

## Adobe Connect chat transcript for Monday, 29 February 2016

Terri Agnew:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Working Group call held on Monday, 29 February 2016

Terri Agnew:If you do wish to speak during the call, please either dial into the audio bridge and give the operator the password NEW gTLD, OR click on the telephone icon at the top of the AC room to activate your AC mics.

Please remember to mute your phone and mics when not talking

CarlosAlberto:Terry, Good evening

CarlosAlberto:Terri

Ayden Férdeline:Hello everyone

Christopher Niemi:Hello

Steve Coates (Twitter):Steve, do we have a passcode for audio?

Steve Chan:@steve NEW GTLD

Steve Coates (Twitter):Awesome. Thx!

Harold Arcos:Hello all, hope all have a good day

Carlos Raul (CCT Liaison):audio??

Vanda Scartezini:hi everyone

Vanda Scartezini:yes

Carlos Raul (CCT Liaison):ok

Julie Hedlund:Loud and clear Jeff

Carlos Raul (CCT Liaison):we have audio

Carlos Raul (CCT Liaison):txs

Vanda Scartezini:ok

Greg Shatan:Hello, all.

Rubens Kuhl - NIC.br:Jeff, are you able to read chats but do not write chats ?

CHERYL Langdon-Orr:Hi All

Mary Wong:@TwitterSteve - hey!

Greg Shatan:I guess "Twit" would not be appropriate....

Vanda Scartezini:adobe today was falling all the time

Carlos Raul (CCT Liaison):not too hard please

Carlos Raul (CCT Liaison):my adobe just got disconnected for a few seconds too

Khaled Koubaa:Hi everyone

Stefania Milan:Hi everyone, apologies for the delay in joining.

Steve Chan:WG Membership

page: <https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=580019>

[78](#)

Grace Mutung'u:hi everyone. May I please record Judy Okite's apologies?  
She is indisposed

Michelle DeSmyter:Yes, thank you Grace - I will note her apology

Robin Gross:Good morning!

Richard Padilla:Afternoon everyone

Grace Mutung'u:Thanks Michelle

Phil Buckingham:good afternoon

Michelle DeSmyter:You are quite welcome!

Ayden Férdeline:yes we can Steve

Avri Doria:so the leadership is old timer, mid timer and new timer.

Steve Chan:I think I need a better nickname

Kiran Malancharuvil:Sorry to be late.

Donna Austin, Neustar:@Steve, we could just call you Stevie

Steve Chan:@Donna ...

Avri Doria:i think we all see chairing as a service role.

Khaled Koubaa:Thanks LTeam:)

CHERYL Langdon-Orr:Yes @avri I think we all expect the Chairing per se to  
be neutral in manner

Steve Coates (Twitter):@Donna. I love it.

Steve Chan:22:00 UTC

CHERYL Langdon-Orr:0300 evry secnd call \* Far\* friendlier than most GNO  
calls !!!

Khaled Koubaa:on schedule : fair enough

Carlos Raul (CCT Liaison):one hour calls?

David Ackerman:which time on the 21st, 16:00 or 22:00?

Carlos Raul (CCT Liaison):1 hour and 1/2, thank you

CHERYL Langdon-Orr:I hope 2200 :-)

David Ackerman:yes 22:00 in the notes

Julie Hedlund:@Cheryl: Yes, 2200 UTC as the rotation on 21 March

liz williasm:do we have a draft work program already that we can  
comment on? And also the linkages between other work?

Ayden Férdeline:forgive me, "DG" is an acronym for...?

Rubens Kuhl - NIC.br:Discussion Group

Ayden Férdeline:thank you

Karen Bernstein:Sorry I'm late. I was thrown off by the e-mail that was  
sent in the middle of the night that said the meeting time was rescheduled.

Mary Wong:@Ayden, the Discussion Group was formed by the GNSO Council before this PDP to scope out the issues, resulting in the categories and lists that are in our Working Group Charter and that Steve C is going through now.

liz williasm:Is it proposed to split out the questions to small groups AFTER deciding yes (or no!) to the first question? If yes, then those work streams are relatively easy to examine and provide recommendations to the main group.

Ayden Férdeline:thank you for this background, Mary

Jeff Neuman (Valideus):@Liz, yes the plan is to work on the "Foundational" questions as a larger group and then breaking down into subteams afterwards

Julie Mowers - Amazon 2:My apologies, I have to drop early as I had a conflict I was unable to move.

Robin Gross:What if the answer to Q.1 is "No"? Is it within the scope of this group to "fix" existing new gTLD procedures (the things we got wrong last time)?

liz williasm:OK -- that reflects the previous 2005 PDP and that Q1 can likely be answered by email and acclamation...If there is no, then we can stop. Doubt that is the case but we can easily do a quick poll.

