

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear All, Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures WG call on Monday, 23 May 2016 at 13:00 UTC.

Vanda SCARTEZINI:good day Michelle,

Vanda SCARTEZINI:hola raul, como estas?

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:Buenos dias Vanda. Todo pura vida aqui en Costa Rica. Como va el nuevo Gobierno?

Vanda SCARTEZINI:I am arriving at my office, so may disconnect and reconnect during may way throug elevators...

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:connected trough my cellphone. Thank you

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:Safari is asking me for a new Adobe add-in

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:and I dont trust them....

Carlos Raul Gutierrez:anybody had the same message today?

Michelle DeSmyter:Carlos, go thru this link to check your AC:
https://arcps.adobeconnect.com/common/help/en/support/meeting_test.htm

Jeff Neuman:hello all

Michelle DeSmyter:you will probably have to reconnect your Adobe Connect after doing this

Jeff Neuman:pretty low on attendance so far with 3 minutes to go. I will likely start in 4 minutes

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Hi all Note I AM HERE not an apology after all

Paul McGrady:Same for me. I was not supposed to be to be here, but had a schedule change.

Dietmar Lenden - Valideus Ltd:Thanks Jeff

Jeff Neuman>Hello from Sunny Orlando....where I see a number of people on this call are calling in from....

Carlton Samuels:Morning all

Jeff Neuman:wow

Jeff Neuman:mute please

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):ouch

Carlos Raul:Txs Michelle

Carlton Samuels:I hear "hello"...

Michelle DeSmyter:I will private chat you Carlos

Carlos Raul:I had to install the add-in and it is working in safari ight now

Martin Sutton:Apologies for joining late

Carlton Samuels:All else is quiet on the western front.

Carlton Samuels:OK. Now I hear Michelle

Dietmar Lenden - Valideus Ltd:wow

Dietmar Lenden - Valideus Ltd:thanks for fixing

Berry Cobb:Carlton, can you mute your AC mic please.

Carlton Samuels:IT is Mute!

Avri

Doria:<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Gh8ugZAomD2AGNymmVRgKGP>

[9TA2KQ7BkQbrRAEvsrxs/edit](#)

Dietmar Lenden - Valideus Ltd:yes

Vanda SCARTEZINI:@raul, yes I got it too but just ignored

Martin Sutton:no sound

Alan Greenberg:very hard to hear

Carlos Raul:lost susan

Vanda SCARTEZINI:not clear sound

Vanda SCARTEZINI:not a clear sound and now none

Alan Greenberg:Can someone repeat what she said?

Susan Payne:sorry - hopeless internet connection!

Carlos Raul:BIG trouble today with Adobes new add-in :(

Susan Payne:I will type

Steve Chan:@susan, agree with avri, the letters can be customized to the particular community body

Carlos Raul:Pity Tom Dale is not on the call today to hear his reaction to Susnas suggestion

Susan Payne:I am not sure how much you all heard. I think you got the gist, that could we specifically ask the GAC to identify their WGs and what they are working on to drive early engagment.

Vanda SCARTEZINI:yes Susna, clear now. you right may be needed to add some words to make it more appropriate

Susan Payne:I do wonder whether everyone will understasnd what is meant by "continuing mechanisms"

Carlton Samuels:@Susan: GAC doing have traditional WGs and their decision-making protocol is by comparison, relatively bryzantine.

Julie Hedlund 2:@Avri: I am taking notes also.

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland):Hi - as I'm attending this call (or trying to), perhaps I could help somehow on GAC matters?

Carlton Samuels:@Avri: We have a major definitional challenge with the category "community".

Carlos Raul:ok Avri, will take an English as a second language look....

Vanda SCARTEZINI:Carlos, youRight. some trasnlations made driven to worng interpretation

Vanda SCARTEZINI:sorry so mnay typos

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):yes we will lneed to watch the matters of interpretation carefully and ensure question meaning doand opnness is not altered

Vanda SCARTEZINI:procedures to apply is one problem but procedures to get the approval is much more demanding of modifications

Carlos Raul:get more coffee for Avri

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO)::-)

Carlos Raul::-)

Katrin Ohlmer | DOTZON:+1 Alan

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO)::-

Carlton Samuels:@Alan: +1. That is a killer. What we need is a well-defined protocol to address any question that arise during the process

Carlos Raul:Good point to be carefull about the difference between applicants, users and people who don't care at all.....

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Or don't know but are effected

Jian Chang_KNET:+ 1 to Kristina

Jian Chang_KNET:it's not only for applicants, but for many other stakeholders

Vanda SCARTEZINI:right Carlton. first round for brand for instance I had several question to one applicant I was help here and it was almost impossible to get a clear feedback.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon):Any examples of what might be a "special post PDP policy consideration method"?

