

Checklist: Purpose Inputs to be reviewed by gns0-rds-pdp-purpose@icann.org

Input Document	Identified by	Reviewed by / Link to	Status
WHOIS Task Force Final Report (2003)	Issue Report	Coupet	S
WHOIS Task Force Final Report (2007)	Issue Report	Coupet	S
WHOIS Policy Review Team Final Report (2012)	Charter	Rizinski	S
SAC055, WHOIS: Blind Men and an Elephant (September 2012)	Issue Report	Ferdeline Shatan	S
Privacy & Proxy Services Accreditation PDP Final Report (2015) and GNSO Council Recommendations Report to the Board regarding Adoption of PPSAI PDP	Aaron	Aaron	S
GAC Communiqués (also reached via this link) regarding WHOIS (2007-2015), especially GAC Principles Regarding gTLD WHOIS Services (2007)	Charter	Bos	S
Article 29 WP statement on the data protection impact of the ICANN RAA (2013-2014) - https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/namazi-to-kohnstamm-25mar14-en.pdf - https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/kohnstamm-to-jeffrey-08jan14-en.pdf - https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/jeffrey-to-kohnstamm-20sep13--en.pdf https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/kohnstamm-to-crocker-chehade-06jun13-en.pdf	Issue Report	Malancharuvil	A
Article 29 WP comments on the data protection impact of the revision of the ICANN RAA concerning accuracy and data retention of WHOIS (2012) - https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/kohnstamm-to-crocker-atallah-26sep12-en.pdf - https://www.icann.org/en/news/correspondence/chehade-to-kohnstamm-09oct12-en	Issue Report	Malancharuvil Also Ali (p5)	A S
Article 29 WP on ICANN Procedure for Handling WHOIS Conflicts with Privacy Law (2007) - http://gns0.icann.org/en/correspondence/cerf-to-schaar-24oct07.pdf - https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/cerf-to-schaar-15mar07-en.pdf - https://www.icann.org/en/correspondence/schaar-to-cerf-12mar07.pdf	Issue Report	Rizinski	S
Article 29 WP on ICANN's WHOIS Database Policy (2006) - https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/schaar-to-cerf-22jun06-en.pdf - https://www.icann.org/en/correspondence/lawson-to-cerf-22jun06.pdf - https://www.icann.org/en/correspondence/parisse-to-icann-22jun06.pdf - https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/fingleton-to-cerf-20jun06-en.pdf	Issue Report	Malancharuvil	A
Article 29 WP Opinion on the application of the data protection principles to WHOIS directories Article 29 WP 76 Opinion 2/2003	Issue Report	Vayra (p1)	S
Additional Article 29 WP documents that may be of interest to this PDP WG	-	-	-
- Article 29 WP 5 Recommendation 2/97	Perrin	Vayra (p2)	S
- Article 29 WP 33 Opinion 5/2000	Perrin	Vayra (p3)	S

Checklist: Purpose Inputs to be reviewed by gns0-rds-pdp-purpose@icann.org

- Article 29 WP 41 Opinion 4/2001	Perrin	Vayra (p4)	S
- Article 29 WP 56 Working Document 5/2002	Perrin	Vayra (p5)	S
- Article 29 WP 217 Opinion 4/2014	Kimpian	Vayra (p6)	S
- Article 29 WP 203 Opinion 3/2013	Perrin	Perrin	A
Council of Europe Declaration Declaration of the Committee of Ministers on ICANN, human rights and the rule of law (3 June 2015)	Kimpian	Vayra (p7)	S
EDPS Correspondence regarding Registration Data	-	-	-
- Opinion of the European Data Protection Supervisor: Europe's role in shaping the future of Internet Governance (23 June 2014)	Perrin	Allegretti	S
- ICANN's public consultation on 2013 RAA Data Retention Specification Data Elements and - Legitimate Purposes for Collection and Retention (17 April 2014)	Kimpian	Allegretti	S
European Commission Website: Obligations of Data Controllers	Kleiman	Kleiman	A
International Working Group on Data Protection in Telecommunications and Media Documents	-	-	-
- Common Position relating to Reverse Directories (Hong Kong, 15.04.1998)	Perrin	Ali (p4)	S
- Common Position on Privacy and Data Protection aspects of the Registration of Domain Names on the Internet (Crete, 4./5.05.2000)	Perrin	Ali (p3)	S
- Common Position on Privacy and Data Protection aspects of the Publication of Personal Data contained in publicly available documents on the Internet (Crete, 4./5.05.2000)	Perrin	Ali	A
- Common Position on Incorporation of telecommunications-specific principles in multilateral privacy agreements: Ten Commandments to protect Privacy in the Internet World (Berlin, 13/14.09.2000)	Perrin	Ali (p2)	S
- Common Position on data protection aspects in the Draft Convention on cyber-crime of the Council of Europe (Berlin, 13/14.09.2000)	Perrin	Ali (p1)	S
Relevant National Laws that may apply to gTLDs, including	Aaron	Aaron	S
- U.S. Anticybersquatting Consumer Protection Act (ACPA), 15 USC §1125			
EWG Recommendations for a Next-Generation RDS, especially	Charter	Prosser Also Vayra p10	S
- Section 3, Users and Purposes			
- Annex C, Example Use Cases			
- Annex A, Board Questions			
EWG Tutorial Pages 17-20, 37-41and EWG FAQs 9-12, 67	Charter	Prosser Malancharuvil	A
Video FAQ " Is my purpose supported by the RDS? "	Issue Report	Prosser Malancharuvil	A

Checklist: Purpose Inputs to be reviewed by gns0-rds-pdp-purpose@icann.org

Statements/Blogs by Perrin and Samuels	Issue Report	Perrin Samuels	A S
Process Framework for a PDP on Next-Generation RDS, especially Page 9, Row 1	Charter	Mind Map	S
Registrar Accreditation Agreement (2013)	Aaron	Aaron	S
WHOIS Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy and Rules for Uniform Domain Name Dispute Resolution Policy	Aaron	Aaron	S
WHOIS New gTLD URS Policy and Rules for URS Policy	Aaron	Aaron	S
WHOIS Expired Domain Deletion Policy	Aaron	Aaron	S
WHOIS Inter-Registrar Transfer Policy	Aaron	Aaron	S
ICANN WHOIS Portal Knowledge Center Q&A: What is WHOIS data used for?	Aaron	Aaron	S
Privacy & Information Security Law Blog: Article 29 Working Party Clarifies Purpose Limitation Principles	Kleiman	Kleiman	A
U.S. Department of Commerce, National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA)			
- Green Paper: Improvement of Technical Management of Internet Names and Addresses (1998)	Vayra	Vayra (p8)	S
- White Paper: Management of Internet Names and Addresses, Statement of Policy (2012)	Vayra	Vayra (p9)	S

Note: Relevant input documents not already on the starter list may continue to be added by team members.

All additions will be appended to the end of the table unless they clearly fit within an existing category of documents already identified.

“Identified by” indicates who first identified the document as input – in several cases, the same document was suggested more than once.

“Reviewed by” indicates which member of this small team:

- already posted a summary of the document, focusing on portions relevant to Purpose (follow hyperlink for submitted summary), or
- has volunteered to summarize portions of the document relevant to Purpose.

“Status” indicates the summary has been (A)ssigned to the reviewer, (S)ubmitted to the email list, or determined by the team to be (C)omplete.