

---

TERRI AGNEW:

Thank you. We'll go ahead and begin at this time.

Good morning, good afternoon and good evening. Welcome to the AFRALO Rules and Procedures Working Group call taking place on Tuesday, the 14<sup>th</sup> of June, 2016 at 14:00 UTC.

On the call today, we have Tijani Ben Jeema, Fatimata Seye Sylla, Aziz Hilali, Abdeldjalil Bachar Bong, and Seun Ojedeji. I have no list of apologies for today's meeting.

From staff, we have Silvia Vivanco, and myself, Terri Agnew.

I would like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking, not only for transcription purposes, but also for our French interpreters.

Our French interpreters today are Camila and Claire.

With that, I'll now hand it back over to Tijani Ben Jeema. Please begin.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA:

Thank you very much, Terri. Hello, everybody. We are going to begin, to start again this working group. We stopped in 2014. We stopped the work we had made because we had no more sources working for us and with us. So now we are taking back our work and we were speaking about the summit's metrics and we are going to start again on this ICANN.

---

*Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.*

---

If you click on the ICANN that you have on the agenda, there is what we had said at this moment that was amended by [inaudible] and Fatimata. So we have a proposal that we discussed already. It's not new. We had discussed it and we gave the result to everybody to improve this proposal, but there were nothing added to this proposal and this proposal is on the link. If you want me to read it, you have it on the wiki, so maybe it's not really necessary but you have the criteria of involvement and participation, the meeting participation, teleconference's participation and also, the activity in general.

And afterward, we have defined the criteria, the participation criteria, and afterwards, we have designed the status of each ALS: active, less active, or standby. And then, we have designed the threshold parameters. For an ALS to be active, it had to participate to at least two meetings every three months and/or to have made three contributions at least every six months. That was the first point. The second was to have participate to vote in at least two of the last four consecutive polls and the submission of at least two local activity reports or more. This is the active parameters.

The less active ALSes were the ones who had participate in at least one meeting every three months and who had participated to one meeting every three months or made at least two contributions every six months. So this ALS had to have been voting in at least one of the last four consecutive polls and submitted at least one local activity report on its local activity every six months.

And if this ALS is not active or less active, it will be in standby. That means no participation in meetings within three months, less than two

---

contributions every six months, no vote in the last four consecutive polls and no local activity report in the last six months. These are the parameters for the ALS status.

If we want to see the ALS status, we have to analyze the A, participation to the meeting, B, C and D. All of these parameters are important.

An example, to be active, an ALS must participate in at least two meetings every three months or make at least three contributions every six months and have to vote in two of the last four consecutive polls and provide a list to local activity reports every six months. This was the proposal. This proposal was corrected by [inaudible] and Fatimata and was confirmed.

Now you have the floor. If you want to add something or tell us what you think about that, you have the floor. And as we are only five persons on this call, we are not going to take a decision. We are going to discuss only. It is a call that is, in a way, lost because we are not going to take any decision but we will be able to discuss about that if you want.

Now are there any remarks? Do you want to take the floor to give us your opinion about this project? You have the floor. Okay, nobody is asking for the floor. So I imagine –

SEUN OJEDEJI: This is Seun Ojedeji.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: No comment? As we are not enough person –

---

SEUN OJEDEJI: Hello, this is Seun.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: We are not going to vote or take a decision. We are going to move to the next item of the agenda. That is to say the decertification. I told you, I've given you a small text on decertification that I'd like you to read. Seun, you have the floor. Seun, we can't hear you.

SEUN OJEDEJI: Can you hear me now? Hello?

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: Okay. Now I can hear you. Just a minute, I change the channel. I'm going to translate into French.

CLAIRE: Seun, go ahead. You can go ahead.

SEUN OJEDEJI: Okay. The performance metrics is what I'm looking at. Can you hear me? Can I continue?

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: Seun, go ahead. It's okay.

---

SEUN OJEDEJI:

Okay. I'm just looking at the different status, the standby, less active, and it is, for the one for the, I mean, it is not clear for the one for the standby. What happens if either of the A, B, C, D is not met? What is the status of the person? Does it mean that the particular [area] was met A, B, C, D on each of these? What For instance, for the less active if they meet one for the B, they meet one contribution every six months but they happen to meet the other A, C, D.

