

JURISDICTION SUBGROUP ICANN LITIGATION SUMMARY v2

Reviewed by:	
Name of Case:	
Parties: ¹	
Citizenship of Parties:	
Court/Venue:	
Choice of Law provision in contract; if so, which jurisdiction?:	
Law used to determine conflict of laws issues (i.e., which law applies)	
Substantive Law Governing the Dispute:	
Date Case Began:	
Date Case Ended:	
Causes of Action:	
Issues Presented:	
Preliminary Relief?:	
Relief Requested by Plaintiff	
Outcome/Relief Granted:	
Was Jurisdiction Contested? ²	
Relevance to WG mandate	
Impact on ICANN accountability/operations: ³	
Impact if case were decided for the other party?	

¹ Indicate whether each party is Plaintiff (P) or Defendant (D), or other status. Please also list non-party participants, such as Amicus Curiae (AC).

² For example, challenge to venue, challenge to change of venue, challenge to governing law, challenge to application of “choice of law” provision. Please describe the outcome as well as the challenge.

³ Indicate whether the case had or will have an effect on ICANN’s accountability mechanisms or the operation of ICANN’s policies..

Did the Court comment on the merit, lack of merit and/or frivolity of the plaintiff's claim?

Key Documents: