ICANN Transcription
GNSO Next-Gen RDS PDP Working Group
Tuesday, 22 March 2016 at 16:00 UTC

Note: The following is the output of transcribing from an audio recording. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases it is incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages or transcription errors. It is posted as an aid to understanding the proceedings at the meeting, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.

Mp3: http://audio.icann.org/gnso/gnso-nextgen-rds-22mar16-en.mp3

The audio is available on page: http://gnso.icann.org/en/group-activities/calendar#mar

Terri Agnew: Thank you. Good morning, good afternoon and good evening. Welcome to the GNSO Next Generation Registration Directory Services RDS Power to Development Process Working Group call on the 22 March 2015.

In the interest of time today there will be no rollcall as we have quite a few participants. Attendance will be taken by the Adobe Connect room. So if you are only on the audio bridge could you please let yourself be known now?

Ayden Férdeline: Hi. This Ayden Férdeline, I'm only on the audio bridge.

Terri Agnew: Thank you Ayden. Hearing no more names I would like to remind all participants to please state your name before speaking for transcription purposes and to please keep your phones and microphones on mute when not speaking to avoid any background noise. With that I'll hand the call back over to Chuck. Please begin.

Chuck Gomes: Thanks (Terri) and thanks everyone for joining us today. We've already done the rollcall so the only other administrative item to take care of is if anyone has an update to their statement of interest and if you do please raise your hand or in the case of Ayden speak up and we will let you identify that. Greg, go ahead.

Greg Shatan: Hi. This is Greg Shatan. Just updating my SOI for a change of employer, I'm now with the law firm of McCarter & English still here in New York City. Thanks.

Chuck Gomes: Thanks Greg. And as Greg already knows I know he will update that on his actual SOA on the GNSO site as all of us would (unintelligible). So thanks Greg.

Greg Shatan: It's already been done.

Chuck Gomes: Anyone else? Okay very good. I want to start off before jumping into the agenda by thanking all of you who have been involved in some very vigorous discussion. That is really helpful for our work in having that so my compliments.

Now you'll notice I haven't responded to most of them because I would just as soon let the group members discuss among themselves. So I will if I think it's appropriate sometime but I really encourage the discussion.

And we'll as we move forward we'll of course try to direct the discussion in specific directions and so forth especially after we get into deliberating on the specific questions that we need to do.

I'd like to briefly go over the agenda and see if anybody has any comments or suggestions on the agenda before we start.

The first thing we want to do is hopefully get an update on our membership small team that was looking for any gaps in expertise or representation. And then we want to go over a proposal that the leadership team has come up with that we think could be beneficial in helping us finalize our work plan. Again I want to express thanks for everybody who has commented on the work plan and we are going to finalize that.

Please remember if you're not on - not speaking to put your phones on mute so that it doesn't interfere with the rest of the conversation going on.

After we discuss that proposal and part of that will probably be – we'll be seeking some volunteers and we'll get to that on Item 3. And then we want to go over the latest mind map that involves all not only the main questions that are in our charter for the first five items but also some sub questions that relate to that.

And then we'll go ahead and wrap it up with any other business that we might have, so any questions or comments on the agenda?

Okay then let's take off with Item Number 2. Now is anybody on the membership team able to give us an update in terms of the progress that's been made by the working group?

(Susan):   Chuck this is (Susan). I did reach out to the membership group. I was not sure if (Stephanie) or Holly was on the line.

Holly Raiche: Yes I am.

(Susan):   Okay. So would you like to just give an update Holly?

Holly Raiche: Happy to. This is Holly Raiche for the record. We decided we looked at the list we looked at the list of qualifications and decided that for the purposes of this group at this stage we don't need any more expertise.

Now obviously we reserve the right to at some future stage reach out if we find we need it but we didn't think we needed it at this stage so we're not pursuing that now.

Chuck Gomes: This is Chuck. Thank you very much Holly and the group of five of you that did this. I think most of us when we looked at the survey results kind of were guessing that might be the conclusion.

