

Work Organization

Proposal from Final Report

1. Should there be New gTLD subsequent procedures (e.g., §§4.2.1, 4.2.3, etc.)?
2. If yes, what are the high level principles that should govern the program (e.g., §§4.2.3, 4.2.6, 4.3.11, 4.2.14, etc.)?
3. What requirements and rules should govern:
 - a. Application acceptance mechanisms (e.g., §§4.2.7, 4.2.12, 4.2.13, 4.2.16, etc.)
 - b. Application requirements (e.g., §§4.2.8, 4.2.15, 4.3.11, 4.4.5, etc.)
 - c. String requirements (e.g., §§4.3.1, 4.4.2, 4.5.1, etc.)
 - d. Objections and Rights Protection Mechanisms (e.g., §§4.3.3, 4.3.7, 4.4.3, etc.)
 - e. Contractual requirements of registries (e.g., §§4.3.10, 4.3.8, 4.3.5, 4.3.6, etc.)
4. What subjects are dependent on the outcomes from other development around other subjects (e.g., §§4.3.2, 4.6.2, etc.)
5. Operational and miscellaneous (e.g., 4.2.4, 4.2.9, 4.2.11, etc.)

Proposed Full Mapping of Subjects

1. Should there be New gTLD subsequent procedures?
 - a. 4.2.1 Cancelling Subsequent Procedures
2. If yes, what are the high level principles that should govern the program?
 - a. 4.2.2 Predictability
 - b. 4.2.4 Community Engagement
 - c. 4.2.5 Applicant Guidebook
 - d. 4.2.6 Clarity of Application Process
 - e. 4.2.7 Applications Assessed in Rounds
 - f. 4.2.8 Accreditation Programs
 - g. 4.2.15 Different TLD Types
3. Outreach activities (*new)
 - a. 4.2.3 Competition, Consumer Trust, and Consumer Choice (overarching?)
 - b. 4.2.11 Communications
 - c. 4.2.14 Support for Applicants From Developing Countries
 - d. 4.3.9 Global Public Interest
4. What requirements and rules should govern:
 - a. Application acceptance mechanisms
 - i. 4.2.12 Application Queuing
 - ii. 4.2.13 Application Submission Period

Steve Chan 3/1/2016 2:13 PM

Comment [1]: Consider CCT Review

Steve Chan 3/1/2016 2:19 PM

Comment [2]: Consider work of GAC WG on underserved regions

- iii. 4.2.16 Application Submission Limits
- b. Application requirements
 - i. 4.4.5 Community Applications
 - ii. 4.6.1 Security and Stability (*dotted line to section 2*)
 - iii. 4.6.2 Applicant Reviews: Technical/Operational and Financial (*dotted line to section 5*)
- c. String requirements
 - i. 4.3.1 Reserved Names List
 - ii. 4.4.2 String Similarity
 - iii. 4.5.1 Internationalized Domain Names and Universal Acceptance (*dotted line to section 3*)
 - iv. 4.6.3 Name Collisions
- d. Objections and Rights Protection Mechanisms
 - i. 4.3.7 Second-level Rights Protection Mechanisms
 - ii. 4.4.3 Objections
- e. Contractual requirements of registries
 - i. 4.3.3 Registrant Protections
 - ii. 4.2.4 Contractual Compliance
 - iii. 4.3.5 Registrar Non-Discrimination
 - iv. 4.3.6 TLD Rollout
 - v. 4.3.8 Registry/Registrar Standardization
 - vi. 4.3.10 IGO/INGO Protections
 - vii. 4.3.11 Closed Generics
- 5. What subjects are dependent on the outcomes from other development around other subjects
 - a. 4.2.10 Application Fees
 - b. 4.2.17 Variable Fees
 - c. 4.3.2 Base Registry Agreement
- 6. Operational and miscellaneous
 - a. 4.2.9 Systems
 - b. 4.4.1 New gTLD Applicant Freedom of Expression
 - c. 4.4.4 Accountability Mechanisms (overarching?)

Steve Chan 3/1/2016 2:19 PM

Comment [3]: Consider work of GAC WG on community applications

Steve Chan 3/1/2016 2:15 PM

Comment [4]: Consider Security and Stability reviews

Steve Chan 3/1/2016 2:13 PM

Comment [5]: Consider CWG on the Use of Country and Territory Names

Steve Chan 3/1/2016 2:21 PM

Comment [6]: Consider work of GAC WG on geographic names

Steve Chan 3/1/2016 2:15 PM

Comment [7]: Coordinate with PDP on Rights Protection Mechanisms