CCT-RT HYPOTHESIS WORKSHEET

HYPOTHESIS:

(ex. The outreach program failed to reach potential applicants in the developing world)

OWNER:

(ex. Carlton Samuels)

HIGH LEVEL QUESTION:

(ex. Was the new gTLD application and evaluation program effective at serving the developing world?)

FINDINGS:

(a list of relevant findings and supporting data)

- 1. Applications from the developing world (define) were disproportionately (define, perhaps ratio of applications to internet users) low.
 - Source: Applicant data, internet usage study
- 2. Potential applicants in the developing world did not know about the new gTLD program.

Source: Applicant cohort survey found that 70% of potential applicants were unaware or vaguely aware of the new gTLD program.

CAUSES:

(refer to relevant hypothesis worksheets on causes)

- 1. Outreach program was begun too late in the process see Outreach too late worksheet
- 2. Outreach program used the wrong media see Outreach media worksheet

PRIORITY TO ADDRESS:

(ex. Prior to Subsequent Procedures, Mid-term, Long-term This is an important area for community input)

RECOMMENDATIONS:

(recommendations to ICANN. For each, specify:

- 1. Target of recommendation (ie Staff, Board, SubProc PDP)
- 2. Nature of recommendation
- 3. Implementation details, exceptional costs, etc.)

(ex:

- 1. Begin Outreach 6 months prior to accepting applications
 - a. Staff recommendation
 - b. Likely 20% increase in outreach cost
- 2. Use more radio advertising for outreach
 - a. Staff recommendation
 - b. Likely 30% increase in outreach cost)

NEXT STEPS

REVIEW:

(how the effectiveness of these recommendations will be reviewed)

- 1. Data source recommended for review
- 2. Recommended timeframe for review)

(ex:

- 1. Repeat applicant cohort survey, look for 40% increase in awareness
- 2. Review in one year to make changes if an increase is not observed.)