I think what I'm trying to ask now is we need to be clear on what, at what point does a particular ALS qualify to be decertified or at what does it actually go out of three status that we have listed.

The other thing I wanted to ask is when are we going to actually discuss the aspect of individual membership? Does this mean this [inaudible], this particular working group or we need to take it out, we need to [inaudible] a different discussion for it.

The first point I want to make is in relation to voting. In terms of voting, the women, while [inaudible] of what's in the women, what's in relation to elections, what's in relation to what? We have voting per se for our working, for [inaudible]. What [inaudible] consensus. So what kind of [working] are we referring to? I think we need to also play [inaudible]. Thank you.

---

AFRALO: Okay. Thank you, Seun. There are different questions that you asked. First, you talk about the individual membership. We have already worked on that. There are some recommendations on the wiki page.

You also spoke about the standby status. You spoke about decertification. Until now, we have not spoken about decertification. We are going to speak about decertification today. We wanted to define the status for each ALS according to its participation and the cases are really clear where you have it is an obligation. When you have an “and,” it is an obligation. When you have “or” it is not. And I have [inaudible] what it means to be active. We can do the same for less active and standby.

Given this standby situation, that doesn’t mean that those ALS who are standby will be decertified. But if decertification, you didn’t read what it means, we can take a particular case and analyze. But I think it’s clear enough now.

Now I’m going to give the floor to Fatimata and then we will come back to you, Seun. Fatimata, you have the floor. Fatimata, you have the floor. Fatimata is not speaking. Or she’s in mute. Okay, yes, we can hear you. Okay.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Today we are only five persons. Maybe, I hope, next time we will be more participants. We won’t to take any decision today, but in our report, we have to say that next time, we’ll work with the person who will attend the meeting because if nobody participates to the meeting, we can’t take any decision. We will lose our time.

---

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: Yes, you are right. The date and the time of the teleconference was made according to a Doodle made by the staff. Everybody knows that the teleconference will take place at this time and today. I hope next time there will be more persons participating, but we will have to take this decision. You are right.

Now I'm going to turn the current draft to the list saying that it has been discussed in two conference calls and that it was amended according to the comments of the participants. So the participants and the members of this list will be asked to send us their opinion because during the next call, we will adopt these metrics.

Okay, Aziz, you have the floor.

AZIZ HILALI: Thank you, Tijani. Can you hear me?

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: Yes, we can. Go ahead. Yes.

AZIZ HILALI: Okay. I agree with what Fatimata just said and with what you said also, Tijani. I wanted to propose that we do a kind of recalling or reminder. There was a very good work down some years ago with very good participation. We had some ALSes working with us. I want you to recall what was decided and I want you to explain people that it is very

---

important to participate to the next meeting because what decision we are going to take next, during the next call, will be long-term decisions.

And for our next AFRALO meeting that will take place in July, we'll explain to the participants that the decision we are going to take in our working group on rules of procedure will have an important impact on the ALS status and on the ALS life. So it is important that everybody knows that.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA:

Thank you very much, Aziz. And there is another ICANN here. We will ask the current members of the group if they want to stay, to remain, in our group or if they want to leave the group. This group was created in 2014 so maybe there are some people who don't want to be in this group anymore.

Seun had asked for the floor. Seun, do you want to speak? Do you want to take the floor again?

SEUN OJEDEJI:

Yes, please.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE:

Okay, I'll tell Tijani. And you have the floor. Go ahead. Yes, you can.

SEUN OJEDEJI:

Okay, thank you. I wanted to mention. I'm not one of the – I'm getting my translation in French coming back to me, please.



---

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Sorry, Seun.

SEUN OJEDEJI: I wanted to indicate that I don't think a member of this working group, if there is actually – I think 2014 is a long time. We have new elections that have come in and new people have also come in. I think a call for more members should be made so that the people who are actually interested can also participate formally. And that's point one.

My second point is in relation to getting the appropriate data to determine the status of these things, of the [inaudible]. So my question is actually more to staff. Are we actually confirmed from staff that they can actually keep track of this? And then is there actually a place where they are already keeping track of this information? We should provide an appropriate data source to actually determine the status of each ALSes. So the staff actually [inaudible] resources to meet the provision of data to determine the status of the ALSes. Thank you.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Hello. I would like to respond.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: We're not quite there yet. We're trying to define performance metrics for now. We're trying to set the different statuses, so that is the beginning of our work. After that, as regard to implementation, we're not quite there yet. So we're only just discussing this matter for now.