Carlos Raul (CCT Liaison):My apologies as well, as I will have to leave at the top of the hour as I had scheduled only 60 minutes today. Will be prepared for a longer call in 3 weeks.

Jeff Neuman (Valideus):@Robin...I will address that in a few minutes, but good question

Robin Gross:ok - thanks!

Rubens Kuhl - NIC.br:Robin, my interpretation is if Q.1 answer is "No", we just end the WG saying that new rounds should follow the same procedures as 2012-round.

Carlos Raul (CCT Liaison):@Steve + the Nielsen and Analysis studies

liz williasm:@Ruben & Robin...then you would assume that we would have a lot of analysis and reports about what to do better from the 2012 round. In my view, that piece of work should be done anyway

Khaled Koubaa:@Robin : I expect that the obvious answer will be yes :)

CHERYL Langdon-Orr:ALAC is involved in Many of the listed initiatives and work Steve

Rubens Kuhl - NIC.br:@Khaled, even ICANN staff revisionist historians

seem to think that something should be changed...

CHERYL Langdon-Orr:I serve for eg on CWG ON CTN as TLDs

liz williasm:@ Steve we really must have a GAC liaison immediately...many of the issues that arose in the 2000, 2004 and 2012 rounds were directly related to out of sync GAC interventions.

Vanda Scartezini:looks good the organization.

Alan Greenberg 2:We have also just revived our work in conjunction with the GAC on requirements for sensitive gTLDs (those identified in the GAC Beijing Communique as Category 1, Safeguards 1-8.

Khaled Koubaa:+1 to @Liz comment on GAC

Alan Greenberg 2:Strongly support Liz. In fact, we need more active participation from GAC people.

Rubens Kuhl - NIC.br:@Liz, due to the way GAC makes decisions, it's always hard for them to appoint liaisons. Not impossible, we should try, but we can't count on it.

Alan Greenberg 2:That will be the only way to avoid what happened last time.

Vanda Scartezini:+ 1 Liz. I ahve been in GAC in the past and believe this is relevant indeed.

CHERYL Langdon-Orr:Agree @Liz GAC Liaison is going to be a key

Carlos Raul (CCT Liaison):The GAC has a formal procedure for participating in PDPs....

Vanda Scartezini:i certainly can help with this

liz williasm:@Rubens...it is never impossible to do greater communication and consultation, even if it is not binding/advisory...Communication at all times is absolutely key...and there is no reason for the GAC/GNSO nexus to not evolve and develop...it is critical.

Khaled Koubaa:@Steve : it would be good if you can circulate the 2007 Rinal Report to the wider group so new comers can find their ways and refresh

Jon Nevett:Coordinate with Mason as liaison?

CHERYL Langdon-Orr:perfecr Jeff masn should be able to ahndle that just fine

CHERYL Langdon-Orr:sorry re typos (ty

CHERYL Langdon-Orr:typing in the dark at 0330 here in AU ;-)

Susan Payne - Valideus:@Alan, agree but it is very difficult to get the GAC to actually participate - in the country and territory names WG they just do

not show up

Richard Padilla:Can barely hear Avri

Ayden Férdeline:please can you speak louder

Alan Greenberg:@Susan, yes, difficult. the alternative, having lived through it before, is scary.

Mary Wong:@Avri, thank you - yes, there is a Quick look Mechanism that has been developed by the GAC-GNSO Consultation Group.

Mary Wong:Which involves early notification of the GAC of new GNSO PDPs.

Steve Chan:@Khaled - you can find background material, including the 2007 Final Report,

here: <https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=58001980>

CHERYL Langdon-Orr:yes @Mary which is why asking Mason th sort things is essential

Khaled Koubaa:@Steve : thanks ( I was not talking about myself :) )

Vanda Scartezini:if GAC does not agree then MASON will make the one way task to tell then which way we are going...

liz williasm:@ Jeff ... I can do that 2007 overview if you like? I was the lead staff person on the PDP at the time....

Rubens Kuhl - NIC.br:AGB departs from GNSO Policy in very significant ways... AGB looks more like a starting point for me.

liz williasm:@jeff...forget that...i can support Avri if she needs it.

Avri Doria:AGB is not policy though.

Robin Gross:One thing we should look at is where the AGB differs from approved policy

Avri Doria:and was developed befor the GNSO had a notion of implementation teams.

Rubens Kuhl - NIC.br:"Code is Law" ; even though it was about running software code, this seems to fit policy implementation.

Avri Doria:the is also post AGB implementation that needs to be considered.

liz williasm:@ Robin that is a monumental task and one which must be done...there are so many examples that are critical but it could easily be done in a table.

Mary Wong:On the question of GAC members in this Working Group - there are several observers who identify as from the GAC. From the

members' list, currently only Tom Dale - GAC Secretariat - is listed. Tom presumably follows the Group's discussions and reports to the GAC in his capacity as Secretariat lead for them (especially since neither he nor indeed any GAC member can represent the GAC formally or officially).

