Subject: [ccwg-internet-governance] FW: CCWG IG - NOTE OF F2F MEETING AT ICANN 55 (9TH MARCH) From: Nigel Hickson <nigel.hickson@icann.org> Date: 26/03/2016 10:13 To: ccwg <ccwg-internet-governance@icann.org>

## Good morning

With apologies for delay, please see below a note of the F2F Meeting, along with Actions, held at ICANN 55. A call is being planned for next week

Best

Nigel

From: Nigel Hickson <<u>nigel.hickson@icann.org</u>>
Date: Tuesday 22 March 2016 12:46
To: "<u>ccwg-internet-governance-bounces@icann.org</u>" <<u>ccwg-internet-governance-bounces@icann.org</u>>
Cc: GE Maillist <<u>ge@icann.org</u>>
Subject: CCWG IG - NOTE OF F2F MEETING AT ICANN 55 (9TH MARCH)

#### Good afternoon

I attach, on behalf of the CCWG, a note on the F2f Meeting, along with Actions, held at ICANN 55. A call is being planned for next week.

Best

Nigel

# CCWG Internet Governance Face to Face working group session in Marrakech; 9th March

### Summary

This was a positive and constructive session with some excellent contributions on planning for ICANN CCWG Accountability WSIS Session in May and a good dialogue on the role of this WG in ICANN and in the wider IG Ecosystem. Specific actions were agreed to improve effectiveness of CCWG and participation in Calls.

### Detail

### 1. Introductions

The <u>Chair</u> (Olivier Crepin- Leblond) did a roll call of those present (around 40 in total) and sought approval for the agenda which was forthcoming. (See link to session at <u>https://meetings.icann.org/en/marrakech55/schedule</u> <u>/wed-ccwg-ig</u>)

2. WSIS 2016 Forum – Planning for CCWG IG Session / Workshop

https://www.itu.int/net4/wsis/forum/2016/

Olivier noted the origins of the annual WSIS Forum, what the CCWG had presented last year (a Workshop on the

CCWG Accountability process) ; and on our plans for this year (May 2-6 in Geneva).

<u>Olivier</u> noted the Session planned (and accepted ) for 2016 on the outcomes of the CCWG Accountability – he noted he had reached out to CCWG Chairs who were supportive of this Workshop. He wanted input from Group on what we should discuss in more detail.

<u>Marilyn Cade</u> went over what the Forum was all about and why it was important; she hoped Goran Marby (the appointed CEO) would attend;

<u>Tarek Kame</u> noted that Goran would not be in post at time of Forum (he assumes his role on 23 May); he also noted that there might be some sensitivity in discussing "details" of the Transition process given that we were on UN premises.

<u>Nigel Hickson</u> noted the current status of the application to hold this workshop (which had been accepted) and that staff had also had applied for a second workshop (for GDD) on the proposed "new round of gTLDs".

From discussion that took place:

- Would be ideal opportunity to champion the multi-stakeholder process and how the overall CCWG Accountability final Proposal was put together;
  - Might also include a helicopter view of the overall Recommendations as this would very likely be of great interest to participants;
- Should (if at all possible) have contributions from the co-chairs of the CCWG Accountability and a representative from the CWG Group;
- The need to consider the audience; not that familiar with ICANN so need an introduction to how "IANA" fits in with ICANN role;
- Marilyn noted some concern about proposal for a workshop on the next gTLD process do not want to create any misunderstanding in public that there *will* be a second round; this was accepted;
- Tarek noted session (on new gTLD round) would involve Community; GDD would ensure appropriate players included;
- Nigel noted we had an ICANN Booth at the Forum at which materials could be displayed;

#### **Action Items**

- 1. Staff to work with CCWG IG regarding volunteers attending to the ICANN Booth
- 2. CCWG IG Co-chairs to ask the CCWG IG mailing list who will attend WSIS Forum

3. CCWG IG Co-Chairs to formally get in touch with CWG Stewardship and CCWG Accountability co-chairs on whether they would be available to attend WSIS Forum, with the understanding that it would be obviously better for Europe-based co-chairs to attend.

