

# Commenters (7)

- ⊙ RSSAC
- ⊙ RySG
- ⊙ CNNIC
- ⊙ DENIC
- ⊙ NCSG
- ⊙ CW
- ⊙ Alberto Soto

# No Updates Required

- ⦿ Concern raised already addressed by proposed charter
  - ⦿ CNNIC suggested a more frequent charter review frequency
  - ⦿ DENIC commented the root zone maintainer should be excluded from consensus decision on matters relating to RFP for the root zone maintainer
  - ⦿ CW commented that Verisign as the root zone maintainer, cannot be a member of the RZERC due to conflict of interest
  - ⦿ DENIC commented that appointments should be left to the appointing organizations rather than asking for participation by the organization's Chair
- ⦿ Implementation of suggestion would cause inconsistency with CWG proposal
  - ⦿ CNNIC suggested that the GNSO and ccNSO should have more representation on the RZERC and expect clarification to the charter regarding diversity
  - ⦿ NCSG suggested that RZERC includes representation from the NCPH of the GNSO
  - ⦿ CW commented that the RZERC's scope should include consideration of current and future "geographical topography of the root servers."

# Updates will be made

- DENIC suggested replacing content of Purpose section with background
- NCSG suggested changing all references of “root zone” to “DNS root zone”
- RSSAC comments regarding clarity of purpose and scope of responsibilities
- DENIC commented:
  - It’s not clear whether RZERC may originate proposals and recommendations
  - The scope of the RZERC should be clarified as the wording suggests significant overlap with RSSAC’s responsibilities
  - RZERC’s role in the root zone maintainer RFP process should be clarified
- DENIC suggested that given decisions can be made outside of meetings, deliberations and results should be made public in the same way meeting recordings are made public
- Mr. Soto suggested that the charter require committee meetings to always be recorded

# Further consideration required

- ⦿ CNNIC suggested adding more details around quorum and voting threshold
- ⦿ RySG commented adding a clause in the charter to prevent disproportionate share of influence due to ICANN having two seats on the Committee (ICANN Board member and PTI representative)

Current charter language on decision:

“Decisions and actions of the Committee shall be taken by consensus. Such consensus shall be documented and may be determined via Internet-based discussions without the need for a meeting.”