And as Holly pointed out we can always add expertise or representatives as we identify the need going forward. So thank you very much for the five of you that volunteered to take the lead on this that's very much appreciated.

Does anyone in the group have any questions or Holly or anyone on the - or the group itself in terms of their work?

Okay well thanks again to all five of you for doing that. That was much appreciated. And for those that don't know that is a task that working groups are supposed to do at the beginning of a working group. And so we've completed that task and we'll - so that group will not be - need to meet again.

If we need them again we may call on them as - because this group this working group will probably take some time we may find that periodically it might be good to take a look to see if there are any gaps. And certainly if any of you identify a gap going forward bring it up for the group. And if we need to do some outreach we will do that. Thank you very much.

Going on than to Item 3 on the agenda which is the proposal that the leaders of the group the six of us would like to put forward and hopefully implement get initiated today. And rather than me trying to just explain it I think as (Steph)'s putting up now a description of it which we'll send around so everybody can have a copy after the meeting.

But here is the - on the Adobe screen now the proposal that we're going to put forward and the again we – it' very helpful all of the input that have happened on the work plan and the tasks that we have in front of us.

And so we – we're proposing the following and I will read it verbatim the first paragraph was mainly just an intro paragraph of why we're doing this and I'll let you read that for yourself.

But the second paragraph I want to call attention to because what we're proposing is to add a new task to the work plan. And we realize the work plan is not finished yet but we're proposing adding this particular task which would be to draw upon the expertise of the working group of those members who are familiar with three particular topics that we've identified and that have come up extensively in the discussion about the work plan on the list.

The first one is purpose. The second one is data. And the third one is privacy including data protection. And so what we're proposing is that we form three smaller teams that will focus on each of these topics over the next couple of weeks and come back to the working group with some information.

Now as you can see (Susan) will coordinate the purpose team, Michele has agreed to coordinate the data team and (David) is agreed to coordinate the privacy team the data protection team.

So they each have volunteered to do that. And there will be staff support. One of the staff people will support each of those teams as well. And of course if I can be of help to any of the teams I'll be happy to do that too.

The - what the deliverable is, is in the next paragraph there. You can see each concise – they're supposed to produce a concise summary of their particular topics in other words - and developing that summary they will – they're asked to collect, consolidate, concisely summarize and then present to the full working group in a couple of weeks the information that they have.

Now we're not asking the teams to start deliberation on these topics that needs to be done by the full working group. And want to make sure that's clear up front.

But because of the differing viewpoints and so forth and the issues that a lot of you have raised over the last few weeks as we're talking about a work plan we thought it might be very helpful to go through this exercise and give especially those that have particular expertise or interest in these three areas to come back with some information that'll hopefully help all of us as we finalize the work plan.

The - so what we'd like in two weeks that - our meeting two weeks from today which is 5 April for the groups to come back with their summary not a real lengthy summary but having the highlights of the information that they gather the resources they gathered and provide that information to the working group in our meeting on 5 April.

And the working group would then have an opportunity to ask questions of each of the teams and have a discussion on the information that's provided.

This is again just a preparatory step in finalizing our work plan. It is not trying to answer the questions that we'll eventually have to go through in detail and talk the pros and cons, et cetera.

So those of you that are anxious to jump right into that hold on a little bit we'll get there. And we'll have some vigorous discussions on that. But hopefully they - the summary will help the working group finalize the sequence of the way we're going to handle the questions from our charter especially the first five.

The – there's some links provided if you scroll down you'll see some links provided with information that you can use. You're not restricted to those to

that information. To the extent that any of you have new information that you think is pertinent we would like you to bring that forward as well.

And next week in our call next week we'd appreciate it if there's a status update in terms of the progress of the team. Not necessarily reporting on the summary you're coming up with but just how the groups proceeding if there are any issues that you need help with or anything like that we'd like to hear that in our call next week.

