

CCT-RT MEETING #6

CHAT TRANSCRIPT

Pamela Smith:Welcome, everyone! We will start promptly at 13:00. Thanks for coming!

Alice Jansen 2:As a reminder, this call will be recorded.

Gaongalelwe:hello!!

Fabro Steibel:Hi all, I have limited internet, so no audio, but I will do my best to follow up the conversation from here.

Alice Jansen 2:Welcome all - thanks for joining!

Gaongalelwe:hello Pam!

Megan Richards, European Commision:hello all from Brussels

Pamela Smith:Hello all. We will begin in just a couple of minutes.

Gaongalelwe:hello all, i also have the same challenge as Fabro. no audio

Megan Richards, European Commision:thanks Eleeza

Megan Richards, European Commision:I believe 16 May is a holiday in europe might be useful to double check

Laureen:Drew, please look at revised definition of consumer.

Alice Jansen 2:Please remember to mute your line if not speaking

Jordyn A Buchanan:I can try to help facilitate conversations with the registries and registrars on this topic.

David Taylor:Hello all, joined a few minutes late, apologies

Megan Richards, European Commission:I lost connection but am back now

Laureen:For definition of consumer, the following better reflects our last discussion: Consumer : generally refers to a natural person, acting primarily for personal, family or household purposes and may, depending on the context, include businesses and government agencies as well. For the purposes of this review, consumers generally fall into two categories: (i) Internet Users and other market participants who make use of domains through DNS resolution, such as by navigating to a URL or sending an e-mail; and (ii) Registrants (and potential registrants).

Megan Richards, European Commission:work on pic review shouldn't be stopped or delayed because it's of interest/concern to more than one group

Jordyn A Buchanan:The sentence "Actual Internet users and registrants, and potential registrants" seems out of place and redundant.

Jordyn A Buchanan:Other than that, it looks good.

David Taylor:quality should be qualify

Jordyn A Buchanan:I'm okay with Laureen's definition as well (even if it seems overly wordy). :-)

Carlos Raul:sorry

Carlos Raul:trouble with my connection. good morning

David Taylor:I agree and like Lauren's wording also

Megan Richards, European Commission:also like revised defn

Carlos Raul:I have no audio :(

David Taylor:Dont forget the mysterious (iii).....

Pamela Smith:Thank you for the notes. I will update and circulate.

Pamela Smith:Thank you, David!

Jordyn A Buchanan:I'm good with the doc

Gaongalelwe:i am happy with the TOR

Jonathan Zuck:that makes sense. let's make it separate where we keep the dates

Carlos Raul:<Question> where are we in terms of using a tool for scheduling?

Lauren:I like Jordan's idea about "living document "for the schedule.

Dejan Djukic:Agree with that.

Carlos Raul:I like it too, particularly if it is "live" and not a word document

Carlos Raul:yes

Stanley Besen:Please circulate the sub-team schedules.

Pamela Smith:We will be circulating the schedule after this call, Stan.

Carlos Raul:ok

Carlos Raul:txs

Carlos Raul:I thought slack had one

Carlos Raul:yes thank you

Jordyn A Buchanan:Other than that, looks good!

Carlos Raul:<Question> are we going to meet during, after or before ICANN 56 in Helsinki?

Alice Jansen 2:@ Carlos, no - we are planning to schedule a meeting in mid-May or early June. I will be sending a note to the list after this call.

Carlos Raul:TxS Alice

Carlos Raul:Thank you

Pamela Smith:We're with you David!

Jordyn A Buchanan:Did we lose Jonathan?

Megan Richards, European Commission:can hear you David

Pamela Smith:No, Jonathan is still here

Gaongalelwe:we can hear you Carlos.

Eleeza Agopian:That's right -- they discussed the first 2 work streams.

Carlos Raul:3 more workstreams to go

Carlos Raul:Ok, I agree with Jonathan

Megan Richards, European Commission:yes

Carlos Raul:yes i have scroll control

Alice Jansen 2:yes, you all have scroll control

Alice Jansen 2:All, I have just checked - May 16 is a holiday for some. As a result, we will focus on early June and DC. I will circulate the doodle poll right after this.

Alice Jansen 2:many thanks to Megan for flagging the conflict

Megan Richards, European Commission:we shouldn't abandon these issues but like the risk assessment idea

Carlos Raul:I think that the # of conflicting and unresolved applications are an interesting data point

Jordyn A Buchanan:Sounds good to me.

Carlos Raul:sorry old hand

Megan Richards, European Commission:I raised my hand but didn't work. never mind

Megan Richards, European Commission:sorry I have to abandon you for a short time. will stay on line but not following directly. Brussels problems

Stanley Besen:We might to identify specific projects that we will undertake.

Pamela Smith>Welcome to the call, Kaili.

Alice Jansen 2:Please mute your line if not speaking

Kaili Kan:Hi, sorry to be late for a while. Just got back.

Jordyn A Buchanan:As I mentioned earlier, I don't think Crocker's note is helpful in differentiating between our review and the Subsequent Procedures PDP because it basically says "these two groups are already looking at this stuff" but doesn't suggest a breakdown between the two.

Carlos Raul:@Jordyn, i think Crocker not is important on the issue of GAC advice!

Jordyn A Buchanan:Circulate it on Slack?

Jordyn A Buchanan::-)

Carlos Raul:haven't gotten an invite....

Carlos Raul:.)

Carlos Raul:ok

Gaongalelwe:haven't got an invite fearless leader!

Carlos Raul:I'm ok

Carlos Raul:thanks

Drew Bagley:yep

Megan Richards, European Commission:can someone send us slack instructions once it's working ?

Carlos Raul:have a nice Easter weekend

Megan Richards, European Commission:ok. thx

Pamela Smith:This chart will be distributed when we finish the meeting.

Pamela Smith:Thanks, everyone, for coming.

Alice Jansen 2:Thanks for joining!