

Michelle DeSmyter: Dear All, Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures WG call on Monday, 6 June 2016 at 16:00 UTC.

Steve Coates (Twitter):Apologies, someone "borrowed" my headset, so I'll be without a phone for the first part of the call.

Philip Corwin:Hello all. Regrets but I shall have to exit this call after the first hour.

Jim Prendergast:Same for me

Susan Payne:hi Avri, you are very muffled

Martin Sutton:muffled & echoey

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):audio needs to be better

Paul McGrady:Hi all!

Susan Payne:yes thanks

Martin Sutton:thanks

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):better

Avri Doria:on community comment versus constitunecy comment, we just need to confirm what the PDP guidelines mention and perhaps add a note that tis comment period repsonds to that requirement.

Mary Wong:@Steve, that is correct

Avri Doria:i do support the change, was just being cautious

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Agree

Mary Wong:Thanks, Avri - basically the PDP Manual speaks to "statements from SG/Cs" and "input" from other SO/ACs.

Avri Doria:ok, constirueny comment was the old terminology. thanks.

Susan Payne:@Stave, yes that was what I had in mind thanks

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):I see what you mean Greg

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:Hi

Greg Shatan:We need to avoid jargon and terms of art....
"ongoing mechanism" won't be understood by many who are "in" the process...

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):I agree Greg we do and avoid ambiguities where we cn

Greg Shatan:Especially since there is no such thing as a "mechanism" that is not ongoing in some fashion, based on our jargon.

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:The Process = Mechanism

Susan Payne:I agree with Greg that "ongoing mechanism" might be misunderstood. Greg's suggestion seems a good one - but we could just define it as a term if it is used throughout if easier

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:this is a Metaphor

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Sounds like we need to deine the term

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:the WG, in My opinion is trying to change or bring about a change and define Something that is used in the ICANN WIDE documentation

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:The Foot Note is good

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:as the word Mechanism will remain relevant to the entire

vanda SCARTEZINI:sorry to be so late. without connection till now

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:thats correct

Grace Mutung'u:apologies for joining late. catching up

Greg Shatan:It's not currently ongoing, based on the definition of ongoing as "in progress". I think it's an unfortunate term to use in this context.

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:we should as the WG should only recomend a direction and not getinto an English Literature class

Greg Shatan:Especially since one of the issues is whether the mechanism will be "periodic" or "ongoing."

Greg Shatan:I will die in a ditch on this one.

Greg Shatan:ditch.

Steve Coates (Twitter):I like ditch better.

Greg Shatan:If I'm confused once a week, that is not "ongoing."

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:we shud now do a time based approach on the mailing. With Suggestions. Later and Move on with the rest of the document.

Greg Shatan:If I'm confused continuously, that is ongoing.

Avri Doria:a periodic process is an ongoing process. the wheels on my bike for around with periodicity, but it is ongoing.

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:ongoing'ɒŋgəʊɪŋ/adjectivecontinuing; still in progress."ongoing negotiations"synonyms: in progress, under way, going on, continuing, happening, occurring, taking place, proceeding, being done, being worked on, being performed, current, extant, existing, existent, progressing, advancing, evolving, growing, developing

Greg Shatan:If your bike is stopped, the wheels are not ongoing.

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:Till the new Process starts, its ongoing

Greg Shatan:It's ambiguous and confusing.

Rubens Kuhl:Sometimes we need to sacrifice precise language in favor of commonly understandable language.

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:periodic'pɪərɪ'ɒdɪk/Submitadjective1.appearing or occurring at intervals."the periodic visits she made to her father"synonyms: regular, periodical, at fixed intervals, recurrent, recurring, repeated, cyclical, cyclic, seasonal

vanda SCARTEZINI:+ 1 Kuhl

Steve Coates (Twitter):Not strong on this one either way.

Katrin Ohlmer | DOTZON:+1 Rubens

Kristina Rosette (Amazon):I see Greg's point. I'd like to see the proposed definition that we're chatting about before making a decision one way or the other.

Greg Shatan:Rubens, which one do you consider precise and which do you consider commonly understandable?

Rubens Kuhl:I would change the text to "an at some point ongoing", but that would fit my personal taste for precision.

Paul McGrady:"orderly, timely and predictable" is what was used before

Greg Shatan:Agree let's not beat a dead horse....

Kristina Rosette (Amazon):Yes, it's Monday, but I'd appreciate it if we moved away from the death metaphors.

Martin Sutton:Or remove 'Specification 13' for that category

Alexander Schubert:test

Alexander Schubert:There might be overlaps: E.G. a nonprofit, geo, community

Paul McGrady:Challenge Policy Restricted Registry

Avri Doria:may be a subtype of valaidated

Paul McGrady:Challenge rather than validated.

Greg Shatan:self-validated

Avri Doria:the minor is not related to size

Paul McGrady:Minor/major could change overnight with an XYZ-like sale though.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon):"material" wouldn't be correct here, yes?

Alexander Schubert:the number of registrations is completely irrelevant to the TLD's impact

Greg Shatan:How about "Open TLD with self-validated restrictions"?

Paul McGrady:+1 Alexander

Jeff Neuman:Correct. I am sure the Registry Operators for .biz and .name would not want be happy with being called minor TLDs :)

Steve Coates (Twitter):@paul and @kristina +1 +1

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Agree Greg

Rubens Kuhl:non-legacy would include .biz, .info, .name.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon):Have to drop because of conflicting meeting at top of the hour (and need internal transit time). I'll catch up with the end of the transcript.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):bye

Avri Doria:thanks Kristina

Paul McGrady:Apologies all, but I have to drop off due to a non-profit Board meeting a few blocks away.

