

Adobe Connect chat transcript for Wednesday, 20 April 2016

Terri Agnew:Welcome to the Next-Gen RDS PDP WG Meeting held on Wednesday, 20 April 2016 at 05:00 UTC

Terri Agnew:wiki agenda page: <https://community.icann.org/x/VD6AAw>

Terri Agnew:Member page/SOI: <https://community.icann.org/x/l4xlAw>

Terri Agnew:If you do wish to speak during the call, please either dial into the audio bridge and give the operator the password RDS, OR click on the telephone icon at the top of the AC room to activate your AC mics. Please remember to mute your phone and mics when not talking.

Chuck Gomes:Hi all.

Holly Raiche:Afternoon all

Stephanie Perrin:Evening all!

Richard Padilla:morning all "yawn"

Greg Shatan:Night all...

Michele Neylon:Greg - is that you coming or going?

Terri Agnew:Welcome Lawrence Olawale-Roberts

Fabricio Vayra:+1 Susan P. Good List Docs

Lawrence Olawale-Roberts:Thanks Terri and evening all

Greg Shatan:@Michele, It's 1 am, so the answer is yes.

Michele Neylon:Greg - it's 6am for me - which is the same

Terri Agnew:@Tjabbe, your mic is not active. Please let me know if a dial out is needed

Tjabbe Bos (European Commission):ok, apologies

Tjabbe Bos (European Commission):i have an issue with the mic

Tjabbe Bos (European Commission):it should work now, i think

Lisa Phifer:@Tjabbe is that WP 203 Opinion 3/2003?

Terri Agnew:Maryan has not joined at this time

Tjabbe Bos (European Commission):@Lisa that would be 29WP opinion 03/2013 on purpose limitation

Lisa Phifer:Stephanie's summary of that can be found at <http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-rds-pdp-purpose/attachments/20160419/112e1bd9/summaryart29purposelimitation2013-0001.docx>

Stephanie Perrin:Actually Michele (not to argue or anything) but data protection legislation in Europe has been relatively stable since the implementation of the Directive 95/46 in 1998....until the regulation was approved last week

Michele Neylon:you argue?

Stephanie Perrin:Unusual, I know. Stems from the inability to drink coffee at this hour..

Richard Padilla:Sorry still waking up lol

Sara Bockey:Nothing at this time

Holly Raiche:@ Richard - No sympathy

Stephanie Perrin:Is anyone doing SAC 051??

Lisa Phifer:no SAC 051 wasn't identified by any team

Stephanie Perrin:Sorry, I thought I had. Maybe I just mentioned it....but it is relevant in my view....

Lisa Phifer:for which question/team?

Stephanie Perrin:hang on lisa, checking my notes....

Terri Agnew:@David, your mic is on mute

Holly Raiche:Neither am I

Holly Raiche:(And he is also in a good time zone for this call!)

Terri Agnew:@David, please let me know if a dial out on telephone is needed

Vlad Dinculescu:Did the RAA Spec 4 get mentioned as an important document for the data side? I'm not seeing it in the notes and I definitely think it is important

Vlad Dinculescu:Agreed. Are we looking for a summary of a summary? :)

Jim Galvin (Afilias): I am willing to write a summary of SAC054 if that would be helpful. I am not part of the data or privacy groups but I imagine that's not a problem. :-)

Holly Raiche: @Vlad - I mentioned it as one of the important documents

Lisa Phifer: I think we are looking for how the input impacts the PDP?

Marika Konings: @Vlad - I've just added it to the notes

Stephanie Perrin: Lisa, I think I tagged it as a very useful document to help understand the terminology and logistics of the WHOIS service....especially for new folks trying to understand what we are actually looking at as a data collection/display instrument

Holly Raiche: @Jim - that would be terrific - maybe we can confirm (I steal your summary!)

Lisa Phifer: @Jim 054 is summarized already for the data team

Marika Konings: Do note that the notes reflect initial feedback, it will be up to the sub-teams to come back with their 'definitive' list

Holly Raiche: @Lise - yes, it is a summary but Jim is an author - but Jim is the author and would be sure what I said is spot on

Lisa Phifer: @Jim maybe take a look at the summary and see if you have anything to add? I'll get the link...

Lisa Phifer: <http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-rds-pdp-data/2016-April/000018.html>

Lisa Phifer: In general, it would be really useful for teams to review all summaries to make sure the most relevant bits are reflected

Stephanie Perrin: Thanks Lisa, I am trying to do that but it is a massive task as you know.

Jim Galvin (Afilias): @Lisa - thanks for the pointer. The only thing I would add to the summary is that one thing we tried to do in SSAC is recognize that there will be registries with special requirements. Thus, like EPP, we tried to make the data model extensible. In line with that is the idea that new data elements should look for a category to be part of so they can inherit properties if possible.

Stephanie Perrin: I am pretty familiar with all the Article 29 documents so would volunteer to check them

Lisa Phifer: @Jim I'll add that note to the summary if you wish

Fabricio Vayra: +1 Greg

Susan Prosser: I agree with Greg

Holly Raiche: @Jim - thanks for that

Jim Galvin (Afilias): @Lisa - if it's helpful please do

Stephanie Perrin: Predictably enough, I don't. In understanding "purpose" as a concept, we need to understand it in all its many implications.....technical, legal, commercial, security etc. Otherwise we will continue to each speak separate languages.

Lisa Phifer: There will always be some who cannot make any time - how will we decide? do we need a poll?

Greg Shatan: In conducting any legal analysis, you have to apply the law to the facts. That begins by defining the facts. If you muddle the law and the facts, your ability to conduct a valid analysis is impacted negatively. If we stick to the facts (what data, what purposes), we'll be better off later on. I know it's hard to contain the enthusiasm to start eliminating data fields and purposes by attempting to apply laws that appear to limit those lists; my point is that such enthusiasm needs to be curbed at this point. As Chuck said, we'll get there.

Jim Galvin (Afilias): @Stephanie - Aren't we past the conceptual stage in talking about the purpose of registration data? Aren't the technical, legal, commercial, and security issues more directly related to the implementation of the purpose as opposed to creating a definition of purpose?

Greg Shatan: At this point, we should be identifying purposes, not looking at purpose as a concept.

Greg Shatan: concept.

Stephanie Perrin:Jim, I think some of us are still talking past one another. I also think folks for whom this is a first PDP are not at the same level as others who have been working on the issue for years. In that respect, it is important to get them up to speed, and only fair.

Stephanie Perrin:I think I am talking about identifying purposes....purpose of data elements, purpose of collection, purpose of disclosure, purpose of retention, purpose of re-use by third parties.

Susan Kawaguchi:Thanks all

Sam Lanfranco:bye, if late

Fabricio Vayra:Thanks, Chuck! zzzz

Greg Shatan:I think that's rather different from how "purpose" was used in setting up the purpose team...

Stephanie Perrin:Thanks everyone, especially Lisa!

Holly Raiche:Thanks to all - and it is a GREAT time for a meeting

Susan Prosser:good evening / morning. thanks Chuck

Lisa Phifer:Thanks all

Michele Neylon:thanks all

Greg Shatan:I'm gald it's a GREAT time for someone!

Vlad Dinculescu:Thanks All!

Jim Galvin (Afilias):Thanks and bye all.

Stephanie Perrin:No rpsurprise though Greg, I did bang on about it

Richard Padilla:thanks

Greg Shatan:Indeed. :-)

Iliya Bazlyankov:Thanks and goodbye