---

Now regarding the working group work, I'll say yes, we have a big group or a big crowd full of volunteers. People who joined the group have done so voluntarily and they've been working very much. They're very involved.

But faced with resuming our work today, we realize that, unfortunately, the number of participants and the agenda at this particular meeting is very weak and that is actually something concerning to us. We tried to start working so we need to confirm with the volunteers still want to belong to this group.

If they don't want to go on working within this working group, we're going to have to start looking for new volunteers because as Aziz just said, this is going to shape the future of our work, this is going to define the way we work, and we measure people's performance so that it's going to have an impact on each ALS's status. Right.

SEUN OJEDEJI: Hello.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Hi, Seun. I'm sorry. I'm not getting anything from Tijani. I'm just waiting.

SEUN OJEDEJI: Yeah. I'm not sure Tijani got my question. My question [inaudible].

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: He hasn't given you the floor. He's just said –

---

SEUN OJEDEJI: Oh okay. Okay. All right, thank you.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: He's given the floor to Silvia. I'm sorry. So Silvia, you have the floor.

SILVIA VIVANCO: Okay. Hello. Thank you very much, Seun, for this question regarding the performance metrics. Two points. First, regarding votes, we are already, of course, keeping track of all the voting activities, so this is not a problem. Staff is prepared to keep records and very up to date regarding votes.

Regarding the other threshold which is attendance as well, no problem at all. We continue. We are taking attendance of any meetings which you require the attendance to be taken. So that's not a problem.

The only point where the secretariat of the RALO would need to have a very proactive role is in the contribution, analyzing what is a contribution and understanding what can be considered or to value contribution and to take it as such. So I see this work as how to evaluate this threshold parameters, a combination of work, one from the staff perspective looking at the votes and attendance, and the other activity contributions are going to be, I think we have to work with the secretariat on the RALO who will take the responsibility to monitor the contributions. Thank you very much.

---

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: Wonderful. Thank you, Silvia. So this is, in a way, related to Seun's question. I know you will need to work with our secretariat in order to carry out this stuff. But I think maybe we should discuss this at the end of the call to see what our implementation mechanisms will be so that we can actually implement all the recommendations that are being made.

Fatimata, you have the floor.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Thank you, Tijani. I expected us to discuss further all the different statuses to see what the attendance at meetings might be and to see who is at [inaudible] our meetings. So there's people who might participate three times a year. But it all depends on the context that person is attending in. So if I were to participate at one meeting and then, two months later, that would be one case because I will have attended at two different meetings. But maybe I will have concentrated my attendance in a series of meetings all together and then stop participating. Do you understand?

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: I understand.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Right. So I think maybe we should keep track of this. And then in terms of contributions, what do we expect? It might be the same scenario as with meetings. So maybe one contributes to the main issue through the

---

mailing list or else one can participate at a working group and make contributions within the working group.

So those are two different types of participation and contributions that we could make. So we should see who has truly participated in the different discussions, not only at statements.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA:

Great. Okay, thank you, Fatimata. Attendance is already recorded so that is one criteria. It is a number of criteria actually because attendance is coordinated with contributions so it might be one or the other. Attending a meeting might not have a great importance because people will contribute anyway. So what is most important is to participate. So it is a consolidation of AFRALO's work. That is the idea: the intersection of everything. That is what we measure so that is what will affect our implementation, Fatimata.

If we all agree on the greater principles, that's good and then we'll see how we can implement it. But if I attend a meeting in one month – I mean, the idea is that all ALSes be active. It's not about seeing whether they participated over three months or whether they were not very active, to say they're inactive or they're less active. I think if people participate over three months, we're going to record and give the level of participation. If it's not in a calendar year, it's not calendar terms so that needs to be taken into accounts. Three meetings, or I mean, it's not only calendar months and calendar terms.

The idea is to see whether an ALS is active or not. We don't intend to have many inactive ALSes. The idea is to encourage them to be more

---

active. That's the idea. So what you should understand from what's here is that it would depend on our implementation. So that's going to take a lot of work as well. Did I answer to your question?

