andrew sullivan:not inconsistent with what Alan and Stephanie said earlier (incl in chat)

andrew sullivan:and completely agree with Lisa

Fabricio Vayra:Thanks, Rod

Kal Feher:the data here combines a mix of things a Registrant will explicitly tell a Registrar about and data that will be derived from their behaviour (IP addresses, their system creds)

andrew sullivan:if you are to have a website, who your hosting provider is is not PII

Fabricio Vayra:+1 Andrew

Kal Feher:your creds at that hosting provider should be tho

andrew sullivan:@kal: I should hope so.

andrew sullivan:keep in mind that any reputation service automatically means that those with _good_ reoutation win

Marika Konings:further details on visa requirements are expected to be posted shortly.

Fabricio Vayra:thanks ... zzzzz

andrew sullivan:bye!

Kal Feher:perfect time

Fabricio Vayra:~)

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):bye all

Marina Lewis:good night/morning everyone!