

SO/AC Accountability per WS2 Bylaw

review and develop ... to the extent set forth in the CCWG-Accountability Final Report:

(iii) Supporting Organization and Advisory Committee accountability, including but not limited to **improved processes** for

accountability,

transparency, and

participation

that are **helpful to prevent capture**

SO/AC Accountability in CCWG Final Report

Recommendation **12**:

1. Include SO/AC accountability in **ATRT process**
2. Evaluate “**Mutual Accountability Roundtable**” (if viable, recommend implementation actions)
3. Propose **detailed plan on enhancing** SO / AC accountability as part of WS2
4. Assess **whether the IRP would also be applicable** to SO and AC activities

SO/AC Accountability in CCWG Final Report

Recommendation **10**:

In Work Stream 1: Include the review of SO and AC accountability mechanisms in the **independent structural reviews**.

So, new Bylaws require independent reviews to also determine:

(iii) whether [SO/AC] **is accountable to its constituencies, stakeholder groups, organizations and other stakeholders.**

The present question for SO/AC Group

Should we (SO/AC group) seek to increase accountability of each SO/AC to global community beyond its membership?

For example, ccNSO was created with ccTLD managers as its members.

*Should we seek to make ccNSO more **accountable** to Internet users and domain registrants outside the ccNSO?*

Present SO/AC Accountability mechanisms for accountability *beyond* SO/AC members

1. Some SOs include Liaisons from other stakeholder groups
2. Some SO/AC must consider **public comment**
3. Board must approve SO/AC policies and decisions – *in the global public interest*
4. ATRT assesses whether ICANN's decisions are embraced, supported, and accepted **by the public and the Internet community**