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: Yes. That's fine.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: Very well. Do we have any other questions? I don't see anyone else raising their hands. Fatimata, you're still raising your hand.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA: I think Seun wishes to take the floor.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: Okay. So Seun, you have the floor. You can go ahead, Seun.

SEUN OJEDEJI: Okay. Yeah, so yeah, thank you, Silvia for the response. I think you hit on the nail on the head of, yeah, we're going to intentional contributions. In that, we are going to have a challenge in actually determining which one is a contribution and which one is not.

But I think it has one of the ways you can actually determine that is needed through the mailing list posts. So a number of mailing lists have been reported and made by certain members of the ALS could also be a source of just marking that as a contribution. By the time we actually

---

start going into the content of what somebody put and then to avoid being suggestive at that point in time would be difficult so I think it's very clear way by which we can determine contribution could be whether ALS is providing responses or posting to a particular call or comment and so on and so forth.

Analyzing the content of what the folks actually may [inaudible] subjective and I would want to try to avoid that as much as possible. I wish to encourage good content, good responses, but we probably should have [avoided] that to determine a level of participation.

My other comment is in relation to the activity reports. It needs to be clear. When we say "look at activity reports," are we referring to activity of the ALS in relation to ICANN or just their general, their normal activity, which necessarily does not, may not have anything to do with ICANN. Perhaps they could just be their own normal meeting or their own outreach exercise because each of the ALSes have their own goals just like part of their work has to do with the Internet.

So we need to be clear what the local activity report is, maybe a definition. I see what is written here, the definition as [inaudible]. But is it that you make an activity of ICANN work or just their own activity? Maybe we should be more explicit about this because a particular ALS may actually not do any ICANN work activity in the year, but may be participating physically. I mean, may be participating on the mailing list. But he may be doing this own normal activity in terms of Internet activity, but not necessarily advertising or making cases for [inaudible] transition and so on and so forth. But he may be doing his own activity.

---

So [we need to be] specific. I expect that maybe we are referring to ICANN activity. So we should indicate that explicitly on this. Thank you.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA:

Thank you very much, Seun. When we speak of activity reports, we say activity reports on the ground of ALSes, at the local level. That is, to say that when we speak of people as representatives, we call them representatives because we assume that people will lay over the information regarding what goes on within ICANN to ALSes and that ALSes will relay that information to their members. So anything going on at the ALS level is going to be reported to AFRALO and then to ALAC.

So the bottom line is our interest is in knowing what the ALS does at the local level, what activities it organizes at the local level. That's the type of report we expect to get from ALSes, reports on ALS's activities within their environment, not within ALAC or within ICANN. If it is an ICANN activity at the local level, that will count. But if it is an ICANN meeting that he attends, he participates in an ICANN meeting or an ALAC discussion, that wouldn't constitute a local activity report. A local activity would be the local activity of the ALS.

The ALS needs to be active within its community so that it can be an ALS. Otherwise, it wouldn't be an ALS. It would just be an individual participant, a representative who might work with us but who has nothing to do with his community and there is no point in that. That's not what we want. We're interested in getting the activities of the ALS within its local environment with its local community.



---

Seun, still, the implementation is going to be different with all the rest of the group. So if you wish to be active within the group, you will be able to help us have a collegiate type of work which might be most oriented to the user community which is the end goal as ever.

Do we have any other questions or any other comments?

Right. So yes. I would also like to make an observation on contributions and the way they are recorded. If there is a person who participates over a mailing list or at working groups and on wiki pages, if it is an ALS, I mean, an ALS would [inaudible] participation. And then there is another ALS who participates neither at working groups nor on mailing list discussions nor on wiki pages, there is a big difference, of course. That's clear to me. So that's what we call contributions, those three types of contribution. It's not that I support sending e-mail just saying, "Here I am. I'm still here." And there's people who do that. They send an e-mail every day letting us know that they are still reading the list. That's not what matters.

What we want is to have constructive participation, significant contribution so this might be real contributions. It's not just any contribution on the mailing list that matters. Contributions to working groups are also very important and then contributions on the wiki page when there is a matter under discussion on the wiki page. So I wanted to clarify the matter a bit.

Just as Silvia was saying, contributions differ. They may vary. People have minor contributions and other people have significant contributions. I will attest to that, of course. It's going to be defined

---

during the implementation stage, but we should have the criteria in order to assess that. I mean, we cannot read every single contribution. But someone will have to assess the level of the contributions and the value of the contributions. So this is how we're going to consider it for now and we'll see how we go on.

