

Updates

The CCT-Chair delivered the following update:

- The CCT-RT is preparing for second face-to-face meeting in DC on 6-7 June. Application and evaluation process discussions will take place on day 2. The CCT-RT will be going through its registrant questionnaire. Emphasis will be given to the developing countries. The CCT-RT will be working towards segmenting the market for competition and consumer choice.
- Progress is being made on work plans.
- Research needs are being identified.

The PDP WG advised that:

- The PDP WG is in the process of publishing its first constituency comment period.
- The PDP-WG will be looking towards addressing some of the public comment issues in Helsinki and gearing up for its next phase on work.
- The PDP WG has not entertained notion of moving first with a segment or group versus another. It has begun a conversation on differentiation i.e. having different types of top-level domain.

Work Plan

- CCT-RT staff has built a schedule that includes dependencies. CCT high interest issues are back loaded towards the end so the PDP WG can factor CCT conclusions into its issues. The PDP WG expects the CCT work to be complete for its second phase of work and will take a second pass on high priority issues to make sure no changes are needed. The work plan was elaborated towards as little conflict as possible and assumes that the CCT-RT will be on schedule with preliminary findings and final recommendations. Any delays might create conflict. Comments heard at the GDD meeting in Amsterdam include the need to move faster and making sure the review stays on target.
- The CCT-RT considers it has an aggressive plan in place given research component but believes it will lead to less amount of slippage. It anticipates it will be closer to 18 months for delivery of the final report. Work plans will be shared with the PDP WG.
- Realistic dependencies will need to be built into work plans.

Research

The CCT-RT is interested in getting data on those who did not apply and why and wishes to coordinate with the PDP WG on question development. The CCT-RT encouraged the PDP WG to review the registrant survey.

Conclusion:

Work plans and research are to be synched up.

PDP-WG and CCT-RT to share comments on respective work plans.