Adobe Connect chat transcript for Wednesday, 20 July 2016

Terri Agnew:Welcome to the GNSO Next-Gen RDS PDP Working Group teleconference held on Wednesday, 20 July 2016 at 05:00

Benny Samuelsen / Nordreg AB:Good morning everyone!

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Hello Everyone

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): will not be able to use mic this time

Terri Agnew:HI Maxim, if a dial out on the telephone is needed, please let me know

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):ok

Chuck Gomes:Hi all.

Vlad Dinculescu:Hi all

Alex Deacon:Hello everyone...

Michele Neylon: having issues with my line - dialling back in

Fabricio Vayra:Hello

Dick Leaning:Mornning

Tapani Tarvainen: This is good time for me, too.

Marika Konings: If you didn't complete the doodle poll yet, please go to

http://doodle.com/poll/zezbbghrmwavtdma

Benny Samuelsen / Nordreg AB:Completed now

Marika Konings: FYI, the GNSO Council is expected to discuss ICANN57 schedule on its call on Thursday so hopefully there will be clarity shortly after that.

Lisa Phifer:Link to work in progress on Etherpad: <u>https://etherpad.wikimedia.org/p/gnso-rds-pbstatement-0</u>

marksv:Please read and send email feedback if you aren't able to edit in the pad - thx

Alex Deacon: Thanks again to Lisa and Susan for doing this - super helpful!

Fabricio Vayra:Loads of work here, thanks Lisa and Susan!

Chuck Gomes: Mark: Please let me jump ahead of you.

Lisa Phifer: Mark hold that thought for a minute, we'll get to questions shortly

marksv:oops, sory chuck

marksv:lol

Stephanie Perrin: this is indeed a lot of work. For those of us who are klutzes with Excel, manipulating the data is not going to be possible. I trust that our remarks and discussion point are not going to be ignored, because we are not talking in terms of the grid.

Olévié [NPOC]: Good morning from Lomé, Togo

Kal Feher: I apologise if this was already pointed out elsewhere, but people should note that the spreadsheet appeared to have some filters enabled in the Group column. So be sure to "select all" at least initially.

Olévié [NPOC]:Echo

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Stupid question: are we going to integrate outreach responces from SGs at some step?

Lisa Phifer:Maxim, yes draft 4 will include any additional possible requirements that come from outreach - including those collected already in Helsinki

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):thanks... in my experience - sorting of material is better to do after inclusion of all material

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):items

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):we might use key of [outreach letter #2 for __SG]

Michele Neylon:somebody needs to mute their line

Olévié [NPOC]:Echo

Fabricio Vayra:@Stphanie, bc it was written in the documents

Lisa Phifer:@Maxim, every source will be added to the document list and given a unique number Susan Kawaguchi:it is a keyword

Olévié [NPOC]: Noises from Chuck's microphone

Fabricio Vayra: And those were put into the document

Stephanie Perrin: Why exactly is it a keyword?

Alex Deacon:@stephanie - its a way to categorize (organize? group?) the possible requirements.

Michele Neylon:basically it's a taxonomy?

Fabricio Vayra:Good explaination, Mark

Lisa Phifer: Groups are words found in several possible requirements

Benny Samuelsen / Nordreg AB:echo

Fabricio Vayra:@Stphanie, nothing is "pulled out", it's a keyword/tag for filtering

marksv:It's okay to question or object to either (a) a specific groupname/keyword or (b) whether that groupname/keyword applies to a specific row

Alex Deacon:@stephanie - we are enabling the requirements to be sorted - if the reader wants to sort them.

Susan Kawaguchi: absolutely agree but we have to start somewhere

Lisa Phifer:addtional values can be added throughout deliberations as needed

marksv:it sounds like you are questioning the use of groupnames/keywords, rather than the specific names/words themselves

Stephanie Perrin: This is a framework.

Fabricio Vayra:+1 Chuck.

Susan Kawaguchi: she is questioning my bias

Stephanie Perrin:We all have bias Susan. Absent an analytical framework that has some rigour, there will be bias.

Lisa Phifer:there are many possible requirements that are similar to each other - we used groups to flag those similiarities but additional groups can be added at any time

Susan Kawaguchi:@Stephanie I absolutely agree with you on bias

Susan Kawaguchi:but we have to start somewhere

Ayden Férdeline:+1 to Stephanie's comments. It is inevitable that these keywords are going to shape our thinking, intentionally or unintentionally – and I think that is something we should be discussing in this stage of our work, not in our deliberation stage, if we are going to use these very groupings in our deliberations. I don't think we should go through all 100 pages collectively on a call, but if someone identifies a possible requirement that is mischaracterised, or would like to add new keywords, I would hope that could be addressed now.

Susan Kawaguchi:and in deliberation you can triage and change the tags/keywords

marksv:A document can belong to many groups/keywords

Fabricio Vayra:+1 Michele

Michele Neylon:did I lose the audio?

Michele Neylon:or is nobody speaking?

Stephanie Perrin: What does Y, INternalization refer to?

Susan Kawaguchi:Internationalization of the registrant data

Lisa Phifer:All PRs that describe possible requirements on internationalized data, translation or

transilteration

Stephanie Perrin:OK there is a typo then in the key

Fabricio Vayra:+1 David. Well said.

