

ISPCP Constituency Comments

- *To:* "comments-draft-new-bylaws-21apr16@xxxxxxxx" <comments-draft-new-bylaws-21apr16@xxxxxxxx>
- *Subject:* ISPCP Constituency Comments
- *From:* <olivier.muron@xxxxxxxx>
- *Date:* Fri, 20 May 2016 16:02:59 +0000

The Internet Service Providers and Connectivity Providers (ISPCP) appreciates the opportunity to comment on the draft new Bylaws.

The ISPCP constituency supports the new bylaws published for public comment on 20 April 2016, with the comments submitted by the ICG and the CCWG-Accountability.

Specifically, the ISPCP constituency is of the opinion that the section 1.1(d) (ii) B to E regarding grandfathering of existing agreements need to be removed and section F needs to be edited to apply only to section 1.1 (d) (ii) (A)."

The ISPCP has one additional comment:

* the process of "appointing board members" is characterized by using different types of words: "select, nominate, fill". According to article

7.2 the title is called "Directors and their selection". The EC designates all directors, the SO/ACs nominate them. Under article 11.3 (f) i+ii the CPH/NCPH select, the GNSO nominates.

The design of the process of "designation" by the EC over time could cause confusion or lead to questions of the related EC "power". It should clearly be indicated that it is not in the remit of the EC to reject the SO/AC nominations of board directors.

The ISPCP has one comment on 11.5 Stakeholder Groups:

ISPCP is referred as = Internet Services Providers Constituency
In our opinion the name of the constituency should be referred as "Internet Services Providers and Connectivity Providers".

Respectfully submitted,
Olivier Muron for the ISPCP Constituency