

The PDP WG and CCT-RT leaders reviewed the PDP topics mapping document the CCT-RT put together to identify areas of overlap – see [here](#).

Work area 1 - On predictability, Jonathan Zuck reported a lack of interest in the process with the exception of PICs, specifically: whether or not the the GAC engaged in process in most effective way possible and whether it should have engaged sooner. The notion of accessibility to global South is a recurring theme from the CCT. The CCT-RT Chair indicated that the Team might want to participate in the PDP survey on [the application process](#) but noted that it may want to do something separate for those who dropped out. Application & evaluation process was identified as the greatest area of overlap. The notion of community engagement is not addressed by the three Subteams. There is low interest in TLD differentiation – it should be considered as an area of less risk of conflict. It was clarified that standard vs community application did not spark a lot of interest but might reveal itself elsewhere i.e. in secondary category. The PDP WG identified differentiation as an overarching issue it will need to address. Jonathan Zuck observed that brands and application limits are not areas they will be looking into with a note that brands could be covered in the next review. On process outreach issues, the CCT-RT leadership confirmed that there is low interest with caveat of notion of accessibility. As the PDP WG begins to discuss accreditation program, the CCT-RT would be interested in hearing focus group results from accessibility angle. There is a CCT-RT interest in discussing accessibility of program to developing world. CCT-RT liaison – Carlos Raul Gutierrez – noted that the review should remain first level as it is difficult to find statistical movements from data. Both groups confirmed that the PDP WG will take lead on WS1 with exception of support for applicants from developing countries. The PDP WG will use its liaisons to keep a finger on the pulse of Work Area 1 conversations and may contribute to surveys etc., as appropriate.

Work area 2 – The CCT might look into Registrants’ Protection issues through lens of whether or not they created barrier of entry for the global South. Registry/registrar separation will of interest to the competition team. They will need to coordinate the RPM PDP. There are no big issues around IGOs/INGOs.

Work area 3 – There is more CCT interest in application process. It may come down to trust process i.e. how process went. Inconsistent results on string confusion was something that was high interest in our group. The PDP WG will need to give more consideration to this as it relates to procedures.

Work area 4 – There is interest in universal acceptance as subsidiary topic: did lack of universal acceptance have an impact on whether or not there was an increase in consumer choice.

Work area 5 – No high interest area as it is more procedural and so outside of the CCT-RT Charter.

Process

The PDP WG seeks a schedule from the CCT-RT. The CCT-RT is currently working on prioritizing its data requests but will provide a schedule in due course. The PDP WG considers the schedule dependency as crucial. CoChairs noted that the PDP WG shouldn’t start on policy-making until the PDP WG does not have a sense of where review is going. It should, however, not gate the PDP WG work. The CCT-RT emphasized that the Review Team is concerned about redundancy. Moreover, there is concern about momentum: it will be harder to change course of process once it has reached a level of maturity. It is important to find areas that minimizes risks along those lines. The PDP WG considers that it would be helpful to integrate the CCT data and results into its work plan. The CCT-RT clarified that its objective is to conduct a review and not set policy. Carlos Raul Gutierrez noted that many topics need to be clarified ant

that there are different ways to split responsibilities.

Conclusion: The PDP WG will discuss internally and welcomes CCT input on timing. Standing calls will be scheduled.