Steve Chan:<http://gnso.icann.org/en/issues/new-gtlds/pdp-dec05-fr-parta-08aug07.htm>

Julie Hedlund:@Jeff: Here is the link and I'll include it in the notes: <https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=58001980>

Phil Buckingham:+ 1 Robin + 1 Liz yes table format

Julie Hedlund:All - the link Steve Chan sent is the better one.

Robin Gross:Some of the principles were not implemented the first time (like the principle about free expression)

Steve Coates (Twitter):@Robin, I think we have an opportunity to add that to the agenda

Phil Buckingham:Co chairs - do we have a target date for completion ?

Robin Gross:Great, Steve!

Khaled Koubaa:Agree totally with Avri point

Rubens Kuhl - NIC.br:There is also the possibility that we might prefer to follow the actual implementation due to keeping things more homogenous, which is a scale requirement. So we might have to document the new policies matching 2012 implementation, if we believe it's better to keep those, instead of the 2007 policies.

liz williasm:@Alan the implication costs are significant and must not be underestimated. there were direct and absolute additional costs for many applicants which were unacceptable.

Jon Nevett:Do we want to do a survey of the 2012 applicants?

Robin Gross:yes, let's survey the applicants.

Rubens Kuhl - NIC.br:It's usually bad luck to establish dates, as they are more prone to slip through.

liz williasm:@Jon yes...a good idea so that we can quantify the impact on applicants. I would volunteer to derive the survey questions and collate the data.

Christa Taylor:+1 to survey - it would be great insight

Khaled Koubaa:Well survey may be one of tools. Other tools may be discussed as well.

Mary Wong:To follow on Avri's last point, another new development in

the GNSO is the use of Implementation Review Teams, comprising community members (volunteers) working with ICANN staff (primarily operational staff from the Global Domains Division and others) to try to ensure that implementation matches the intent of the GNSO policy. This was recently recommended (by the Policy & Implementation Working Group) to be mandatory for all PDPs going forward, save for exceptional circumstances.

CHERYL Langdon-Orr:Excellent @Liz

Rubens Kuhl - NIC.br:People who commented on gTLD applications might have interesting feedback as well.

Vanda Scartezini:sorry my connection dropped..

CarlosAlberto:+1 for survey

liz williasm:I volunteer to come up with a set of questions for applicants. Anyone else want to help with that datagathering task, let me know.

Nathaniel Edwards:Objecters from the last round should participate in any survey

CHERYL Langdon-Orr:agree @Nathaniel

Phil Buckingham:+1 Jon great idea

Karen Bernstein:+1

liz williasm:what time is the Marrakech meeting time?

Steve Chan:@Jeff, meeting time: Next meeting is in Marrakech on 10 March at 0900 to 1030 UTC

Steve Chan:Actually, Next meeting is in Marrakech on 10 March at 0900 to 1030 local time

Mary Wong:More details and remote participation details will be posted here: <https://meetings.icann.org/en/marrakech55/schedule/thu-new-gtld-subsequent-procedures>

Khaled Koubaa:I may be have not seen it. Do we have the agenda of Marrakech meeting ?

Khaled Koubaa:Thanks

Stefania Milan:could the ppt presentation be shared somehow?

CHERYL Langdon-Orr:thanks everyone... bye for now... Talk soon and see some of you in Marrakech

Carlos Raul (CCT Liaison):ok! we made it in 1 hour!!!! safe travels to everybody traveling.

Khaled Koubaa:Safe travel everyone

Vanda Scartezini:thank you all. going well.. see you in MArrakech  
Denise Michel:thanks. byes  
Sara Bockey:Thank you all. Safe travels!  
Vanda Scartezini:safe trip to all  
Julie Hedlund:Thank you everyone and see you soon!  
Christa Taylor:Thanks!  
Rubens Kuhl - NIC.br:Safe travels!  
Susan Payne - Valideus:great, thanks  
CarlosAlberto:Thank you all  
Christopher Niemi:Thank you  
Karen Bernstein:Safe travels!  
Frederic Guillemaut:thank you all bye  
Ayden Férdeline:I look forward to meeting everyone in Marrakech. Safe  
travels to all  
Rubens Kuhl - NIC.br:Bye!  
Robin Gross:Thanks all- bye!  
CarlosAlberto:bye  
Jeff Neuman (Valideus):Thanks1  
Harold Arcos:Thanks all,,,good trip,,  
Richard Padilla:thanks bye  
Yoshi Murakami(JPRS):Thank you  
Greg Shatan:Bye all!  
Grace Mutung'u:thanks  
Robert Burlingame:Thank you everyone!  
Steve Chan:Thanks everyone