So let me stop there and first ask the leadership team if I left anything pertinent out if they want to add anything. And then I'll open it up to the full working group to see if you have any questions. Thanks (Andrew) for pointing out I - if the fact that they IETF meeting is going on, on 5 April certainly if it causes any significant problems we of course can adjust. So - but for now let's keep the target then. If any particular team that is a big factor for we'll deal with it at the time. We don't want to take too long to finalize our work plan we really want to get in to the actual deliberation.

Okay I don't see any questions there. And we will of course be - we won't necessarily be able to finalize the work plan on the fifth in fact it's probably unlikely that we would. So a lot of the discussion would continue into at least the week after that. So that may deal with those who may not be able to attend on that day and of course there will be a recording of available.

Okay so the next big step then well let me back up a step and say that the thinking the initial thinking is, is that these teams should be able to do their work via email list. And a list will be created for each of the teams.

That should be up and running in no more than two days hopefully sooner according to the information I received from staff. And that way it'll make it real easy for the small teams to interact with one another and it won't add to the high volume that's already on the main list for now. And then we'll all get the summary in two weeks or so.

So hopefully that will work. If for some reason you need more than just an email list to do your work certainly communicate that but it seems like what we're asking here could probably be done on an email list pretty efficiently and then we don't have - then you don't have to worry about finding common times to meet and scheduling phone calls, et cetera.

So the next thing and we as leaders didn't talk about exactly how to do this so I'm just - it's just me leading this now. I see a question from Holly there. Let me address that. She as you can see she's assuming that the summaries will include the issues that have been raised on the list.

Sure. Anything that will be helpful in consolidating the information and resources that are available for each topic in a way that makes it easy for the full working group to absorb and then for all of us to apply towards making some final decisions in terms of the order of how we'll handle the questions understanding of course that some of them will kind of - we will iteratively go through them maybe going back and forth.

So yes Holly that's good. And I appreciate that question. So now we're going to look for volunteers. So looking at the three topics purpose, data, and privacy and data protection first of all are there any questions about any of those topics above what Holly asked there?

And note what (Mary) adds while you're thinking. It's going to be up to each small team what inputs to include in their summaries. Again emphasizing though we don't want you to start getting into the actual deliberation on the questions yet okay? We'll do that as a whole working group as our charter outlines.

Okay. So what may be the - let's start off with the purpose team assuming that all of you have - and it's not precluded that you - about participating in more than one team. It probably is most efficient if you can pick one that

you're most interested in but we're not going to rule out participating in more team provided you can keep up with the team because we're going to be doing this in a couple of weeks so - and would like some progress between now and our meeting next week.

So let's start with the purpose team. And (Carlton) I see your suggestion. The - I think we're going to leave it the way it is in terms of three separate teams. I – my guess is especially considering the discussion -- on the list and you've seen it just like I have -- that there's lots of ways lots of different ways we could break this up. And certainly data and purpose really relate very closely but for now we're going to keep those separate and see if that'll work.

Now if the two teams decide they need to join forces we could always do that. And Michele is right and like I just said they really do all tend to overlap.

And (Kathy) asks a good question there and about what do we mean for data? Michele, do you want to respond to that? Thanks for raising your hand. You're up.

Michele Neylon: Thanks Chuck, Michele for the record. Just responding to comments from both (Kathy) and (Carlton), first off yes of course all three overlap I mean the very nature of what we're dealing with there's going to be overlap there's no way to avoid that.

I think what we were trying to do is just to kind of tease out specific aspects of these questions rather than trying to, you know, keep them all bundled together which gets quite confusing. So, you know, the – because I was also reading the chat at the same time.

So for example in the case of data what we're looking at there really is which data elements one collects or one should collect or one could collect or whatever, you know, literally data elements.

Purpose well purpose is purpose, you know, why do you need to collect anything? What are you going to do with it? Why do you use it? What people use the data for after it's been collected and then the privacy data protection I thought that's fairly self-explanatory?

