

**Safeguards & Trust Subteam**

Laureen (Chair) – Carlton – Drew – Jamie – Gao – Carlos - Fabro

**Consumer Trust**

- Consumer/end user behavior
  - Navigate
    - Does not resolve
  - Use
    - [Are new gTLDs more or less likely to point to safe content? \(i.e. malware, phishing\)](#)
- Developing countries
  - [Confidence that the new gTLD application process is fair? \(Trust in the process\)](#)
  - [Adequate access to assistance?](#)
- Procedural
  - ICANN’s role
  - Dispute resolution processes
  - Sufficient data
- Confusion
  - [Can consumers distinguish a legacy gTLD domain name from a similarly-named new gTLD domain name?](#)
- DNS abuse (TBD)
  - [Is DNS abuse more or less prevalent in new gTLDs than legacy gTLDs?](#)
  - [What enforcement mechanisms do new gTLDs use to curb DNS abuse?](#)
  - [What do DNS Abuse policies look like for new gTLD registries and registrars?](#)
  - [Are there greater or fewer numbers of ICANN compliance issues with new gTLDs than with legacy gTLDs?](#)
- Registry privacy policy
  - [What type of personal information is collected?](#)
  - [How long is personal information retained?](#)
  - [How is personal information protected?](#)
  - [When is personal information shared?](#)

**Trademark issues**

- Relative costs of defensive registrations
  - [Pricing models](#)
    - [Coercive pricing mechanisms for certain domains \(.sucks\)](#)
- Brands protection [\(i.e. brand dilution, infringement\)](#)
- Risk of confusion for a brand
- Sunrise pricing
  - [Creates trust for existing rights holders or harms trust about fairness for new marketplace entrants?](#)
- Rights prot. mechanisms

**Impact of PICs and other safeguards**

- Compliance
- Complaints
- Reduces harm?

**Other**

Formatted

Formatted

Formatted

**Comment [AB1]:** We can potentially use any new definition furnished by the upcoming ICANN DNS Abuse Study. In the interim, we should rely upon the examples of DNS abuse provided here: <http://newgtlds.icann.org/sites/default/files/agreements/agreement-approved-09jan14-en.htm>

Formatted

**Comment [AB2]:** Note: Registrars must comply with section 3.18 of the 2013 RAA to stop DNS Abuse, including taking “reasonable and prompt steps to investigate and respond appropriately to any reports of abuse.” <https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/approved-with-specs-2013-09-17-en>

Formatted

Deleted: Predatory

Formatted: Indent: Left: 1", Hanging: 0.19"

Formatted

## Safeguards & Trust Subteam

Laureen (Chair) – Carlton – Drew – Jamie – Gao – Carlos - Fabro

What else do we need?

Divide into new gTLDs vs legacy (compliance)

# of complaints to registries, registrars

Compare level of abuse among legacy, new gTLDs (Drew to define methodology)

- [Select gTLDs to compare \(legacy and new\)](#)
- [Gather lists of all current registrations in those TLDs \(zone files\)](#)
- [Compare the lists of registrations to domain names associated with known DNS abuse \(as determined by a reliable authority such as APWG, Spamhaus, etc.\)](#)
- [Determine whether there is any correlation between findings new/legacy gTLDs, registry/registrar DNS abuse policies, 2013 RAA compliance, literacy about gTLDs from Nielsen study, etc.](#)

Highly regulated sector domains

Formatted: List Paragraph, Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5"