

CCTRT COMPETITION AND CONSUMER CHOICE SUB TEAM  
MEETING #7 13 APRIL 2016  
CHAT TRANSCRIPT

Pamela Smith: Hello, everyone, and welcome to the call. Please note that this call will be recorded.  
Pamela Smith: Also, if you are not speaking, please remember to mute your phones by pressing \*6.  
Press \*6 again to unmute and participate.

Jonathan Zuck: morning.  
Kaili Kan: Good evening!  
Megan Richards: good afternoon!  
Waudu Siganga: Good evening (my time) everyone  
Calvin Browne: afternoon all  
Dejan Djukic: Hi all!  
Calvin Browne: I'm fine with the agenda  
Pamela Smith: Will do.  
Kaili Kan: can stan be a little loader? Thanks!  
Pamela Smith: May we know whose is the phone number ending in 7176, please?  
Pamela Smith: Also, 5300?  
Pamela Smith: Thank you.  
Greg Rafert - Analysis Group: 5300 is mine.  
Pamela Smith: Great, Greg. Thank you!  
Megan Richards: the substitute assessment is my reason for adding .eu use to the questions posed to EUROPEAN ccTLD users in the Nielsen study -as an example  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez: can we have scroll controll please?  
Pamela Smith: Try it now, Carlos.  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez: txs  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez: it works  
Pamela Smith: Megan, Waudu would yo ulike me to enable mics?  
Calvin Browne: yip  
Waudu Siganga: Yes pls enable I want to ask a qsn  
Pamela Smith: Waudu, I have enabled a mic for you.  
Megan Richards: I think I will stick to the chat thanks as I can't usually be heard well on the microphone on this machine  
Megan Richards: in theory .com is a competitor for any new company gTLD but specialisation etc may warrant new market  
Jonathan Zuck: right place to start. that makes sense  
Carlos Raul Gutierrez: Parked domains, or new addresses for old pages. We have to keep track of these issues, and I think we can get data on that. I trust we can get data on that, even if it is not within the Analysis scope  
Pamela Smith: Yes, Kaili, we hear you.  
Megan Richards: very clear presentation Stan  
Calvin Browne 2: guys such as <http://pandalytics.domainsbot.com/> and <https://www.dataprovider.com/> have figures on redirects and parked pages etc  
Calvin Browne 2: (the two I know of - there may be others)  
Eleeza Agopian: Thanks, Calvin. Is this data available for free or for purchase?  
Calvin Browne 2: fee based

Jonathan Zuck: Of course, I HAVE changed my "number" several times to rebrand or just to get a better gTLD

Eleeza Agopian: great. thanks.

Jonathan Zuck: We changed from competitivetechnology.org to actonline.org

Jonathan Zuck: a friend changed from vertigosoftware.com to vertigo.com

Jonathan Zuck: the confusing part is that the cost is so low, there's no incentive to actually "drop" the old one

Calvin Browne 2: there's a disincentive to drop the old one..... impersonation, old links etc

Jonathan Zuck: exactly but that's not necessarily an indicator of a lack of competition

Megan Richards: but in those cases jonathan there was no change of gTLD per se, both .org and .com were kept. isn't that all we are looking at ? rather than adjustments within the existing gTLD

Calvin Browne 2: of course

Calvin Browne 2: am i the only one who lost sound?

Jonathan Zuck: but I consider myself having switched. it's not like I ever give out the old one

Jonathan Zuck: calvin I can still hear

Jordyn A Buchanan: Me too.

Pamela Smith: Calvin, I still show you as connected

Calvin Browne 2: gonna hang up and try get recalled

Pamela Smith: OK, Calvin.

Megan Richards: right. we don't need every linked detail to have an idea of price impacts

Dejan Djukic: The think is more complicated with that the registrant in most cases see only registrar.

Calvin Browne 2: ok - I can hear now

Dejan Djukic: In some cases, domain name can be cheaper for end user, than in wholesale.

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: Excellent discussion

Calvin Browne: not from my side

Megan Richards: can the mRkets of the similar gTLD s be considered similar ?

Jordyn A Buchanan: Similar in terms of meaning of the word?

Megan Richards: sorry markets. that is are they real competitors for similar markets and therefore price is a factor ? therefore

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: i think the idea of TLD families is brilliant

Carlos Raul Gutierrez: txs

Megan Richards: I put it in the chat

Megan Richards: so lower prices should have more registrants

Jordyn A Buchanan: Megan--that's just a general function of the demand curve, no?

Jonathan Zuck: in theory @Megan but the average price is so low that it doesn't make a substantive difference to the consumer

Megan Richards: yes but doesn't seem to be reflected in the table.

Jordyn A Buchanan: Megan, yes we'd probably want to include that as well.

Megan Richards: reg same prices have quite different registration share and some high prices have high share. still very interesting

Calvin Browne: ciao

Jonathan Zuck: thanks

Karen Mulberry: Thanks

Pamela Smith: Goodbye, everyone. Thanks for joining!

Kaili Kan: thank you. bye!

Jordyn A Buchanan: Bye!

Dejan Djukic: bye!