

**Reviewing the CEP
CCWG – Accountability
Work Stream 2**

Interviews conducted by Anna Loup and Edward Morris

Interview number 11

Board / Staff Community Member

Observations:

- You do it because you have to: economic incentive
- Not sure ICANN Legal takes it seriously. Thinks they just want to do it for discovery.
- If CEP did not exist the same thing would happen - talks to settle or define dispute...we don't need anything formal to do this.
- If you have CEP it should be done in person not on phone: too artificial
- Should be limits on number of people in room. Always felt outnumbered by ICANN staff.
- Transcripts should be made with confidentiality guarantees required.
- No third parties
- Should allow for merger of different disputes and negotiation ('horse trading') that includes other issues.
- Does not like the idea of third parties. Why have a mediation before a mediation?
- Should have some formal rules of discovery / non discovery
- Should eliminate economic incentives for participation: might work better if claimant was there because he wanted to be there.