



EN

AL-ALAC-ST-0616-01-00-EN
ORIGINAL: English
DATE: 10 June 2016
STATUS: Final

AT-LARGE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

ALAC Statement on the Request for Input - Next-Generation RDS to replace WHOIS PDP

Introduction

Holly Raiche, ALAC member of the Asian, Australasian and Pacific Islands Regional At-Large Organization (APRALO) and the ALAC Leadership Team Member, developed an initial draft of the ALAC Statement with assistance from Carlton Samuels, member of the Latin America and the Caribbean Islands Regional At-large Organization (LACRALO).

On 23 May 2016, the first draft of the Statement was posted on the [At-Large Request for Input - Next-Generation RDS to replace WHOIS PDP Workspace](#).

On that same date, Alan Greenberg, Chair of the ALAC, requested ICANN Policy Staff in support of the ALAC to send a Call for Comments on the Statement to all At-Large members via the [ALAC-Announce Mailing List](#).

On 03 June 2016, a version incorporating the comments received was posted on the aforementioned workspace and the Chair requested that Staff open an ALAC ratification vote on the proposed Statement.

On 10 June 2016, Staff confirmed that the online vote resulted in the ALAC endorsing the Statement with 13 votes in favor, 1 vote against, and 0 abstention. You may view the result independently under: <https://www.bigpulse.com/pollresults?code=5716ARmexWxTzbDq59B5Nczv>.

ALAC Statement on the Request for Input - Next-Generation RDS to replace WHOIS PDP

Question One

Are there any additional documents missing from the list?

Suggested Response:

No

Question Two:

Are the key inputs, as identified still relevant and up to date and if not, what input should the Working Group be considering

Suggested Response:

The list of Key Inputs is a very long one and serious consideration of each of the documents by all members of the Working Group would be far too big a task for the Working Group to reach any conclusions in a realistic timeframe.

Without taking away from the importance of the documents, we suggest that the Working Group focus on more critical documents, including:

- ◆ The latest WHOIS Policy Review Team Final Report 2012
- ◆ SAC Reports 054, 055 and 058:
- ◆ 2013 RAA and 2014 New gTLD Registry Agreement
- ◆ Relevant RFCs
- ◆ The latest documents from the EU on data protection, particularly the latest Directive/Regulation
- ◆ The EWG Final Report, together with additional statements by EWG members

Question Three

Views on completeness of the Charter Questions (listed below)

Suggested Response:

The WG must, at a minimum and by Full Consensus, address the following question:

- ◆ Should the domain name ecosystem capture, collect and curate personal data elements for a valid domain name registration transaction?
- ◆ Should ICANN compel the capture, collection and the curation of certain specific personal data elements of the domain name registration transaction?

Specifically, the Working Group should identify all data that ICANN requires to be collected. This data, together with other data, can potentially be of concern to individual users. With the increasing use of data analytics, a great deal of information about people can be gained by analysing data from a variety of sources in combination with other data.

Question Four

Any other information that should be considered?

Suggested Response:

No