**OWNERS:** Fabro (lead), Carlton, David

**Refer to Laureen's Model Issue Paper -**
**https://docs.google.com/document/d/1rswTUNmvB_Lkt2RDwU2OuNx13pptdP_TpgCZ3V77UBk/edit?usp=sharing**

**CCT-RT DISCUSSION PAPER WORKSHEET (LAUREEN'S TEMPLATE ADOPTED ON PLENARY DRAFT #17)**

*Scroll down for prior work*

**HIGH LEVEL QUESTION: [*These are the discussion paper topics]***

**OWNER:** ***[primary drafter]***

**SUB-QUESTIONS:** ***[what foundation questions need to be answered to fully address the high-level question; these should be as specific as possible]***

**FINDINGS:** ***[a list of relevant findings and supporting data; the presentation of the findings needs to correspond to the numbered subquestions]***

**CAUSES:**

**PRIORITY TO ADDRESS:** ***[ex. Prior to Subsequent Procedures, Mid-term, Long-term***
***This is an important area for community input]***

**RECOMMENDATIONS:** ***[recommendations to ICANN. For each, specify: 1. Target of recommendation (i.e. Staff, Board, SubProc PDP); 2. Nature of recommendation; 3. Implementation details, exceptional costs, etc.]***

**REVIEW:** ***[how the effectiveness of these recommendations will be reviewed; e.g. data source recommended for review and recommended timeframe for review]***

**CCT-RT HYPOTHESIS WORKSHEET**

**HYPOTHESIS:**

*The gTLD registry operators have complied with most of the safeguards, but not all.*

**OWNER:**
*Fabro Steibel*

**SUB-QUESTIONS:**

*[what foundation questions need to be answered to fully address the high-level question; these should be as specific as possible]*

*What are the safeguards intended impact with most/least compliance? What type of safeguards are most/least successful?*
*What is the percentage of safeguards that gTLD registry operators have complied with?*
*What circumstance explain the lack of compliance?*
*What was ICANN's role in promoting compliance with non-complied safeguards?*

*FINDINGS: [a list of relevant findings and supporting data; the presentation of the findings needs to correspond to the numbered subquestions]*

*CAUSES:*

*PRIORITY TO ADDRESS: [ex. Prior to Subsequent Procedures, Mid-term, Long-term This is an important area for community input]*

*RECOMMENDATIONS: [recommendations to ICANN. For each, specify: 1. Target of recommendation (i.e. Staff, Board, SubProc PDP); 2. Nature of recommendation; 3. Implementation details, exceptional costs, etc.]*

*REVIEW: [how the effectiveness of these recommendations will be reviewed; e.g. data source recommended for review and recommended timeframe for review]*