Carlton Samuels:I don't like the idea of an issue on which hangs an application is not deemed "resolved" to settle the applicant!

Kristina Rosette (Amazon):@Avri: Thanks. I think the question should also ask "and, if yes, what do you propose"? Read literally the special post PDP policy consideration method question only asks for a "Yes" or "No" answer.

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland):Perhaps it's just me, but I'm not sure about the link between the overall question 5 and some of the subquestions.

Carlton Samuels:A legitimate response might be "we didn't anticipate this one and it appears the policy framework is silent here. But this is our feeling on what must be done." Where there is no policy then make your best decision and close the matter!

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland):What is meant with "community" in this question and what is meant with "processes"?

Jian Chang_KNET:Many applicants for the new round of TLDs may come from the traditionl industries. It will be quite challenging to reach out and engage these people

Amr Elsadr:Agree with Alan. There are existing GNSO mechanisms to make post PDP policy considerations. The question (as I understand it) is asking whether further mechanisms are necessary. For this question to be answered, the respondent would need to understand the GNSO mechanisms, and point out why they are insufficient, and how further mechanisms would add value.

Rudi Vansnick:@Amr + 1

Kristina Rosette (Amazon):+1 to Amr. And, depending on what is proposed, amendments to the bylaws would be necessary.

Jian Chang_KNET:My comment is for the first sub-question about enable "greater community engagement".

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland):As to the last question: wouldn't it be advisable to inform SO/AC/C that there are other phases foreseen where a number of topics are planned to be tackled?

Carlos Raul:shit happens

Jian Chang_KNET:for the open question, should we discuss the application fee? e.g., the upper limit of application fee

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland):not yet? :P

Robert Burlingame (Pillsbury):Should first question under Q6 be clarified to indicate that we are talking about the number of total applications from all applicants?

Steve Chan:@Jorge, this concept is touched on in the introductory section. Perhaps you can take a look and see if it's sufficient?

Carlos Raul:@Alan i thnk they are good to get a feeling of the temperature in the room

Carlos Raul:very valuable by the way

jorge cancio (GAC Switzerland):@Steve: do not underestimate how quickly people read things - perhaps a note referring back to that piece of info could work

Carlos Raul:I agree with Avri that it is a good time to take stake. We are just a very small sample of the community and we better take the time right now if there is only one way forward. or people have 3 different ways forward.

Steve Chan:@Jorge, fair point, and we'll look to adding a reference like you suggest

Carlos Raul:\$1 for paul McGrady for use the R word

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):I suspec5

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):should rad suspect a second coffee is in Avri's nnear future ;-)

Carlos Raul:Dear Staff I dont get the full width of the slides on my screen

Carlos Raul:ok

Carlos Raul:sorry

Carlos Raul:\$1 for Jeff

Steve Chan:You can find the recordings on this page:

<https://www.icann.org/gddsummit>

Steve Chan:The session that Jeff is describing is from day one, Part 1, at around the 2hr 15 minute mark

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Thx Steve

Carlos Raul:Good call. We made \$2 so far. Please staff invoice Jeff and Paul. Don't bill Akram, because Staff can't be charged

Sara Bockey:It was mentioned at GDD, I believe by Jeff, to call it "application window" instead of the "R" word.

Carlos Raul:As co Chair of the subsequent roudns,e charge \$10 he should b

Rubens Kuhl:I would add that only 3 specific back-end providers came out against starting an accreditation process right now.

Carlos Raul:Excellent summary Jeff, thank you

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):no holding anything against you Jeff

Carlton Samuels:Have to run to another call. Bye all

Karen Day:+1 Paul

Avri Doria:I have to drop off right at the end. i again encourage everyone to review and comment on the CC1 doc this week.

Paul McGrady:Yes, but INTA has several members on this call right now, even during their Annual Meeting

Sara Bockey:thank you all

Paul McGrady:Thanks Jeff! Thanks Avri!

Dietmar Lenden - Valideus Ltd:Tthanks all have a great day

Robert Burlingame (Pillsbury):Thank you.

Amr Elsadr:Thanks all. Bye.

Martin Sutton:Thanks, bye

Kristina Rosette (Amazon):By all

Katrin Ohlmer | DOTZON:thank you

Vanda SCARTEZINI:very good meeting

Vlad Dinculescu:thanks all

Susan Payne:thanks all

Jeff Neuman:by the end of this I will owe hundreds of dollars

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):bye for now then

Vanda SCARTEZINI:thank you all

Rudi Vansnick:bye