There's probably going to be some people who have the bandwidth who want to be involved in more than one group great, you're welcome wonderful. But I think some of us are very conscious of the fact that there's no way we can be involved in all of the things. I don't know if that helps. And (David) you're up.

(David):   Great. So let me tell you we're very aware that these areas overlap. And I think partly this is an attempt to kind of deal with part of that overlap by making sure that - none of these groups are supposed to do any sort of active consideration needs to be making any determinations about - yes and all the actual real determination and looking at these areas properly you've got to go into the main group that it's about gathering resources and source of information.

In a way this is the (unintelligible) very aware that these areas all overlap and saying well what are the sort of basic - what are some basic sources about these areas that we can look at first before we get in - so when we do get into like detailed examination of, you know, use cases, or purposes, or whatever we've already we're not going into that without having without any background information about data privacy, or, you know, services, or, you know, data elements that is already being collected.

We should already be – there's a little saying let's have some basic - some solid background resources that we have at the start so we're not sort of trying to deal with a bunch of overlapping areas in a strictly sequential manner.

And we're all off to sort of a, you know, head start, you know, we've had a look at some of the important sources on these areas before we get into the

detailed deliberation. I think it's - I mean that's my understanding of sort of the intent of why we're doing it.

We're not – we're very aware that they overlap. We're trying to help deal with it by ensuring everyone is - we have some information on these areas before we really get into the data. Thanks.

Chuck Gomes: Thanks (David), Chuck again, Greg you're up.

Greg Shatan: Thanks, Greg Shatan for the record. I just want to encourage leadership or staff to put the request to join these three small groups out very explicitly on our list. The agenda just mentions the overall idea but not the specific groups.

And unless I missed something there hasn't been any requests and, you know, I note that there's a number of, you know, noted apologies for this group and obviously even then those who are unapologetically absent. So I think, you know, we need to make sure that we don't just include those who've joined this meeting in the call for volunteers. Thanks.

Chuck Gomes: Thanks Greg. This is Chuck again. And I like that term unapologetically regrets, that's good. Any way yes absolutely. And as I indicated earlier this will be sent out this what you see on the screen right there will be sent out right after this call to the full working group with requests for any additional volunteers that don't volunteer during this meeting.

So that - and we'll ask for those to please happen in the next 48 hours no longer because the work is going to start right away. And that I picked that time just because staff said the list will be set up within two days so we have that. So thanks Greg for that. Michele, go ahead.

Michele Neylon: No just saying what Chuck said but differently because I like to be different and contrary. Greg I mean the things we wanted to discuss this and present this idea to you all as a group on this call.

And obviously it wouldn't have been and was never our intention to exclude anybody or to only discuss it on the call but as was something we, you know, discussed in our leadership meeting which was yesterday sorry I'm still jet lagged so my brain is a little bit fried. You know, we were going to put it out to the group so don't worry everybody be included whether they're on this call or not. Thanks.

Chuck Gomes: Thanks Michele, Chuck again. And literally we really - we did have our call yesterday but we really have been working on this document that you see right up to this morning shortly before this call. So it wasn't a lot of lead time. So there was actually quite a bit of time put into this. (David) your turn again.

(David): So I just want to reiterate what Michele put in there about if, you know, if you feel you have the bandwidth for more than one please join. And I'll be on two just to try and help back up, Michele is very busy.

And the – we're hoping this won't be a detailed thing if you (unintelligible) in the area and available documents and so on well enough to send us a few useful products and documents and so on then, you know, please join the team and do that even if, you know, if all you do is just send a message or two that you meant (unintelligible).

You know, hopefully this won't be (unintelligible) issue going to be a detailed, you know, discussion about what is or is not appropriate or anything like that. I mean I'm sure they'll be some but if you say that you're on more than one team if you can make a useful contribution to the team please. That's it.

