MTS - Audio: (3/10/2016 10:37) Waiting for attendees to join us
Calvin Browne: (10:37) CCT right?
MTS - Audio: (10:37) yes
Calvin Browne: (10:38) ok - in the right place - anks
Calvin Browne: (10:38) *thanks
Alice Jansen 2: (10:48) Hi Calvin - we have not started yet I am afraid. Apologies
Calvin Browne: (10:55) listening now
Calvin Browne: (11:17) <question>just to confirm - our work in the critical path? </>
Calvin Browne: (11:30) ok
Calvin Browne: (11:30) yup
Calvin Browne: (11:30) Was wondering about pressures we’re going to come under
Alice Jansen: (11:52) Hi all - we will be reconvening in 10 min for the discussion with Bruce Tonkin.
Alice Jansen: (12:07) We are waiting for our next speaker - apologies for the wait.
Alice Jansen: (12:19) we are back!
Calvin Browne: (12:33) i noticed - too busy listening to bruce
Calvin Browne: (12:33) Bruce
Calvin Browne: (13:01) just missed the timin there'
Alice Jansen: (13:01) Hi Calvin - please don't move :-)
Alice Jansen: (13:01) safeguards and trust about to meet
Alice Jansen: (13:01) and we are staying in this room
Calvin Browne: (13:01) ah
Calvin Browne: (13:01) pk - missed that first bit
Calvin Browne: (13:19) yes
Calvin Browne: (13:25) grin - no problem
Calvin Browne: (13:25) han hear you
Calvin Browne: (13:25) can hear you and type
Calvin Browne: (13:25) will type if something is missing
Calvin Browne: (13:26) are you getting me?
Alice Jansen: (13:26) OK -
Calvin Browne: (14:05) <comment>Should we use IP instead of TM?</comment>
Alice Jansen: (14:12) Sorry, Calvin - we missed your comment. Would you like me to bring it to Laureen’s attention
Alice Jansen: (14:27) We are on a 10-minute break and will be back at 14:35 UTC
Calvin Browne: (14:32) It’s a general thinkg - so yeah - please bring it up when you
Calvin Browne: (14:47) ok - i'm ok with it as is
Calvin Browne: (15:18) be away for a while - hopefully not too long
Pamela Smith: (15:20) OK. Calvin. We will be adjourning today at 16:15 UTC so the team members can attend the Board Meeting. If you can return, wonderful, if not, we will "see" you on the next call
Karen Lentz: (15:27) Thank you Calvin for dialing in
Calvin Browne: (15:32) <still around for now>
Eleeza Agopian: (15:40) Here are the suggested questions David is reading:
   Eleeza Agopian: (15:40) Suggestion Q When you access a domain name extension (the part after the "dot") if the domain name extension in question is descriptive of a service or item would you expect that new gTLD to have domain names and websites in some way related to the domain name extension concerned? (For example if you go to .BANK would you expect to see registrations by Banks across the globe? If you go to .paris do you expect to see domain names connected to the city of Paris? If you go to .film do you expect to see content related to films?)
   Suggestion Q Do you expect any domain name registrations under a certain domain name extension to have been subjected to eligibility requirements?
   Suggestion Q Where there are eligibility requirements for a specific domain name extension (the part after the "dot"), do you think proof that the registrant meets the eligibility requirements should be provided?
   Calvin Browne: (16:06) <I'm not really concerned>
   Calvin Browne: (16:06) <about the document>
   Calvin Browne: (16:07) (just got smaller - but I saw the 23rd)
   Calvin Browne: (16:08) <Great - hopefully see you f2f next time - bye>
   Eleeza Agopian: (16:08) thank you for sticking around, Calvin. We hope to see you next time.
   Alice Jansen: (16:08) Thank you all for your participation!!