

**Reviewing the CEP  
CCWG – Accountability  
Work Stream 2**

**Interviews conducted by Anna Loup and Edward Morris**

**Interview number 5**

**Board / Staff     Community Member**

**Observations:**

- With the CEP there is “no real there, there”
- Went through one because if you don’t you are on the hook for ICANN legal fees
- Teleconference and in person meetings
- Principle value of CEP was delaying things while other proceedings occurred that had an impact on the dispute this complainant was involved with
- ICANN offered little in the way of compromise or information during proceedings
- CEP could be more useful if there were formal discovery requirements; still better to have the CEP than not have it
- Third party: Yes. Standing panel.
- Overall problem with ICANN; accountability mechanisms have no teeth
- Was never asked for documents by ICANN
- IRP is ridiculously expensive. If done properly CEP can reduce exposure and costs
- Timeline: flexibility encouraged but should have a firm deadline by which complainant should have to request an IRP