Chuck Gomes: Thanks (David), Chuck again. And so are there any other questions before we start asking for volunteers? Now for some reason you can't make up your mind right now you can volunteer for a team in the next 48 hours just like those who are not on the call.

But to the extent that we could at least get a starting base on this call based on the discussions on the list we're quite sure there are some people that have good expertise and interest in each of these topics because you've made comments with regard to them.

So the - so I see (Carlton) started off on purpose. Let's focus on purpose right now. And let's try this approach. If you would like to - and everybody doesn't have to volunteer we understand that but we would appreciate if there's a half a dozen or so volunteers at least for each one.

So (Carlton) started off on purpose who would like to join the group with (Susan) and Carlton? If you would raise your hand in Adobe or Ayden if you want to do it just please pick up - speak up there and we've got a nice list there.

So if (Terri), or (Mary), or even Lisa are you able to keep up with that list and jot down the names? And I - a checkmark I think means the same thing for purpose. So we've got a nice group there of that. And we'll just pause so that they can capture those names.

(Kieran) did you raise your hand as well or are you - let's see and (Jim) and some of you are putting it in the chat. The chat of course will have a record of that.

We've got a great group there. So you're going to have (Susan) you've got quite a team to lead there. So thank you very much for the volunteers. And it looks like Ayden is in Adobe now so okay, very good.

And again if for some reason you didn't and you still do you, you can do that on the list in the next 48 hours. And then we'll cut it off there so that the groups can get going. It changes after that because it's going to be a short term effort it won't be very effective.

All right you can take your hands down or your checkmarks off if you would please. And while that's happening we'll go to our next one. Don't put anything up yet for the data group okay until we get the screen cleared there, all of the hands down.

And the - so we're just waiting for (Donna), and (Stephanie), and (Sanna), and Greg to put their hands down, three to go.

And we we'll move on to the - and again you can either when we go to data you can either click the three or put your hand up whichever you find it easiest to do. Let me take a quick look.

Thanks (Andrew). And of course you - I appreciate that, that your overcommitted the next couple of weeks. Yes I appreciate you admitting that first of all so that - but you'll still have plenty of opportunity for input so please understand that.

And so hang on (Klaus) on that we'll get to privacy. We'll try and remember your name there. We're going to go to data next. So those of you that are willing to volunteer for the data team thanks Holly. And there we - good some hands popping up, excellent.

Okay. (Andrew) you have a lot of people that are going to cover for you. You don't even have to attend see.

All right and okay so let me staff let me know when you've got the names captured for the data team. And while they're doing that let me make a comment that some of you have be wondering.

You know that our charter said that we're supposed to deal with all of the questions together as a full group. And so in case anybody thinks we're violating that we talked about that on our leadership call yesterday.

And we think that we're not really keep in mind we're not really deliberating on the questions in these groups we're organizing resources and imports and thoughts that have been expressed in a way that will help the whole working group finalize our work plan after which we'll start working as a full working group to implement the working plan. Okay are we okay on the - do we have - captured everybody on the data team?

Lisa Phifer: Yes. I think so.

Chuck Gomes: Thanks, okay appreciate that Lisa.

All right you can take your hands down. Hopefully staff noticed that (Jody) wanted to be on purpose and data if you didn't catch that I'm trying to keep up with the chat, probably not doing a very good job.

And (Tijabi) it looks like your name was misspelled. They'll hopefully correct that and Adrian Cheek volunteering for both purpose and data if we didn't get those.

(David) do you have a comment? Oh that was a hand up? Okay never mind. If you want to say something - go ahead (David). Okay hand down all right.

We have a clear queue now so let's go on the last one privacy and data protection. Now there were a few people that volunteered in the chat already for that. If you can raise your hand and - or put a checkmark in a green checkmark in to join the data protection area please do so otherwise so that we don't have to scroll back up in the chat if you would - if you want to do it in chat instead of raising your hand please do that again so that we don't miss it.