Contributions, of course, will be assessed and the content of the contributions will be assessed. But nonetheless, every single contribution is going to be considered as an active contribution. In turn, when the time comes when we have true contributions, we're going to create an assessment mechanism but for the time being, we don't have volunteers, and enough volunteers in order to dedicate some of them exclusively to assessing the contents of the contributions. In the meantime, we are going to have to assess that. For now, I'll say any contribution will orient our work.

Fatimata, you are raising your hand.

FATIMATA SEYE SYLLA:

Yes. I think the value of contributions is going to be a difficult matter. It won't be easy to assess them and the value might differ from one person to the next. Some people think the answer they're giving is good enough and then to others, it might not be deep enough. So we might have to consider contributions as such because people's knowledge of a matter will vary. So we cannot measure all of them the same way.

---

TIJANI BEN JEEMA:

Yes, you're absolutely right, Fatimata. That's why I said we won't have a [inaudible] to assess the content of contributions. On the contrary, I think we should be based on the premise that any contribution is good. But if, in time, we realize there's people who only participate to let us know they're there, we're going to have to consider those cases individually. So it's not that it's going to be an assessment of the contributions themselves, but it's going to be an assessment of each case, of the level of participation of each member. And I hope we won't have to get to that. And I think neither myself nor anyone else is qualified to assess the contributions of any other member because our levels of knowledge vary exactly. So the fact that they are there, they want to participate and contribute is good enough.

So we are going to build on the premise of this sort of political subject. But if as we go along we realize that someone is trying to deceive us, then we're going to consider that seriously. No one ever makes any valuable contributions, of course, there should be a mechanism to prevent that from happening. It's different from assessing content. You see what I mean?

Are there any other questions? Any other observations? I think we'll have to end our call. So I would like us to discuss the second matter that we should discuss next time, which is decertification. We'll see [inaudible] next time.

Now if you follow the decertification link, you'll see I published a small text which is not full. It's not complete. I just tried to enumerate possible reasons for decertification which might be the total absence of the ALS. And in AFRALO, we have many ALSes which are absolutely

---

absent from any meetings. Or then a second possibility is the fact that the ALS might, herself, ask to be decertified because there is no more interest for them to participate at AFRALO.

I would like you all to consider whether you can see any other possible scenarios for decertification, any other possible reasons to decertify an ALS. An ALS isn't merely going to happen because of these three reasons. Of course, we'll go into that to follow a process, send e-mails to the ALS to remind it that it hasn't participated in a given time in a given number of months. We're going to try to get them to come back to participate once again in our discussions and then we're going to ask them what their interest is, why they're not participating, and then if the ALS either doesn't reply or says, "I'm no longer interested in AFRALO," and the subject's not interested, we're going to decertify it.

On the contrary, if the ALS replies and shows its will to participate and to make contributions, in that case, we're going to try to integrate it to a [politic] environment to get it to work together with all the other ALSes for it to become an active ALS once again. That is the true content of the matter.

I would like you all to consider the matter of decertification and let me know whether you can identify any other possible reasons to decertify an ALS. You can add your ideas to this wiki page that I created so that we can have a longer page next time, a longer list to discuss over the next teleconference.

Aziz, yes. Go ahead.

---

AZIZ HILALI:

At the last meeting of the working group, I had made a suggestion regarding the absence of ALSes. I think we had three or four ALSes which were absent at every discussion from which we had not received any communication, no replies. We tried to contact them, they never replied, and so I suggested we might have to reach a decision regarding those ALSes.

I think we should establish rules for the future regarding the decertification of an ALS, of course. But still, we will have to decide on the ALSes which are currently on the list and from which we have not received any news or messages. So I would like to remind you of that suggestion I had made so that we consider that matter as well.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA:

Dear Chair, you are my Chair and anything you say will be accepted. So of course, you can propose that those ALSes be decertified. Still, as an AFRALO member, I suggest we don't do this for now, not until we have established clear rules for decertification. I think the work of our working group should be approved and streamlined before we get to that. We should have a clear platform.

Hold on, I'll give you the floor in a minute.

