

Ariel Liang: (6/27/2016 09:17) Good morning to all our participants here in Helsinki and good morning, good afternoon and good evening to all our remote participants please remember to state your names with speaking for transcript purposes We have live interpretation in French and Spanish, so please state your names when speaking in order to identify you on the various language channels as well as for transcript purposes. Please also speak at a reasonable speed in order to allow for accurate interpretation.

Beran Gillen: (09:19) morning all!!

Alberto Soto: (09:21) Good morning from Buenos Aires!!

Leon Sanchez: (09:29) Buenos días Alberto!

Holly Raiche: (09:29) Morning Alberto

Alberto Soto: (09:29) Buen dia León!!

Alberto Soto: (09:29) Morning Holly!!

Daniel K. Nanghaka: (09:31) Good morning

Glenn McKnight: (09:32) A short interview with Leon of yesterday's meeting of the CCWG

Glenn McKnight: (09:36) <https://youtu.be/4-bePrVvFt>

Glenn McKnight: (09:36) Flickr pictures

Glenn McKnight: (09:37)

<https://www.flickr.com/photos/glennmcknight/albums/72157669665064041>

Daniel K. Nanghaka: (09:38) Thanks Glenn for sharing the pictures

Heidi Ullrich: (09:41) ITEMS website: <http://www.items.fr/>

Harold Arcos: (09:42) Buen día Alberto, siempre presente.

Heidi Ullrich: (09:42) At-Large Review 2015- 2019 workspace:

<https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=51417955>

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (09:43) Thanks Heidi

Ariel Liang: (09:43) Emails of the ITEMS Consultants are [nick.thorne@domzac.org](mailto:nick.thorne@domzac.org), [mctimconsulting@gmail.com](mailto:mctimconsulting@gmail.com), [tmackenzie@items.fr](mailto:tmackenzie@items.fr), [rosa@delgado.aero](mailto:rosa@delgado.aero)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (09:43) Thx Ariel

Harold Arcos: (09:43) Thanks @Glenn

Ariel Liang: (09:43) no problem

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (09:44) remember we need to also have these as live links in our meeting docs so they can be utilised by anyone reviewing archive later... Or bods like me wose OS is fussy ;-)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (09:44) wose =Whose

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (09:45) Hello All!

Ariel Liang: (09:46) yes Cheryl. We will include it in the agenda wiki page

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (09:47) \*hugs\*

Ariel Liang: (09:48) :)

Mohamed El Bashir: (09:48) Hello everyone, its always good to see you face to face

Daniel K. Nanghaka: (09:48) I agree that is good to set up regional meetings to brainstorm

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (09:50) yes a good adjunct activity to take advantage of while we are all here

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (09:55) All good Thx Alan

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (10:06) Of course 2 things also count here... We aspire to work most of our outcomes by consensus and that helps dilute these influence "risks" as well as of course what each component part of ICAN may be discussing and debating is often different from vastly different perspectives and that also reduces the "negative" outcomes/ risk

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (10:07) @Sébastien +1.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (10:10) agree Sean but I note it is unhelpful if we were to allow dual

\*CONCURRENT\* Leadership roles... But of course we need to consider the influence potential of more than just our Leadership,

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (10:12) Important point Judith Thanks

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (10:14) Personally I agree with you Leon, as work in each is often vastly different

Jean-Jacques Subrenat: (10:14) To the members of the At-Large Review: I'm sure you're addressing these points in your Review. They are important, to avoid future lack of clarity, with the associated risk of proper representation being questioned.

Daniel K. Nanghaka: (10:15) I agree with you Leon

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (10:16) Much more discussion required on this one

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (10:17) EXACTLY sandra your example shows the distinct advantages of utilising excellently qualified and capable talent... Transparency is the key

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (10:18) ky=Key

Leon Sanchez: (10:18) We need to look at the different approaches on a case by case basis. If positions are not conflicting, then I believe it should be ok to cast multiple votes. On the other hand, if those votes represent conflicting approaches, then I would think the organization casting the votes should be required to decide which side of the bar it wants to stand in

Daniel K. Nanghaka: (10:19) I agree the issue is whether the voting is affected by the membership of the individual or organisation in the respective constituencies

Satish Babu: (10:21) +1 @Leon

Beran Gillen: (10:23) Leon that would be tedious doing a case by case. We are talking about 200 ALSes..... what if many of them choose to participate or vote in other AC/SOs?? do we deal with each case individually.

Beran Gillen: (10:23) that would be close to impossible.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (10:24) So make the issue a non issue

Beran Gillen: (10:24) Perhaps we should make a rule BUT bear in mind what Sandra has pointed out. a hybrid between Sandra's and Tijani's view IMHO would do

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO): (10:25) I am for less proscriptive "controls" and greatest possible transparency as part of the "solution"

Daniel K. Nanghaka: (10:31) I think there is an issue of transparency and conflict of interest

Daniel K. Nanghaka: (10:33) If the Application is done by the same individual for two constituencies then there is a conflict of interest of the Individual submitting, if a different individual submits on behalf of the organisation then there is less conflict of interest

seun ojedeji: (10:34) I will note that people currently do listen to their conscience and avoid double dipping. I know Avri though is member of AtLarge doesn't raise strong opinions on same issues as she does within NCSG and that is vice versa in my case

Ariel Liang: (10:35) Session is now adjourned