I appreciate the excellent volunteering. I don't think this is going to be a huge task but I think it's one that can be very helpful to us as we try to finalize the work plan.

Okay. I'm going to be able to brag about this working group for the excellent volunteerism people willing to do more than just being a part of the working group, it's very impressive. Hopefully we can keep that over the long haul and I'm sure we will so.

Okay letting staff capture the names. And I again this will go out to the list right after this call with the message you see on the screen there and asking for any additional volunteers. Again and we'll have a 48 hour cutoff on that so that we can get the list formed and get them functional as soon as possible.

So be watching those of you who have volunteered for the list if for some reason you don't receive anything about the list within 48 hours please let us know either on the main list or just contacting one of us on the leaders or staff and we'll make sure there was no glitch there.

Okay. Thank you very much. Very much appreciate it. Thank you for lowering your hands. I think that's all we need to do now unless there are any other questions or comments?

(Stephanie) is that a volunteering hand or do you have a comment? If you have a comment please okay it was okay excellent. Okay now going to agenda Item 4. And I'm going to turn this over to Lisa to talk about the latest mind map that was done.

And the - just to let you know it'll probably hopefully it'll be self-evident but the - you may find this mind map in your teams kind of helpful at least the part that relates to what you're doing but that'll be your judgment in terms of how you want to use that or see its applicability.

Okay. And again you should have the controls on the mind map in terms of the zooming part and as well as scrolling. So if you can look around unless

Lisa do you want to have control of that while you're discussing it? Feel free to do it whichever you like and I'll turn it over to you.

Lisa Phifer: All right. I think that letting people scroll to the part they want is fine. This is Lisa Phifer for the record. Apologies I'm a little bit distracted noting some comments in the chat about missing people from purpose.

I believe that we caught everyone but again the call for volunteers will go out to the email list. If you see any need for a correction you can always volunteer by email later.

(Stephanie) is in that purpose list but Chuck you have actually a request here to recall the volunteers for purpose.

Chuck Gomes: To recall let's do it this way instead of recalling okay let's at the end of the call and maybe with the same message that's going out to the full list let's include a list of the volunteers that we captured and then if anybody sees their missing they can let us know, is that all right, any problems with that? Okay Michele, go ahead.

Michele Neylon: Thanks Chuck. Yes let's just keep it simple. You know, we've got a bunch of people who we know have signed up for various groups. Now pull out to the list if anybody is missing or anybody is in the wrong group or whatever then that can be fixed by email rather than trying to do it now which I don't think is going to work because anyways as people who aren't on the call today who probably are interested in things et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. So let's just follow up by email and get it done that way. Thanks.

Chuck Gomes: Okay. Okay so Lisa let me turn it back to you to go over the mind map. Remember to mute your phones please if you're not talking.

Lisa Phifer: All right again this is Lisa Pfeiffer for the record. I'm actually going to be going over a mind map that Marika had put together last week based on some

questions and sub questions that we pulled out of the issue report during our week in Marrakesh.

The idea in coming up with this mind map was really just to make some of the information that is in the charter itself and the issue report maybe a little bit more assessable to everyone in the working group as we try to get collectively try to get our arms around what are the questions that are within those first five fundamental questions and what kinds of questions might need to be answered as part of examining that top level question.

All of this information in this mind map actually is directly pulled from the issue report and the charter itself. The idea though isn't that this is a static map it's merely a starting point as this working group goes forward and begins to actually pull out possible requirements for each of these questions and to look at different inputs to each of these questions.

And then of course to deliberate on answers to these questions we thought that this might be helpful. So just focusing for example your attention on question what is on this map question one and acknowledging very clearly here from the get go that there are questions about what the appropriate sequencing of each of these five questions are.

For now please sort of ignore the question numbers one, two, three, four, and five because that's subject to further discussion within the group. But that's the way the map itself is organized in order to correlate to information in the charter.

So you'll see the question from the charter here is some purposes at the top right I guess it is who should have access to the gTLD registration data and why should they have that access?