Avri Doria:thanks Paul

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):bye paul

Alexander Schubert:maybe to cover the unknown issues there should be an appeals process!

Richard Padilla:Hello all sorry for being late

Steve Coates (Twitter):Welcome, Richard.

Mary Wong:What about "such as"?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):e.g. is ok
VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:The word Rounds is anyways being replaced ?
Alexander Schubert:how do you know 100 applications belong to one entity?
Steve Coates (Twitter):Vaibhav - I think we should be consistent with not using "rounds." Perhaps "Subsequent Procedures."
Avri Doria:Indeed Alexander that is why the questions asks how one could do that.
Alexander Schubert:just create 100 legal entities offshore - done
Avri Doria:depdms on how the limit is written and subsequent evidence that such was done could cause issues.
Greg Shatan:Look at the subject heading....
VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:@Steve u r Correct
VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:@Steve I Agree
Avri Doria:as you said earlier Vaibhav, we are writing this for the SOAC/SG/c not for open comment
VAIBHAV AGGARWAL::-)
VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:Yes maam
Alexander Schubert:there should be a bonus for those going public with their string UPFRONT!
Alexander Schubert:You risk a lot by going public years upfront (like .music or .nyc) - that should be honored!
Jeff Neuman:Sorry, not sure what happened to audio
Jeff Neuman:But to clarify, I was not talking about a limit to the number of apps per string, but rather the limit being on the number of strings applied for
Jeff Neuman:(Sorry for ending in a preposition)
Avri Doria:Jeff, (:
Martin Sutton:Could we simply add 'and/or the total number of strings' within each of the questions?
Greg Shatan:Revised heading for subject 6: Application limits during an application "round" -- either total applications or applications per applicant.
Cecilia Smith:Will there be consideration on whether the applicant already has applied/been awared in the previous round?
Alexander Schubert:what if the last round had been limited to 300 strings? how would we have sorted that out?
VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:The Word "Round" is also was agreed to be not used- is it ?
Greg Shatan:Would there be limits if there weren't rounds?
Jeff Neuman:I think g should be moved up to before e
Greg Shatan:I would not compare Avri to a background noise....
Avri Doria:i sometimes feel like background noise.
Greg Shatan:number should be singular in 6.g.

Greg Shatan:That's not why we're calling it aggregate....

Jeff Neuman:can change "entity" to "applicant". But then we should ask whether an "applicant" includes Affiliates

Martin Sutton:An applicant can't be an individual

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):I thought we were shifting "aggregate" to Total

Mary Wong:@Cheryl, yes

Jeff Neuman:An applicant in the 2012 "round" could not be an individual (but in theory we can change that)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):thanks Mary thought I had dropped a stitch ;-)

Martin Sutton:@jeff - true, could be changed

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):at 0312 local time quite possible to have missed a point of change of course ;-)

Rubens Kuhl:@Jeff, @Martin: likely some applications came from jurisdictions where an individual can incorporate just by saying so without any public license, so that would probably level the field if allowed. Something to look at, when time comes in the PDP.

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:@Greg I am not gonna die in a Ditch

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:Please do not be Personal

Steve Coates (Twitter):If one more person dies in a ditch, the crows will feast for days.

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL::-)

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:I am gonna Tweet to you

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL::-)

Greg Shatan:If you have something to say, say it here.

Jeff Neuman:That is why I suggested adding g to be before e

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):that works Jeff

Avri Doria:sorry Jeff, i missed you saying it, apologies for repeating it it ass if i had thought of it.

Jeff Neuman:No problem. Not sure what happened to my audio so I am resigned to using the chat

Avri Doria:ah, though spurious double ssss

Dietmar Lenden - Valideus Ltd:i can hear you

Alexander Schubert 4:all fine

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):why not online?

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland):Should be a pdf/word - yes

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):or optio bot

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):optio both

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):option

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland):As for the GAC, I guess we would be answering with a letter

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):yes Jorge thus options

Steve Coates (Twitter):Probably not.

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:It could be good if we can wrk more on it and

Get it made Objective

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:Narrow Choices in some Sections

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:Not all of course

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:and sections can have a "Remarks"

Mary Wong:It will be a very long online questionnaire due to the length of this document

Greg Shatan:Word doc in addition to PDF please, so we can prepare drafts in the document.

Mary Wong:Consolidating all the responses if some are online and some are Word/PDF could also be more cumbersome.

Jeff Neuman:Like the Guidebook, we can separate into sub fora, one for each section

Greg Shatan:We need a tool that allows answers to be put in out of order, saved and edited again.

Martin Sutton:Could we request that responses are concise and do not include endless attachments/links so that we only use the responses given to the specific questions

Martin Sutton:would help with the review of responses

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:@Jeff Agree

Mary Wong:Agree with Steve; esp as different groups seem to have different preferences for different tools and formats.

Steve Coates (Twitter):Dropping off. Apologies.

Greg Shatan:I would do 45. We have Helsinki to take into account.

Jeff Neuman:As we will likely be working on the tracks, I do not believe giving the extra time would be disruptive of our schedule

Mary Wong:Given the number of questions, staff recommends 45 over 35 days for the reasons Steve noted.

Jeff Neuman:We will discuss Helsinki next week!

Dietmar Lenden - Valideus Ltd:cheers all

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:thanks @Avri @Steve @Jeff

Alexander Schubert:bye to all

Rubens Kuhl:Congrats to the WG!

Rubens Kuhl:Bye!

VAIBHAV AGGARWAL:Bye All

Sara Bockey:than you all

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland):thanks and bye!

Christopher Niemi:Thanks.

Richard Padilla:bye all

Cecilia Smith:thank you

Greg Shatan:Goodbye everybody!

Freida Tallon:Thanks all

Harold Arcos:thanks everyone, take care