

Adobe Chat Transcript for Tuesday, 10 May 2016

Terri Agnew:Dear all, welcome to the Framework of Operating Principles Cross Community Working Group meeting on the 10th May 2016

john:sorry, hit enter too soon, Berard

john:say something so I can confirm i am connected

Terri Agnew:Hi John thank you for this, I will update the line name

Jim Galvin:Somebody is not on mute and is typing.

Mary Wong:Avri sent me an email saying she would be late due to another call.

Mary Wong:@Cheryl, sorry about the time - if it helps, it's 2 a.m. here in Singapore!

Mary Wong:CONGRATS BECKY!!!

Becky Burr:apologies, need to figure out how to turn the mic on. thanks everyone.

Terri Agnew:Becky, private AC chat you with directions how to activate

Steve Chan:I can see it as well

Jim Galvin:I do not see in the AdobeConnect but I do have a separate copy open locally.

Alan Greenberg:Not here!

Becky Burr:likewise, I don't see it in Adobe

Terri Agnew:you may need to update your Adobe connect plug in's with this link:

<http://tinyurl.com/icannactest> Ensure all 3 steps pass. On the fourth step, install the add-on. This will run adobe connect outside of the web browser. Adobe connect prefers Firefox browser.

Jim Galvin:I now see it in Adobe.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Happy to reinforce the language.... I think it is a pivotsl point

Bart Boswinkel:This could also be re-inforced by combining this notion with stonger langauge around need for internal rules for a group to be agreed up before the actual work starts

Mary Wong:@Bart, yes, absolutely.

Mary Wong:In that regard, this group will also need to consider the feedback that seems to indicate little support for more formal operational rules n the Framework, but to include any such that are necessary in the Charter for the CCWG in question.

Bart Boswinkel:Yes, and their is a difernce between the CCWG and CWG

Terri Agnew>Welcome Avri

Avri Doria:thanks Terri, sorry i am late.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Perfect Mary Some can be To be used unless otherwise in the Charter etc.,

Becky Burr:I agree John - I don't feel the need to do that

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Agree Alan

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):YES Jim thus our use of Principles nd Guidelines...

Bart Boswinkel:ORiginally when this group started there were two outstanding core principles for a CCWG: one charter explicitly adopted by two or more SO/AC

Bart Boswinkel: and the fumnal product wouldbe approved by the chartering organisations.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Future MAY bring new Interpretations of such Frameworks

Mary Wong:Some of the comments on implementatin are reflected starting at the bottom of Page 10 of he Tabular Summary.

Bart Boswinkel:It could be if chartered by the chartering organisations to do so

Bart Boswinkel:at the time of adoption of the recomendation

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):I AGree with you Avri this is essential

Bart Boswinkel:AS AVRi says it couldbe part of the recommendations to the chartering organisations

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):The work from te Implementation WG was pretty clear on this John

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): AAnd in the current CWG/CCWG we have actively ensured tht we have close input from ley people from the WG's to work with Implementation

Mary Wong:An IRT can (should?) be separate from the CCWG; it's just composed partly of interested CCWG members. So a CCWG can (should?) be closed once its recommendations are completed/adopted.

Mary Wong:Note also the RySG comments that (per Cheryl) the recommendations on implementation from the Policy & Implementation WG may be worth considering.

John Berard:is there a term of art for a WG going into suspended animation?

Mary Wong:AFAIK, no

Alan Greenberg:It may choose to remain in place pending adoption by the Board and implementation.

Mary Wong:Not policy, at least, not policy that is within the remit of a SO :)

Bart Boswinkel:That assumes the chair and co-chair remain active

Bart Boswinkel:as soon as a wg closes they are in-active

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Fair point Bart... but then we need to replace them with competent alternatives

Alan Greenberg:I have to drop off now. Good meeting.

Bart Boswinkel:Going back to Jim's point and the drift of John's argument

Mary Wong:Thanks Alan

Mary Wong:Several commenters seemed to think we need more clarity..

Mary Wong:It looks like there will be a Helsinki session.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):Thanks everyone great progress ... good call ... Talk soon, catch up soon to plan for Helsinki. docs soon after some of you gather in Amsterdam please...

Jim Galvin:When will we meet again?

Jim Galvin:@mary thanks!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):YES do meeting in 14 days

Bart Boswinkel:9 pm

Mary Wong:It's 2 a.m. in Singapore and 4 a.m. in Sydney

Bart Boswinkel:that is fine

Mary Wong:For 1800 UTC

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):0400 is fine for me

Bart Boswinkel:21.UTC

Mary Wong:OK

Bart Boswinkel: is fine as well

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):HAPPY with 0400

Jim Galvin:I'm in no position to complain. Take it to the mailing list. :-)

Bart Boswinkel:Bye all

David Tait:Thanks all

Steve Chan:thanks all

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):bye for now then Thanks John Becky et.al.