Just breaking that down a little bit more looking into you'll see the bubble above that item the key inputs listed in a very sort of cryptic summary way but

the key inputs that have already been identified listed there in the blue call out bubble.

Chuck Gomes: Lisa, this is Chuck. Would you explain - show them the key at the top if they haven't seen it and the color coding that may help them as they - as you go through this please?

Lisa Phifer: Sure. Sure so focusing first at the top left there the charter questions the main charter questions are color coded in yellow. And they all come from a central bubble.

The sub questions that are color coded in gray. The call out boxes in light blue are key inputs to be considered. And again those are the key inputs that were already flagged in the issue report on the wiki for this workgroup.

And you'll see for example RFC 7485 which was something that was added subsequent to the issue report but is in our work group wiki does appear on this list. So it's intended to be a running list of key inputs that are identified by this group.

And then in orange there you see topics to be considered in phases two and three. This is really intended to be sort of the starting point for a parking area that as we think about what's in Phase 1 and then what do we actually wait to talk about until Phases 2 and three. Anything that comes up that we as a working group might identify as important to be answered but not yet could be parked in that defer to Phase 2, three kind of bucket there.

I do see (Stephanie)'s comment that other staff document should be added. And of course that's something that could be done by further work on the questions identifying additional inputs. In fact the small teams that are looking at pulling up inputs and consolidating them as part of the effort we just talked about could add those SAC documents to the appropriate questions and then of course we'll add them to the wiki.

Chuck Gomes: And this is Chuck jumping in on Lisa here. Remember as it relates to the teamwork that's going to go on in the next couple of weeks one of the things that I expect to come out of that too are some additional inputs.

Like Lisa said it's not restricted to the ones that have that have already been identified. So feel free to add those as applicable in your teams. And that'll help grow this mind map and make it more complete. (Kathy), go ahead and jump in.

(Kathy):   Chuck, can you hear me?

Chuck Gomes: Yes.

(Kathy):   Terrific. Thanks so much. Hello everybody. So I have a question about this both you and Lisa mentioned that the sequence is something that we're going to be working on.

So would it be possible to remove the numbers that are there now? You know, a number of us did our due diligence in Marrakesh talking with charter drafters. And it was my sense from the ones I talked with that the sequence was not critical the questions were but not the sequence.

And I was also wondering whether as a way of conveying what I understand Lisa to have said that the sub questions are not, you know, are not fixed. That this is something we'll be working on.

Would it be possible to add, you know, something that indicates that as we delve into these areas we'll be I assume we'll be possibly finding more questions that we'll be working on certainly in proxy privacy.

We started with the charter questions and then found many more to work on in each area. So that idea that this is the starting point is there way to capture that in the diagram as well so numbering and opening? Thank you.

Chuck Gomes: Thanks (Kathy). And with regard to the numbering the main purpose of the colored numbers one, two, three, four, five was to correlate it to the items are numbered currently in our charter - well they're actually numbered in the charter. We numbered them to make them easy - it's just easy reference.

I don't have any big heartburn if the numbers are removed. It's those five orange boxes and that's one of our big tasks we're hoping to be able to decide on an order or even a mixed order after we do these - get the input from the three teams and get our work plan finalized.

Anybody have any objection to moving the removing the colored numbers one, two, three, four, five and the orange boxes the orange or yellow whatever color you call it?

And I'm not seeing any objections, that's fine. And I suspect that - I don't know if anybody else on our team works with mind map besides Marika. She happens to be on vacation this week but we can certainly put a note on there.

That's really true of all of our work that nothing is in concrete. We can change it. We want to do the best job we can. And whatever that means assuming there is consensus in the group we'll do it. Any other comments or questions before I turn it back to Lisa? Okay go ahead Lisa anything else?

Lisa Phifer: Okay, thanks Chuck again Lisa Pfeiffer for the record. So I've made a note in the notes pod about removing the numbers and also adding notation regarding this being a starting point and additional sub questions can be added.

