

**Reviewing the CEP  
CCWG – Accountability  
Work Stream 2**

**Interviews conducted by Anna Loup and Edward Morris**

**Interview number 9**

Board / Staff     Community Member

**Observations:**

- Believes CEP is just a means of ICANN legal to figure out the other parties case; uses it for discovery
- Does not feel CEP has much of a purpose. Would prefer just starting with an IRP
- One problem of CEP: no real rules. That gives advantage to ICANN legal as they tend to dictate things
- Time frame completely ignored by ICANN legal.
- Lack of recording / transcript makes it difficult to hold anyone to anything. Felt had “understanding”, only to be told misunderstood.
- Need to limit number of reps in room. In the interviewees case two members of ICANN legal plus two staff were present on phone as opposed to the interviewee alone.
- If CEP is to be continued needs: 1. Transparency, 2. Clear rules, particularly regarding discovery, 3. Transcripts must be made and distributed to all involved.