Stephanie Perrin: what is access violation ?

Lisa Phifer:yes typo, will fix

Susan Kawaguchi: if someone violates the terms of access

Kal Feher:bear in mind that this is all for assisting us in quickly locating useful information amongst at least 800 items. The grouping doesnt assign any value or restrictions to the requirement.

Stephanie Perrin: Where did that come from? Which documents?

Susan Kawaguchi:you may find it more understandable to read the PR and then look at the key Stephanie Perrin:This is the framework on which we are going to analyse all our documents, It had better be right.

Alex Deacon: The groups are a great start - we do not need to develop rigorous analytical framework to do our job here.

Olévié [NPOC]:+1 @Chuck

Fabricio Vayra:+1 Chuck

marksv: I assume that any document at this stage contains errors and omissions. We'll have to use our defined processes to make edits as we discover them

Stephanie Perrin: I dont seem to be having any success in editing the framework, so good luck with that. Olévié [NPOC]:@Stephanie : you work a lot ! Wow ! Banzaï !

Olévié [NPOC]:Keep up the great work !

Chuck Gomes:@ Stephanie: Can you suggest a way to edit the framework that won't take several weeks and that you think the WG will support?

Stephanie Perrin:We need an organizing principle to start with. I will get back to you tomorrow Chuck. Benny Samuelsen / Nordreg AB:supposed to be schared any from Michele now?

Chuck Gomes:Sounds good Stephanie.

Fabricio Vayra:@Stephanie - Thanks for volunteering to do that

Stephanie Perrin: This is totally ad hoc, would be impossible to defend as a dissertation, I can tell you that much...:-)

Kal Feher: I think some of us have differing levels of comfort in using tags/keywords to help search or index information. So we are more willing to accept gaps or biases. These are search aids only. If you are concerned about missed or diluted requirements, then we should tag those as appropriate.

Fabricio Vayra:Couldn't agree more, Kal.

Chuck Gomes:Can people here me?

Fabricio Vayra:No, Chuck

Kal Feher:I'm hearing michelle

Fabricio Vayra:ditto

Chuck Gomes: Michele - please take the lead

Terri Agnew:@Chuck, you hae disconnected on audio

Chuck Gomes: I will dial in again

Olévié [NPOC]: Please Who is the active speaker

Marika Konings: Michele Neylon is speaking

Terri Agnew:@Michele, your audio is clear

Fabricio Vayra:hear you fine, Michelle

Olévié [NPOC]:@Chuck : please, noises comes from your mic. Pay attention

Beth Allegretti 2:I can hear

marksv:clear

Chuck Gomes:I am back

Olévié [NPOC]: This is case of issues : transfer between registrars

Olévié [NPOC]: Thak you Marika

marksv:clear

Fabricio Vayra:very clear, Michele

Olévié [NPOC]:+A Michelle

Olévié [NPOC]:1

Marina Lewis: thanks, Michele - very clear, not boring :)

Stephanie Perrin: How and when do we decide whether they are primary or secondary?

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): it might be useful to look at the generic domain lifecycle and to see what steps require info

Ayden Férdeline:What were the proposed new fields for the use cases? I don't recall reading such an email.

Lisa Phifer:@Stephanie - deliberation

Lisa Phifer:@Ayden - Andrew suggested several items -- scroll down in the displayed template Ayden Férdeline:Ah, thanks

Fabricio Vayra: Very clear, Michele. Thanks.

Ayden Férdeline: I would support the inclusion of Andrew's fields in the template.

Olévié [NPOC]:Not the what but the how

Stephanie Perrin:data prtoection law re registration is not outside this pdp

Olévié [NPOC]: is necessary

Stephanie Perrin: Good for you, that is exactly the kind of security we are looking for !!!

marksv:yes

marksv:will do

Stephanie Perrin: You choose your provider based on a number of factors...openness re data protection practices being only one.

Lisa Phifer:Could volunteers provide a one-line summary of the case they are working on so that others know and may choose different use cases

Olévié [NPOC]: will be on travel from today for 6 days

Stephanie Perrin:how will they determine what is a valid use?

Olévié [NPOC]: Apologies for the next meeting

Olévié [NPOC]: will be on the roads

Olévié [NPOC]:sorry

Terri Agnew:@Olevie, apology will be noted for the 26 July meeting

Olévié [NPOC]:Great meeting !

Lisa Phifer:note that use cases may be developed for uses that you consider invalid as well as valid, if it is a use of registration data you would would like to see deterred

Olévié [NPOC]:Thanks Terry

Fabricio Vayra: Thanks, Chuck!

Marina Lewis: Thanks everyone

Beth Allegretti 2:Thanks everyone!

Farell FOLLY: I will if i can help on the use cases

Olévié [NPOC]: Thanks Chuck. Bye to you all; have a nice day

marksv:bye

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):bye all

Wanawit Ahkuputra: Thank you all

Stephanie Perrin: Thanks! Evening all...

Vlad Dinculescu:Thanks all

Patrick Lenihan: Thanks for the informative discussion!

Ayden Férdeline:thanks all

Farell FOLLY:ok. bye bye all