Some of that was probably in the email where we sent this around but not actually in the mind map itself but I'll see that it gets incorporated into the mind map.

Then just I guess to further explain how the mind map is organized but then let you all read it at your leisure I used users and purposes as the starting point.

There are if you look into the detail process chart that's a part of the charter and then also the descriptive text within the issue report you'll see questions there sub questions under Phase 1 regarding that area of users and purposes that should registration data be accessible for any purpose, or only for specific purposes, for what specific purposes should data be collected maintained and made accessible? Who should be permitted to actually access registration data for those purposes?

And then of course overarching guiding principles that would be used to determine permissible users and purposes now again as (Kathy) pointed out these are just starting points for questions so that they can be refined they can be added to.

But what we were trying to do here is really just make the information that's available to you now in a fairly lengthy issue report a little bit more accessible to you as we try to understand what these questions might be and what sub questions might be encompassed in answering them. It is sufficient for now Chuck?

Chuck Gomes: I think so Lisa. Thank you. This is Chuck. The - unless anybody has any questions I think this will be a helpful tool. And it will grow as we get more information and as we receive more input. So thank you very much and thanks to Marika for developing this

And there's a - if you're uncomfortable with this particular format Lisa also sent to the list a just a tabular type listing of the questions and sub questions and inputs and so forth. So if you're more comfortable with that I refer you to that which was sent to the list in the last couple of days. So all right well I think that's enough on this.

So let's go on to the last agenda item. And maybe before I - when I talk about next steps let me say if anyone on the working group has not reviewed several key documents please do so. I have found that in the first few weeks of our group that in several cases we've had to talk about things that were actually covered in our charter or in the issues report.

And I know there's going to be a degree of that but to the extent that we can minimize explaining things that are already explained in critical documents that will save us time and make our work more efficient. So if you haven't read the charter that's a first read for everybody because that drives our work. That's what the GNSO Council has approved for our work.

That can be changed but to do so we have to go back and request permission from the GNSO Council who is the manager of the policy process. So please read the charter.

And other documents that will be very helpful are the issues report. Certainly the expert working group report. We're not just taking that as gospel but let's recognize the fact that a large group of people put an extensive amount of effort into that report.

And in my opinion were very responsive to public comments over and over again. It doesn't mean that we're going to accept everything that they concluded but let's not redo their work and go through the same process again because there was some very good people who worked on that.

It doesn't mean they have all the answers but let's take advantage of that great piece of work. So please if you haven't reviewed some of those documents there's lots of others.

And as you can see there's going to be a bunch more as we proceed in our work that we need to stay on top of. So that's a continuing action item for all of us as part of the working group.

Our next meeting if I – if my memory is correct will be at our alternate time next Tuesday. So for some of us it will be on Tuesday night for some of us it will be on Wednesday morning of next week. So for some of you it will be on the 30th.

An announcement of course will be sent out after this call reminding people of that but in our next meeting certainly we're looking for an update on the three teams. And we may take advantage while the teams are working to take a look at things like our outreach to the SOs and ACs start thinking about that next week as well.

Is there any other business we need to cover today any other questions or comments? Okay well, you know, this probably won't happen too often but I'm actually going to be able to give you a half hour of your day back and appreciate very much the cooperation on this call.

Thanks for the applause Amr. I appreciate that. And I appreciate getting the half hour back myself. So everybody have a very good rest of the day and rest of the week.

And remember to get active. If you volunteered for a team once the list is up and running I hope all of you will generously contribute on that list so that we have a good start before our call next week and then hopefully can wrap things up by the fifth if that's possible. (Jim) go ahead.

Okay that one - hand went down. All right everybody have a good one. Thanks again. Bye.

Coordinator: Once again the meeting has been adjourned. Thank you very much for joining. Please remember to disconnect all remaining lines and have a wonderful rest of your day.

Man: Thanks and bye.

END