CCT-RT MEETING #4 Chat Transcript – 10 March 2016

MTS - Audio: (3/10/2016 10:37) Waiting for attendees to join us

Calvin Browne: (10:37) CCT right?

MTS - Audio: (10:37) yes

Calvin Browne: (10:38) ok - in the right place - anks

Calvin Browne: (10:38) *thanks

Alice Jansen 2: (10:48) Hi Calvin - we have not started yet I am afraid. Apologies

Calvin Browne: (10:55) listening now

Calvin Browne: (11:17) <question>just to confirm - our work in the critical path?</>

Calvin Browne: (11:30) ok Calvin Browne: (11:30) yup

Calvin Browne: (11:30) Was wondering about pressures we're going to come under Alice Jansen: (11:52) Hi all - we will be reconvening in 10 min for the discussion with

Bruce Tonkin.

Alice Jansen: (12:07) We are waiting for our next speaker - apologies for the wait.

Alice Jansen: (12:19) we are back!

Calvin Browne: (12:33) i noticed - too busy listening to bruce

Calvin Browne: (12:33) Bruce

Calvin Browne: (13:01) just missed the timin there' Alice Jansen: (13:01) Hi Calvin - please don't move :-) Alice Jansen: (13:01) safeguards and trust about to meet Alice Jansen: (13:01) and we are staying in this room

Calvin Browne: (13:01) ah

Calvin Browne: (13:01) pk - missed that first bit

Calvin Browne: (13:19) yes

Calvin Browne: (13:25) grin - no problem Calvin Browne: (13:25) han hear you

Calvin Browne: (13:25) can hear you and type

Calvin Browne: (13:25) will type if something is missing

Calvin Browne: (13:26) are you getting me?

Alice Jansen: (13:26) OK -

Calvin Browne: (14:05) < comment > Should we use IP instead of TM?

Alice Jansen: (14:12) Sorry, Calvin - we missed your comment. Would you like me to

bring it to Laureen's attention

Alice Jansen: (14:27) We are on a 10-minute break and will be back at 14:35 UTC Calvin Browne: (14:32) It's a general thinkg - so yeah - please bring it up when you

reconvene

Calvin Browne: (14:47) ok - i'm ok with it as is

Calvin Browne: (15:18) be away for a while - hopefully not too long

Pamela Smith: (15:20) OK. Calvin. We will be adjourning today at 16:15 UTC so the team members can attend the Board Meeting. If you can return, wonderful, if not, we will "see" you on the next call

Karen Lentz: (15:27) Thank you Calvin for dialing in

Calvin Browne: (15:32) <still around for now>

Eleeza Agopian: (15:40) Here are the suggested questionis David is reading:

Eleeza Agopian: (15:40) Suggestion Q When you access a domain name extension (the part after the "dot") if the domain name extension in question is descriptive of a service or item would you expect that new gTLD to have domain names and websites in some way related to the domain name extension concerned? (For example if you go to .BANK would you expect to see registrations by Banks across the globe? If you go to .paris do you expect to see domain names connected to the city of Paris? If you go to .film do you expect to see content related to films?Suggestion Q Do you expect any domain name registrations under a certain domain name extension to have been subjected to eligibility requirements? Suggestion Q Where there are eligibility requirements for a specific domain name extension (the part after the "dot"), do you think proof that the registrant meets the eligibility requirements should be provided?

Calvin Browne: (16:06) < I'm not really concerned>

Calvin Browne: (16:06) <about the document>

Calvin Browne: (16:07) (just got smaller - but I saw the 23rd)

Calvin Browne: (16:08) < Great - hopefully see you f2f next time - bye>

Eleeza Agopian: (16:08) thank you for sticking around, Calvin. We hope to see you next time.

Alice Jansen: (16:08) Thank you all for your participation!!