4. Staff to check whether there might be any financial support available (if required) for the Co-Chairs to attend the session at WSIS. Since co-chairs are based in UK, France, Germany, a day trip might be possible. Also understood is that

only 1 co-chair of CWG and 1 co-chair of CCWG would be needed.

5. Staff to check with co-chairs of CWG Stewardship & CCWG Accountability and relevant Legal Staff, whether it is possible (or appropriate) to discuss the detailed contents of the proposals at ITU (during USG analysis)

3. Purpose of WG and suggestions for future

Olivier gave a high level review on the CCWG IG noting some key facts; namely

1. The CCWG has had 53 calls since December 2013.

2. We have had a F2F meeting at every ICANN meeting since ICANN49 in

March 2014. So 6 in total;

3. The conference calls started in December 2013 and were weekly until Netmundial (June 2014) then they only had 2 more calls in 2014 (September and December one call each). 2015 started with weekly calls up to the February ICANN meeting in Singapore, then changed to every two or three weeks (shorter as ICANN meetings got closer). We finished 2015 by having weekly calls as we have had mostly in 2016;

4. On average there are 10 - 15 persons on a typical Call

- 5. A Paper from CCWG was produced and put forward for NETMundial in 2014;
- 6. CCWG has received and commented on staff Papers / submissions on WSIS Review; CSTD; OECD Ministerial and IGF.

<u>Marilyn</u> – welcomed these facts and asked that they be worked up in to a "fact sheet" that should also mention the IG Public and F2F Sessions at ICANN meetings and the "Town Hall" meeting in Buenos Aires meeting.

In discussion

- Marilyn noted the ICANN CCWG on CCWG's is looking at process issues of each CCWG; there is Call for Comments on this.
- Consideration should be given to providing comments to this Consultation (especially as assumption of a CCWG is that life is time bound).

https://www.icann.org/public-comments/ccwg-framework-principles-draft-2016-02-22-en

- Was discussed that would be good for WG members to review this public comment as soon as possible so as to be able to discuss it in a future CCWG IG call
- Tarek noted the particular nature of this IG Group; as is responding to issues that are not time bound; this would suggest that an "end" is probably not appropriate;
- Marilyn volunteered to convene a small group to coordinate input here (to Call)
- $^{\circ}~$  Was noted some form of discussion with COs would also be needed;

Olivier - then turned to way we could improve Calls and how CCWG works

- Was noted the IANA Transition process had taken a lot of resources from Community;
- Thought more information could be provided to Group on what was going on though recognised the (existing) Timeline;
- Was thought we could have <u>separate informational and consultation calls</u>
- Idea was put forward of a sub-committee to discuss particular contributions to events (such as WSIS+10 review)
- Noted the Timeline was on the WIKI and will be updated not least reflecting Marilyn Cade timeline;
- Concern that Calls were too operational and not substantial enough; there needed to be some background papers on where issues impact ICANN;
- The GGE work was raised and how this could effect ICANN (also referenced OSCE work) such as protecting DNS;
- Update from GNSO Council perhaps on monthly basis (will ask liaison to CCWG); also ALAC Chair said this would be appropriate as perhaps would be for cc NSO;

### **Action Items**

1. Staff to submit details of CCWG Consultation and to be discussed on next Call

Staff to indicate link to updated IG "time line"; Staff to check on whether ICANN-designed timeline could be formatted in such a way that it easily fits on an A4 page. At the moment, it is linearly horizontal and does not fit
 Staff to check carefully with Marilyn on whether she was okay with having her Timeline published on the WIKI
 Staff to check on whether ICANN-designed timeline could be formatted in such a way that it easily fits on an A4 page. At the moment, it is linearly horizontal and does not fit

5. Staff to ensure that having regular updates from likes of gNSO; ALAC and ccNSO to be discussed on next CCWG IG Call

Nigel Hickson 22/3 ccwg-internet-governance mailing list

<u>ccwg-internet-governance@icann.org</u> https://mm.icann.org/mailman/listinfo/ccwg-internet-governance