

## ALAC RoP – Version 3 – Change Log

Paragraph	Description	Rationale
2	Update URL	Correction
3.1.2	Update URL	Correction
3.5.4-6	Definitions related to ALT	Documenting what has been the standard practice for several years.
11.4.3	Consensus calls electronically are deemed to have quorum, so this rule only applies to those in meetings.	Correction
11.6.3	<p>We routinely neglect to ask for a second, and for votes or Consensus decisions online, waiting for a Second before discussion can begin does not make a lot of sense. There has never been a situation where a Second was called for and did not happen. So it is not particularly meaningful.</p> <p>This change removes the need for a Second, but also prevents anyone making a motion and having to go through a discussion and vote process if there is NO other support.</p>	Proposed change to align RoP with de facto practice.
11.6.6.1	Change required because of the previous one making a Second optional.	Companion change to 11.6.3
11.8.4	This fixes a problem. According to Paragraph 11.8.3, the Chair could disallow a procedural motion, but it would still have to have been decided by the ALAC!	Correction
12.3.10	Fixes a problem of several Paragraphs referring to “votes” where they should have included Consensus decisions.	Correction
14.4.1	Update URL	Correction
16.3	At the time the RoP were written, it was presumed that with only one nominee, that person would be acclaimed – that had always been the practice in the ALAC. Since that time it has become apparent that in some groups, that is not obvious, so the ALAC standard practice should be documented.	Clarification
19.11.6	Random selection also applies to resolve a tie after a 2nd vote has been taken.	Omission