So we should have a clear platform and clear decertification criteria, clear reasons for decertification before we move to action.

Aziz, you have the floor.

---

AZIZ HILALI: Yes. I just wanted to remind you that I never unilaterally took any decision of this sort. I have always thought to have the agreement of all members before we decertified an AFRALO ALS.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: Yes. Aziz, that's not what I meant.

AZIZ HILALI: But you said I could decide it on my own. I could decide to decertify an ALS on my own.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: No, you could suggest that we do so. That's what I said and I advised you don't do this for now because –

AZIZ HILALI: Yes, of course. I would never do it without asking for everyone's opinion.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: Of course. You've always consulted with our fellow members. I know you are absolutely collegiate in the way you proceed. Aziz, we've been working together for a long time now. That's not what I meant. What I said is that AFRALO says you could propose that an ALS be decertified because it has been absent for a while. So you could propose it. We'd discuss that at the following fellow meeting and then together we'll reach the decision of decertifying those ALSes.

---

What I'm suggesting is that we don't open the discussion for now because for now, we are discussing the process of decertifying an ALS. And I would like us to have a ready-made procedure before we start the procedure for any ALSes. We should have clear measures and clear procedures so that everyone can agree with us.

AZIZ HILALI:

Okay. I got it.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA:

Thank you, Aziz. I understand that you're now clear about what I said. I mean, I didn't mean to say you'll do it on your own. We are now towards the end of the call so I'm going to ask you to please read what I wrote and consider decertification and the different mechanisms.

Silvia, you should write down the following action items, to send an e-mail to every working group member, firstly, to let them know that they are still members of the working group and to ask them whether they wish to still be members of the working group, and then secondly, to review the text performance drivers, to see the performance metrics for them to continue that list and to give us their viewpoints because for the next teleconference, whoever is there, it will be decided to adopt that fix or to change it to reflect everyone's observations.

I will finish with Silvia and then I'm going to give the floor to Seun. Silvia, in this same e-mail, please let them now that the subject we're going to discuss next, that we're going to be working on and that we've already started to discuss is decertification so they can access this wiki page on

---

decertification where there is this initial text that I wrote down. So we expect their contributions, we wish to receive their contributions on the matter of decertification. So next time, we're going to deal with this subject.

Seun, you have the floor. You can go ahead. Seun, I'm sorry. I cannot hear you.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Seun, are you still there? Tijani just gave you the floor.

TERRI AGNEW: I was checking with Adigo to make sure Seun is still connected and unmuted and I'm just waiting for their response.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Okay. Tijani is asking also why you can't see Seun on the Adobe connect.

AZIZ HILALI: Maybe it's he's only on the phone.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: Yes. I was seeing Seun on the Adobe Connect, but I can't see his hand. Okay. Seun, if you're still with us, it's okay.



---

TERRI AGNEW: I just received confirmation from Adigo. His audio has dropped on the telephone and we're redialing out to him now. If you could please wait one moment while we reconnect him.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: Okay. Thank you very much, Terri. We are going to wait for Seun and in the meantime, I'm going to speak about next steps, what we are going to do next.

As I told you the next conference call we'll decide on the performance metrics, we will speak about decertification, and we will discuss about what I've written on the wiki and what the other members are going to write on the wiki about decertification, and I'd like to prepare a final draft. We are not going to speak about that. We will leave it like that so everybody can think about that and next time, we will take, find a decision on that.

Are there any other comments or on any other business? Do you want to add something? Silvia, did you ask for the floor?

SILVIA VIVANCO: Hello, Tijani. I just wanted to [inaudible].

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: I'm sorry. Okay, we are going to send a Doodle for a teleconference after the Helsinki meeting. Are there any other business? Are there some other business to mention here? If it's not the case, Seun is still absent so.

TERRI AGNEW: Seun confirmed he had to leave and won't be able to rejoin.

TIJANI BEN JEEMA: Thank you very much, Terri. We are getting late so I want to thank our interpreters and Terri and Silvia, from the staff who helped us and thank you. Thank you for the five participants of this conference call. I hope next time we will be more participants. Thank you, everybody. Bye-bye.

UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Thank you. Bye-bye.

TERRI AGNEW: Once again, the meeting has been adjourned. Thank you very much for joining. Please remember to disconnect o all remaining lines and have a wonderful rest of your day.

**[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]**